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Abstract—The increase in global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) availability and services is fostering a new wave of applications related to satellite navigation. Such increase is also followed by more and more threats, aiming at signal disruption. In order to fully exploit the potentialities of precise and reliable navigation, being able at the same time to counteract threats such as interference, jamming and spoofing, smart antenna systems are being investigated worldwide, with the requirements of multi-band operation and compactness. In order to answer such need, the present work proposes a miniaturized dielectric resonator antenna (DRA) 2x2 array able to operate at E5/L5, L2, E6 bands, with an overall footprint of only 3.5” (89 mm).

Index Terms—GNSS, array, miniaturization, DRA, antenna

I. INTRODUCTION

The increase in GNSS constellations and services alongside with the GPS system, as for instance the forthcoming Galileo system, enables a plethora of novel applications for what concerns the precise positioning of people, vehicles and goods. Such spreading of the relevance of satellite navigation in different applications also causes more and more interest in malicious attacks, for instance through jamming or spoofing, to deny the service [1] or even try to deceive the navigation functionality [2].

In order to fully exploit the offered possibilities and at the same time counteract possible threats, high-performance systems, able to steer the antenna pattern and hence place nulls against interferences and maxima at the direction of arrival (DOA) of signals, are being developed all over the world (see e.g. [3]). These new systems might be particularly useful in mobile applications, such as aircraft or vehicles, where precise localization can play an important role. However, the canonical dimensions of the antennas, as well as of receivers, do not fit with the requirements of low profile and low weight, typical of mobile applications: in the aeronautic sector, for instance, the tolerable size is regulated by ARINC standard dimensions[4]. There is hence a need for miniaturization both of the single radiator and of the overall antenna array, to enable real-life implementation of the developed systems.

Moreover, benefits can be easily foreseen if antennas and receivers are able to process at the same time signals coming from multiple bands, with increases in precision, availability and robustness [5].

A few different works are already available regarding robust and reliable satellite navigation receivers: in [3], a single band 2x2 array is shown, together with a complete receiver, demonstrating the smart antenna capability for interference suppression. Proper algorithms for adaptive array processing for robust navigation are for instance reported in [6], [7], [8]. For what concerns the geometry of the antenna array, different non-planar geometries are studied in [9], [10], [11], showing optimal array performance at low-elevation, but having relatively large dimensions.

On the other hand, in [12], a miniaturization of the system (and hence of the antenna array) for use at L1 band is pursued, achieving a size of 10x10 cm² (diameter 141 mm). Moreover, a dual-band system at L1 and L2 bands is reported in [13], having however an overall diameter of 165 mm, hence still too big for instance for aeronautical requirements.

The need for further work on antenna miniaturization for GNSS arrays is therefore evident. Antenna miniaturization can be pursued by means of different techniques (see [14] for a broad review): the geometry of the antenna can be changed by using meandering, effectively enlargening the current path and hence lowering the resonance frequency [15]; however, this technique usually strongly degrades the polarization purity of circularly polarized antennas, hence not being suited for precise GNSS applications. Material loading is also possible, by using materials with high dielectric or magnetic costants, which effectively provide a wave slowdown and hence antenna miniaturization, normally at the cost of reduced bandwidth. At L-band, there are a few low-loss materials with high dielectric constant (DK), while materials with high magnetic constants usually are more lossy at these frequencies and find therefore little application at L-band. Finally, metamaterials, as well as electromagnetic band gap (EBG) materials [16], can be used to pursue miniaturization: they are however usually bulky and would increase relevantly the cost of the antenna.

Among the above techniques, material loading with high DK materials seems particularly promising in GNSS applications, because it allows for strong miniaturization of structures, without affecting polarization purity and without the need for additional layers, as instead is the case for metamaterials. In this work, therefore, a bulk glass ceramic material with a DK of ~30 will be used: this will enable a single antenna diameter of only 30.5 mm, which will then allow to obtain the very compact 2x2 array footprint of 89 mm (3.5 inches), remarkably smaller than the ones previously reported and fulfilling compactness requirements.

The single antennas are dielectric resonator antennas (DRAs) and are designed for operation at E5/L2/E6 bands. Special measures will be shown, that minimize mutual coupling between the very close radiators.

The paper is therefore divided as follows: Sec. II shows the single antenna design, while array design is explained in Sec. III. Measurement results are reported in Sec. IV. Finally,
conclusions are drawn in Sec. V.

