Broadband Trailing-Edge Noise

as a Canonical Benchmark Problem for Airframe Noise Predictions

Outcome of the BANC-III Workshop & Invitation for BANC-IV

SHORT VERSION

Michaela Herr
Institute of Aerodynamics and Flow Technology,
German Aerospace Center (DLR), Braunschweig, Germany

19th X-NOISE/CEAS Workshop: Broadband Noise of Rotors and Airframe
23–25 September 2015, La Rochelle, France

michaela.herr@dlr.de

Workshops on Benchmark Problems for Airframe Noise Computations (BANC)

- Objectives of the BANC workshops (since 2010) are
  - to provide a forum for a thorough assessment of simulation-based noise-prediction tools;
  - to identify current gaps in physical understanding, experimental databases, and prediction capability for the major sources of airframe noise;
  - to help determine best practices, and accelerate the development of benchmark quality datasets;
  - to promote future coordinated studies.

https://info.aiaa.org/tac/ASG/FDTC/ DG/BECAN_files_/



Workshops on Benchmark Problems for Airframe Noise Computations (BANC)

- Workshop categories:
  1. Airfoil trailing edge noise (TEN)
  2. Unsteady wake interference between a pair of inline tandem cylinders
  3. Minimal 4-wheel landing gear
  4. Partially-dressed, cavity-closed nose landing gear
  5. The LAGOON Simplified Landing Gear configuration tested by Airbus and ONERA
  6. Slat Noise (DLR/ONERA Configuration)
  7. Slat Noise (modified NASA 30P30N Configuration)
  8. Acoustic Propagation Phase of Airframe Noise Prediction

new since BANC-II



Workshops on Benchmark Problems for Airframe Noise Computations (BANC)

- Workshop categories:

	2012: BANC-II-1	2014: BANC-III-1
	AIAA-2013-2123	AIAA-2015-2847

1. Airfoil trailing edge noise (TEN)
2. Unsteady wake interference between a pair of inline tandem cylinders
3. Minimal 4-wheel landing gear
4. Partially-dressed, cavity-closed nose landing gear
5. The LAGOON Simplified Landing Gear configuration tested by Airbus and ONERA
6. Slat Noise (DLR/ONERA Configuration)
7. Slat Noise (modified NASA 30P30N Configuration)
8. Acoustic Propagation Phase of Airframe Noise Prediction

new since BANC-II

BANC-IV: 2–3 June 2016, i.e. directly following the 22nd AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustics Conference in Lyon

http://www.aeroacoustics2016.com/

Test cases (BANC-II-1 and III-1)

- Provide $c_p(x_1)$, $c_f(x_1)$, near-wake mean flow / turbulence profiles, surface pressure spectra $G_{pp}(f)$, FF noise $L_p(f_c)$ for CASES#1-5 (***Re* = 1–1.5 Mio.**)

Case#1	**56 m/s 0°**	NACA0012
Case#2	**55 m/s 4°**	
Case#3	**53 m/s 6°**	
Case#4	**38 m/s 0°**	
Case#5	**60 m/s 4°**	DU-96-180

CASE#1: single core test case for those who could not afford the full matrix

For the full problem statement with more specified definitions of

- profile coordinates (sharp TE!)
- tripping devices (TBL-TE noise!)
- TBL transition locations
- ambient conditions, etc.
- data formatting instructions including templates

please contact michaela.herr@dlr.de.

Overview on contributions

cf. AIAA-2014-2764; Iob et al.

cf. AIAA-2014-3298; Rautmann et al.

- BANC-III-1 participants:

Computational effort

1) **PoliTo:** Andrea Iob, wavePRO, Torino, & Renzo Arina, Politecnico di Torino, Italy & Paul Batten / S. Chakravarthy, Metacomp Technologies, USA (CA)

→ **Hybrid RANS/LES (IDDES) coupled with Large-Eddy Stimulation**

2) **DLR:** Roland Ewert / Christof Rautmann, German Aerospace Center

→ **CAA-code PIANO with RANS-based stochastic source model FRPM**

3) **IAG:** Dimitrios Bekiropoulos / Mohammad Kamruzzaman, University of Stuttgart, Germany

4) **DTU:** Franck Bertagnolio, DTU Wind Energy, Technical University of Denmark

→ **Simplified theoretical surface pressure prediction of "Blake-TNO"-type, combined with FF model (RANS input)**

