

Assessing the climate impact of a multi-fuel blended wing body: Results from the AHEAD EU-project

Grewe, V., Bock, L., Burkhardt, U., Gierens, K., Untersträßer, S.,

DLR-Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen

Reichelt, T., Institut für Strömungsmechanik und Technische Akustik, TU Berlin,

Gangoli Rao, A., Bhat, A., Yin, F., Aerospace Engineering, Delft University of Technology,

Levy, Y., Technion, Israel Institute of Technology




Motivation

Future (2050+) aviation faces various challenges, such as

- Mitigation of climate change (ACARE goals)
- Fuel supply

EU-project AHEAD


In the AHEAD EU-project, we addressed these open questions by investigating a multi-fuel blended wing body:



Two future fuels with 30% of propulsion energy from either LNG or LH2 and 70% from biofuels burnt in flameless combustion.
 ⇒ Low CO₂ and low NO_x

Rao and van Buijtenen, 2011

Engine and aircraft



- Two combustion chambers
 - LNG / LH2
 - Biofuel burnt in hot and vitiated environment
 ⇒ flameless combustion with very low NO_x and CO emissions
- Successfully tested at test-rigs

- Similar size and range as conventional a/c e.g. B777-200ER

- LNG and LH2 can be stored in the rear and biofuels in wings.



Tools and Methods

Contrail formation

Adapted Schmidt-Appleman Criterion; Mixing Slope G in the H₂O-T phase diagram

Properties of the early contrail

Large-Eddy Simulations to determine contrail depth and ice crystal numbers
 ⇒ Contrail depth similar, but ice crystal number lower for LH2-fuel

Contrail-Cirrus





Climate model with contrail-cirrus parametrisation (2-moment-scheme)

Climate impact assessment


Reference: „Future“-B787/B777-200ER
 Scenario: EIS 2050 Full fleet: 2075
 Average Temperature Response
 Time Horizon: 2050-2150

Climate impact

Climate-chemistry response model AirClim was adapted and used to estimate the impact of MF-BWB on climate.




Trajectories and Emissions




| Parameters for the scenarios | Value |
|---|--------------------------|
| Entry into Service (EIS) | 2050 |
| Full fleet size | 2075 |
| Constant share of the fleet | 2075-2150 |
| Annual flown reference distance for full fleet | 5.4 · 10 ⁸ km |
| Number of city pairs | 10 |
| Reference aircraft with year 2000 technology | B777-200ER |
| Reference aircraft with year 2014 technology | B787-8 |
| Reference aircraft with year 2050 technology | B787-FUT |
| Future fuel efficiency improvement (B787-FUT) | 10% |
| Carbon neutral contribution by drop-in biofuels | 25% (2050) |

- Trajectory calculations show distinct differences between the aircraft.
- Higher altitudes for BWB
- Emission Indices are calculated using experimental results combined with detailed modelling.
- Ten representative city pairs are chosen to calculate global emission data
- Low NO_x emissions from BWB
- But larger H₂O emissions, especially at high altitudes




Climate Impact Assessment



Left: MF-BWB with LNG reduces the longterm climate impact by roughly 20 to 25% compared to conventional technology.
 Less NO_x and contrail impacts
 More H₂O impact

Right: Climate impact reduction per pax-km is even more pronounced (right).



Conclusions

- The use of a multi fuel blended wing body has the potential to significantly reduce the climate impact from aviation.
- Most promising is the reduction in
 - contrail-cirrus climate impact (partially due to reduced ice particle number densities) and
 - NO_x climate impact via ozone, methane and primary mode ozone (feedback from methane changes)
- Water vapour climate impact is enhanced by MF-BWBs
- LNG more promising than LH2, especially since production of LH2 is not considered.

Next steps:

- Analysis of atmospheric uncertainties on the results.
- Engine integration, Boundary Layer Ingestion, ...

Further reading:

<http://www.ahead-euproject.eu/>