II. SINGLE ANTENNA DESIGN

A. Modality of operation

Dielectric resonators (DRs) \[17\] - \[18\] have been thoroughly analyzed in the last decades and are now used for various applications, such as microwave filters and oscillators. They have the characteristic property of having very few metal parts and hence exhibit quite low losses even at very high frequency. Thanks to such feature, their use as antennas is now becoming popular, because low losses allow high radiation efficiency. Their behaviour is based on the resonant mode(s) of a dielectric cavity, established by means of a feeding element. Such mode will however not be totally confined into the dielectric material, but will also leak out, leading to radiation.

By using dielectric resonator antennas (DRAs) \[18\] for miniaturized arrays, two additional advantages are available: on the one hand, they can make use of very high DK dielectric materials, hence enabling strong miniaturization, while exhibiting a relatively broader bandwidth than microstrip antennas \[19\]. On the other hand, they are not planar, but volumetric structures and hence give designers additional degrees of freedom during the design phase.

In the present work, a bulk glass ceramic material from Schott AG (Schott GHz 33, with DK of about 33) has been taken into consideration for the DRA. The high DK value will allow a strong miniaturization of the single antenna and therefore permit to obtain a more compact array. The material has superior properties in terms of purity (and hence has minimal DK tolerances) and temperature dependence. The antenna has a cylindrical shape and is placed on a 3.5” metallic plate (Fig. II.1 (a)).

Two metallic strips, conformal to the DRA surface and soldered to the inner conductor of SMA connectors, act as feeds for the DRA, exciting orthogonal linear polarized fields (namely, mode HEM1,1 along x- or y-axis respectively). The height of the metallic strips can be properly chosen for optimal matching, hence providing an additional tuning parameter. RHCP polarization is then obtained with the use of a commercial 90° hybrid combiner (ZX10Q-2-19-S+ from Minicircuits, exhibiting good behaviour in the whole L-band), connected to the two SMA connectors, i.e. to the feeds of the two orthogonal linear fields. Finally, the upper surface of the cylinder is fully covered by copper ("copper cap", Fig. II.1 (a)): this helps \[20\] in obtaining even further miniaturization.

B. Analysis of the DRA

In order to fully master the behaviour of the antenna and to identify the tuning parameters for optimal matching, a series of numerical simulations have been performed with an electromagnetic (e.m.) solver, Ansys HFSS, showing the effect of variable geometrical parameters on the antenna characteristics. In particular, the radius \(r\) and height \(h\) of the DRA cylinder, the length of the copper strips \(l_{cs}\) and the radius of the copper cap \(r_{cc}\) have been taken into account. The results are shown in Fig. II.2 and II.3.

The radius of the cylinder and the radius of the copper cap are mostly effective on the resonance frequency, while the length of the copper strip and the height of the cylinder act mostly on the impedance values (i.e. sharpen or flatten the resonant peaks), hence turning useful in the search for optimal matching once the correct resonance frequency has been found.
The copper cap actually perturbs the fields of mode HEM_{11δ} (excited through probe feeding), by imposing a metal boundary condition (i.e. the need for non-zero E-field components directed only normally to the surface) at the upper face of the DRA. Such perturbation however, does not change the essential radiation characteristics of the antenna \[21\], which remains, as for the pure HEM_{11δ} mode, substantially pointing towards zenith (i.e. z-axis in Fig. II.1), as requested for GNSS antennas.

Nevertheless, the presence of the cap produces a shift of the resonance towards lower frequencies, hence providing an easy, yet powerful, way for further miniaturization.

C. Final Design for the Single Antenna

Using the precious information of the former Paragraph, it is possible to optimally match the antenna (in standalone configuration): best performance is found when the single antenna element has a diameter of 30.5 mm, a height of 20 mm, a length of the copper strips of 10 mm and a radius of the copper cap of 15.25 mm (Fig. II.4).

In this case, the antenna achieves the realized gain depicted in Fig. II.5. As it can be observed, the single antenna has optimal performance both for gain and for matching at L2 band, i.e. in the middle of the frequency range covering also E5 and E6 bands. At \( f = 1228 \) MHz, the simulated realized gain towards zenith is 4.5 dBi, while it is higher than -3 dBi also at E5a and E6 bands.

