# Interferometric Evaluation of Sentinel-1A TOPS data

N. Yague-Martinez, F. Rodriguez Gonzalez, R. Brcic, R. Shau

Remote Sensing Technology Institute. DLR, Germany



FRINGE 2015 WORKSHOP ESA–ESRIN | Frascati, Italy





# Knowledge for Tomorrow

# **Overview**

- Sentinel-1 TOPS IW mode
- Interferometric examples
- Integrated Wide Area Processor. InSAR Processing Chain
  - Spectral shift filtering
    - Burst synchronization evaluation
  - Coregistration
    - ESD estimator
    - Along-track shifts evaluation
  - Slices mosaicking
- Conclusions



# Sentinel-1 TOPS IW mode

- Terrain Observation by Progressive Scan
- S1 Interferometric Wide Swath (IWS) mode
- Range Coverage: 250 km
- SLC data available in slices of approx. 200 km length
- Resolution: 5 m (rg) x 20 m (az)
- Three subswaths: IW1, IW2, IW3





# Sentinel-1 – IW TOPS, Genoa

- Acquisition lies over north-west Italy
- Elevation reaches 2000 m
- Urban areas, plains, forested mountains

Master Date	19-08-2014		
Slave Date	07-08-2014 (12 days)		
Mode	IW		
Resolution	4.5 m x 20.9 m (Burst 1, Beam 1)		
Extension	249 km x 179 km		
Polarisation	VV		
Orbit Direction	Ascending		
Effective Baseline	121.4 m avg.		
Height of Ambiguity	128.5 m avg.		
Incidence Angle	30.5° – 45.9° (15.4°)		
Average Coherence	0.17		

#### **DEM Corrected Interferometric Coherence and Phase**



# Sentinel-1 – IW TOPS, Spain

- Zaragoza, Aragón, Spain.
- Coherence-drop
- Heavy rains in August 2014, AEMET

Master Date	19-08-2014		
Slave Date	31-08-2014 (12 days)		
Mode	IW		
Resolution	4.5 m x 20.9 m (Burst 1, Beam 1)		
Extension	249 km x 179 km		
Polarisation	VV		
Orbit Direction	Descending		
Effective Baseline	49.0 m avg.		
Height of Ambiguity	317.4 m avg.		
Incidence Angle	30.5° – 45.9° (15.4°)		

#### **DEM Corrected Interferometric Coherence and Phase**



# Integrated Wide Area Processor (IWAP)

- A multi-mode multi-sensor PS-InSAR processor
- Based on TMSP, ITP, PSI-GENESIS
- Flexible modular approach
- Automated
- Multi-threading and parallel processing

TOPS Mode - three level hierarchical structure







### **TOPS bursts spectral properties**



•  $T_{dwell} << T_{burst}$ 

(T<sub>dwell</sub>: integration time for a point target)

- Azimuth resolution worse due to steering of the antenna. Resolution controlled by T<sub>dwell</sub>.
- Time-varying spectrum



# **InSAR Processing Chain – Burst level**



Quality Control (ESD)



### **Burst synchronization: Mutual Along-track position**



### **Burst synchronization: Pointing accuracy / TZDS**



# **Common Doppler Bandwidth Evaluation**

#### Ascending



Stack 9 IWS images (06/10/2014 – 22/01/2015) Master: 05/12/2014

#### Descending



Stack 10 IWS images (03/10/2014 – 31/01/2015) Master: 02/12/2014



### **Common Doppler Bandwidth Evaluation**

#### Ascending

#### Descending



S1 IW. Doppler Bandwidth				
Subswath	IW1	IW2	IW3	
Doppler BW	327 Hz	313 Hz	314 Hz	

# **Common Doppler Bandwidth Evaluation (percentage)**



No significant coherence loss if no-filtering in azimuth performed. Analysis on more datasets on-going.



### **Coregistration requirements**



Time-varying spectrum of TOPS bursts!