Overview on contributions

configuration/ participant			PoliTo	DLR	IAG	DTU
Case#1	56 m/s 0°		☑	☑	☑	☑
Case#2	55 m/s 4°		-	☑	☑	☑
Case#3	53 m/s 6°		-	☑	☑	☑
Case#4	38 m/s 0°		-	☑	☑	☑
Case#5	60 m/s 4°		-	☑	☑	☑

Overall comparisons

Aerodynamical data

Near-wake flow characteristics





Overall comparisons

Aerodynamical data

Near-wake flow characteristics CASE#1 SS

















Overall comparisons

Aerodynamical data

PoliTo: CFD^{++} + SA (+ QCR terms)
DLR: TAU + SST (4 : 2 : 1)
IAG: FLOWer + SST (+ anisotropy model)
DTU: EllipSys2D + SST



Near-wake flow characteristics CASE#1 SS



PoliTo

DLR

IAG

DTU















$\langle u_3^2 \rangle = 2/3\, k_T$

$\langle u_1^2 \rangle : \langle u_2^2 \rangle : \langle u_3^2 \rangle = 4 : 2 : 3$

$\langle u_2^2 \rangle = 0.45\, k_T$

Overall comparisons



CPV @ IAG LWT



array @ UFAFF



TEN farfield noise data

elliptic mirror @ DLR AWB

NASA BPM database



b = 1 m
r = 1 m
1/3-octave band spectra

θ = 90° chord-normal
view direction for noise prediction

DLR



1/3-octave band FF noise spectra $L_{p(1/3)}(f_c)$ CASE#1







Overall comparisons

Pressure data

FF pressure data (all cases) sorted to identify common trends, supplemented by surface pressure data;

are relative effects captured by the predictions?



Effect of flow velocity on $L_{p(1/3)}(f_c)$ and $G_{pp}(f)$: CASE#1 vs. #4



Effect of a-o-a on $L_{p(1/3)}(f_c)$: CASES#1 to #3









Effect of airfoil geometry on $L_{p(1/3)}(f_c)$: CASE#2 vs. CASE#5











Conclusions

- The outcome of the BANC-III workshop category 1 has been summarized.
- Results display a high scientific quality level; FF TEN predictions are within or very close to the provided data scatter band, TEN maxima are principally well-predicted; but:
  - General trends (a-o-a, velocity scaling) are not always correctly predicted.
  - Code-specific advantages/disadvantages are observable, indicating that a methodology which comprehensively predicts all of the requested nearfield & FF quantities is not available to date.
- The category 1 workshop problem remains a challenging simulation task due to its high requirements on resolving/modeling of TBL source quantities.
- We still faced a comparatively low number of participants, these were mainly developers of faster approaches dedicated for use in an industrial context (design-to-noise), BANC-III-1 results will hopefully activate multiplied follow-on activity by anyone interested to join the community.

Outlook

- We hope to motivate a more representative spectrum of the TEN community to participate at BANC-IV-1 in 2016.

- BANC-IV-1 will supplement the existing CASES#1–5 by additional datasets:
  - **0.6-m chord NACA64-618** data provided by DTU Wind Energy; $c_p(x_1)$, flow profiles, $L_{p(1/3)}(f_c)$, $G_{pp}(f)$, spanwise correlation of G_{pp}; **Re = 1.43 Mio.**

Case#6	**45.03 m/s -0.88°**	
Case#7	**44.98 m/s 4.62°**	

A. Fischer, 2011

array @ VTST

Outlook

- We hope to motivate a more representative spectrum of the TEN community to participate at BANC-IV-1 in 2016.

- BANC-IV-1 will supplement the existing CASES#1–5 by additional datasets:
  - **0.6-m chord NACA64-618** data provided by DTU Wind Energy; $c_p(x_1)$, flow profiles, $L_{p(1/3)}(f_c)$, $G_{pp}(f)$, spanwise correlation of G_{pp}; **Re = 1.43 Mio.**

- The by now established BANC category 1 data base is open for use to anyone interested and will be maintained according to your feedback.

- The BANC-IV-1 updated problem statement will be soon available; if you wish to be included in the distribution list please contact:

michaela.herr@dlr.de

See you at BANC-IV 2–3 June 2016 in Lyon?

Thank you for your attention!