The single antenna shown in the previous Section has been used as “building block” for the 2x2 array. Thanks to the reduced dimension of the single antenna, very small mutual distances between neighbouring elements are achievable, hence allowing to obtain the required very compact footprint. The antennas have been positioned following a sequentially rotated geometry, in order to help in improving polarization purity of the overall array. A 3D view of the array is shown in Fig. II.1(b). The array footprint size is 89 mm and the distance between antennas is \( d = 37 \) mm. Results for such configuration will be shown in Par. III.A. Metallic fences have later been placed between the antennas, to limit the mutual coupling effects, due to the very close vicinity of antennas \( (d= 37 \text{ mm} \approx \lambda/7 \text{ at E5 band}) \), as discussed in Par. III.B. Finally, an absorber substrate has been added on top of the fence edges to minimize spurious radiation as shown in Sec. III.C.

III. ARRAY DESIGN
A. Miniaturized 2x2 Array

When the antennas are placed in array configuration (sequentially rotated to improve polarization purity), strong mutual coupling is expected between them, due to the very close vicinity.

As a matter of fact, such mutual coupling can be observed in the S-Parameters for port-1 in Fig. III.2: for instance, $S_{18} \sim -2$ dB at $f = 1.228 \text{ GHz}$, implying that more than half of the power of port 1 is coupled to port 8.

B. Miniaturized 2x2 Array with Metallic Fences

In order to limit the crosstalk between the different ports of the array, metallic fences (Fig. II.1 (c)) can be used in between them [23], [24].

The positive effect of fences in reducing mutual coupling can be clearly observed in Fig. III.4, where S-Parameters for port 1 are shown: all mutual S-Parameters are now below -10 dB, apart from $S_{12}$, which is $\sim$-8 dB at L2 frequency.

A substantial decrease in coupling is therefore achieved, which also allows for maximum embedded gain in the bands of interest, as shown in Fig. III.5. Due to the residual coupling, the gain is however lower than for the standalone configuration. Moreover, an high cross polarization is obtained.

Each antenna has two ports, representing the two feeding probes. The port numbering can be seen in Fig. III.1.

$^2$ $S_{12}$ expresses the power flow between the two probes of the same antenna and therefore does not benefit from the addition of the metallic fences. Actually, the fence has even a detrimental effect for the $S_{12}$, as it contributes in coupling signal from port 1 to port 2 by mirroring radiated power back towards the antenna itself.
The high cross polarization can be ascribed mostly to the spurious radiation caused by the currents flowing on the upper edges of the metallic fence, whose radiation then interferes with the fields originated from the antenna itself (Fig. III.6). The metallic fences effectively behave as a near field scatterer and hence diminish the polarization purity of the antenna. In order to limit such effect, an absorber can be used, as shown in the next Subsection.

C. Miniaturized 2x2 Array with Metallic Fences and Absorber

In order to limit the spurious radiation due to the fence edges, an absorber material can be placed on top of the fence, with the effect of strongly attenuating the currents radiating from the fence edges.

A flexible rubber based microwave absorber from ARC (UD-11091-7), having a thickness of 5.08mm, has been used (Fig. III.7). The absorber protrudes vertically 5 mm from the upper edge of the fences in order to minimize the additional vertical height of the antenna. On the other hand, the depth of the absorber from the fence edges ($d_{abs}$ in Fig. III.7) shall take into account two constrasting requirements: on the one hand, having an absorber on the whole lateral face of the fence would help in minimizing also the field reflected from the fence and coupled back into the DRA, hence further improving polarization purity; on the other hand, it would also lower the achievable gain, due to the higher energy dissipation in the near field of the antenna.

An optimal result can be found by maximizing the goal function

$$g f = w_1 G_{R,f_0} + w_2 XPD_{f_0}$$

(III.1)

where $w_1$ and $w_2$ are weighting coefficients and $G_{R,f_0}$ is the realized gain at boresight at the center frequency $f_0 = 1200 MHz$ and $XPD_{f_0}$ is the cross polarization discrimination in boresight direction at $f_0$.

An optimal result is found (blue cross in Fig. III.8) when the absorber depth is 5 mm from the edge (hence implying a total height of the absorber of 10 mm): for such case, the RHCP gain at $f_0$ is -1.8 dBiC and the XPD= 20 dB (Fig. III.9), hence substantially improved with respect to the case with no absorber.

It is worth noticing how the gain in the array configuration, both with or without absorber, has a much broader bandwidth than the single antenna, though having a lower peak value:
this is however a favourable property for our application, as it allows satellite signals received at all bands to have similar power levels, due to the similar antenna gain. Relatively low gain levels are however not too problematic for GNSS applications, as long as the signals can properly be tracked, as is for instance the case in mobile phone GNSS antennas, having gains also 15 dB smaller than high-level antennas, due to their extremely small size [25].