InSAR phase error due to an azimuth misregistration<sup>1</sup>,  $\Delta t$ :

$$\Delta \phi_{\rm burst} = 2\pi \Delta f_{dc} \Delta t$$



	S1 TOPS IW mode
Azimuth resolution	20 m
Azimuth pixel spacing	14.1 m
Needed Azimuth co-registration accuracy*	~0.001 pixel (1.4 cm)

\*Allowing  $\Delta \varphi_{burst} = 1/100$  cycle = 3.6°

<sup>1</sup> R. Scheiber, A. Moreira. Coregistration of Interferometric SAR Images using Spectral Diversity", *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 2000* 



# Coregistration error effect. Salar de Uyuni

Artificial azimuth shift of 0.05 pixels (for demonstration)

Fine azimuth coregistration





### **Coregistration Workflow**



Mosaicking at sub-swath or slice level + Quality Control (ESD)



# Coregistration

- Geometric prediction with external DEM and orbit information
- Range: Linear correction to account for orbital errors / geodynamic effects
  - Incoherent Cross Correlation (ICC)
- Azimuth: Rigid shift correction to account for orbital timing error / geodynamic effects
  - Enhanced Spectral Diversity (ESD)<sup>1</sup> -> achieves fine azimuth coregistration requirement.
- Orbit sources:
  - Annotated in L1 Product / Restituted Orbit / Precise Orbit

	Restituted	Precise	
Accuracy from Specs.	10 cm 2D (1-sigma)	5 cm 3D (1-sigma)	
Expected AT InSAR accuracy	10 cm (1-sigma)	4.08 cm (1-sigma)	

<sup>1</sup>P.Prats-Iraola, R. Scheiber, S. Wollstadt, A. Reigber "TOPS Interferometry with TerraSAR-X", *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 50, no. 8, pp. 3179 -3188. 2012





 $\Delta y$ : az. shift (pix);  $f_{az}$ : SLC az. sampling freq.;  $\Delta f_p^{ovl}$ : freq. diff for each pixel in overlap area

• Apply **pixel-wise** to burst overlaps within subswath

$$\widehat{\Delta y} = \arg\min_{\Delta y} \left\{ \left| \operatorname{atan} \sum_{p} e^{j \left( \phi_{ESD,p} - 2\pi \Delta f_{p}^{ovl} \frac{\Delta y}{f_{az}} \right)} \right| \right\}$$

• ESD phase ambiguity band

	IW1	IW2	IW3
$<\Delta f_p^{ovl}>$	4814.25 Hz	4044.80	4267.22
Amb. Band	± 0.71 m	± 0.85 m	± 0.80 m

• ESD can be applied directly after geometric coregistration if **Precise** / **Restituted** Orbits are used (Ambiguity band is solved).

# **Along-tracks shifts evaluation**

- Analysed orbits:
  - Restituted
  - Precise
- Temporal analysis
  - Use two stacks of acquisitions over Mexico City.
  - Analysis of the residual azimuth shift over time.

#### Spatial Analysis

- Use of a datatake over Germany with six slices.
- Analysis of the residual azimuth shift along azimuth.



### Along-tracks shifts evaluation: Temporal analysis

#### Solid Earth Tides considered



### Along-tracks shifts evaluation: Spatial analysis





### Along-tracks shifts evaluation: Spatial analysis



Good spatial stability allows to retrieve timing offset from one slice, being applicable to the rest of slices.



# **Slice Mosaicking**

- An L0 datatake packaged as L1 slice products (IW mode)
- All slices are processed with the same parameters on a common grid
- IW slice products were interferometrically processed using IWAP and then mosaicked
  - Varying mean height between slices for FEP calculation → phase jumps InSAR phase → set consistently mean height.
- Could also mosaic L1 slice products and then perform InSAR processing → datatake level coregistration





### Conclusions

- IWAP InSAR processing chain presented.
  - Uses a combination of ICC and ESD for fine coregistration
- S1A analysed data presents very good burst synchronization. Azimuth spectral shift filtering necessary? More analysis on-going.
- Necessary to refine azimuth geometric shifts.
- Stability of along-track shifts within a DT (6 slices analysed) allows to retrieve orbital timing offset from one slice (if enough coherence) and apply it to the rest of slices.