In our case, it is therefore more useful to have multiple antennas closely packed together with lower gain, but providing the possibility for beamsteering and nulling, than a single antenna with fixed pattern and higher gain.

![Figure III.9. Boresight realized gain of the 2x2 array of DRAs with fences and absorber for the embedded case (only antenna 1 fed)](image)

The field radiated by the fence edge is moreover substantially diminished (Fig. III.10), actively contributing to a better polarization purity. The manufacturing and measurement of the proposed arrays is reported in next Section.

![Figure III.10. E-field in the xz plane (vertical plane passing through the fence) for the 2x2 array of DRAs with fences and absorber. Only one antenna is fed. A reduced radiation from the fence is now visible.](image)

**IV. MEASUREMENT**

The antennas were manufactured in house. In order to enable an easier manufacturing, the copper strips and cap have been obtained through adhesive copper sheet glued to the surface of the glass ceramic cylinder. The DRA has then been fixed on the ground plane by means of an RF adhesive tape and the copper strips have been soldered to the inner conductors of SMA connectors. Both the antenna and the arrays (without fences or with fences and absorber) were measured in a near field antenna measurement facility (Satimo Starlab) (Fig. IV.1). External 90° hybrids are used in field measurement to excite circular polarization, i.e. to impose a 90° shift between the two orthogonal ports of the antenna.

![Figure IV.1. Manufactured array: (a) array with metallic fences and absorber; (b) array without metallic fences, mounted on the movable support of the antenna measurement facility](image)

**A. Single Antenna**

The single antenna was measured in the centre of a metallic plate with diameter of 3.5 inches (i.e. the same plane size used for the array). The results are shown in Fig. IV.2 and IV.3, where the measured gain at boresight vs. frequency and the S-Parameters are reported.

![Figure IV.2. Boresight realized gain of the single DRA versus frequency](image)

The measured $S_{11}$ is slightly worse than the simulated one, most probably due to the non-perfect manufacturing of the strips: improvements are expected if the feeding parts are directly printed on the DRA instead of manually attaching them. The slight mismatch contributes also to a slight degradation of maximum gain, but with an improvement of the bandwidth. Nevertheless, a maximum gain of 3.9 dBi at L2 band is found, quite close to the simulated results.
B. Miniaturized 2x2 Array

The miniaturized 2x2 array without fences has then been measured, with gain results as shown in Fig. IV.4. Sequential rotation of the excitations between different antennas has been obtained with a commercial 1-to-4 sequential power combiner. As expected from simulation, a strong deterioration is experienced in embedded gain, due to mutual coupling effects. A relevant frequency shift is also clearly distinguishable, inhibiting the use of the array for the bands of interest.

C. 2x2 Array with Fences and Absorber

A cross-like metallic fence (25 mm high and 1 mm thick) with 10 mm absorber (5 above and 5 below the edge) has then been added in between the antennas in order to reduce mutual coupling, as shown in Sec. III.B. The results of the measurements performed with the fence between the antennas are shown in Fig. IV.5-IV.7.

In this case, good agreement between simulation and measurement is found both for S-Parameters and Gain. For this configuration, optimal matching is obtained at $f_0 = 1200$ MHz, i.e. in the middle of the bands of interest. A gain of -3.2, -3.1 and -3.8 dBic is achieved, respectively, at E5, L2 and E6 central frequencies. Though smaller than the one
of the single antenna configuration, the gain obtained in array configuration is still sufficient for successful satellite tracking and acquisition even when using only one output of the array: however, the use of multiple antennas in such a reduced footprint provides the additional capability of null steering and hence substantially improves robustness against interference with respect to the single antenna case. The 3D embedded pattern is moreover shown in Fig. [V.7] with a clear indication of the almost hemispherical behaviour of the RHCP polarization and reduced cross polarization, hence enabling an optimal use of the array for precise and robust navigation purposes.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A miniaturized 2x2 array, made of dielectric resonator antennas, for robust GNSS navigation at E5/L2/E6 band has been shown. The use of a high DK material enables good miniaturization, helping to pack the 2x2 array in a footprint of 3.5" (= 89 mm) and hence making it suitable for mobile applications, such as for instance in airplanes or cars. Metallic fences and a planar absorber are used to decrease mutual coupling due to the close vicinity of the antennas, hence managing to obtain strong cross polarization discrimination and reduced cross talk between the antennas and therefore promising optimal behaviour as miniaturized array for interference suppression in robust navigation applications.
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