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I. INTRODUCTION

EYER recently, the paper [1] introduced the concept of RFID Grid, e.g., a generally coupled multitude of UHF (or higher frequency) RFID tags, including single-chip tags in close mutual proximity or a single tag with a plurality of embedded microchips. This model is useful to predict the impedance and the backscattering performances of tags over a dense collection of objects such as items over a shelf, as well as to design new sensing-oriented tags. RFID tags, indeed, may be equipped with more than a single chip to communicate the identity of the object, by one of the chip, and its physical state by means of the other chip or a combination of both [2]–[4].

In [1] the basic equations for the direct and inverse links were derived by using the very general model of multi-port loaded scatterers. The theoretical analysis has permitted to discover new features, some of them common to MIMO and Space-Diversity systems [5], and in particular the possibility

i) to handle the inter-antenna coupling in a useful way with the purpose to improve the power harvested by the microchip and hence to enlarge the read range;

ii) to achieve measured analog backscattered information which are rather independent on the mutual position between reader and tags themselves (distance and orientation). Such a function, denoted as Analog Identifier (A-Id) as complementation of the Digital Identifier (D-Id) normally stored in the microchip memory, originates from the combined processing of direct- and inverse-link measurements. A-Id could have significant application in the context of sensing and security. In both cases, indeed, the analog response of the tag (basically the level of the backscattered power or the turn-on power) is processed in addition to the digital microchip identifier, to earn some physical information about the tag’s identity (security) and about the variation of the tagged object of the nearby environment (sensing). At these purposes it is of primary importance that the reading of analog information from the tag is as more independent as possible on the interrogation modality, in order to easily collect the tag’s response at different times without the need to strictly replicate a specific reader-tag set-up. In particular, the availability of reading-robust functions could boost the emerging pervasive sensing and context aware applications within the Internet of Things paradigm [6].

The wireless characterization of RFID tags has been mainly focused to the measurement of the tag’s read range or radiation patterns starting from the experimental evaluation of the threshold (or turn-on) power level [7] of the tag. Another performance parameter, commonly measured, is the backscattered power from the tag to the reader’s detector or, more in general, the tag’s radar cross section [8], [9]. Both turn-on power and RCS are related to gain and input impedance of the tag and therefore they cannot be easily de-embedded by means of conventional wireless measurements techniques. The characterization of tag input impedance is instead generally performed by using balanced probes and de-embedding procedures as in [10].

The response of RFID grids has never been characterized in a systematic way: some studies [11]–[16] have been conducted in order to assess the overall influence of mutual coupling between tags over the degradation of the RFID link and the results are mainly aiming at mitigating this degradation (see [1] for an extended discussion).

On the other hand, in the perspective of understanding the coupling between tags to exploit useful features, this paper presents a detailed experimental campaign to prove and discuss some of the concepts introduced in the previous companion paper [1] by the help of real-world examples having incremental complexity. Starting from a tag with a single microchip, the experiments go on through integrated two-microchips tags, up to $3 \times 3$ RFID grids. Measurements have been performed in presence of different reader-grid positions, time-varying tagged objects and non-stationary environments. The aim is to demonstrate that the RFID-oriented analytical formulas provided in [1] can be useful to dominate the inter-port coupling within the design task and to verify the true degree of invariance of the analog identifier in uncontrolled conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the measurement procedures for the relevant RFID responses,
including angle- and distance-invariant analog identifiers, the turn-on power and the realized gain. A single-chip tag in stand-alone configuration is measured in Section III to understand the quality of responses achievable in absence of inter-tag interactions and of other ambient perturbation. Three examples of two-chips grids are then considered in detail in Section IV, with particular attention to evaluate the improvement in the RFID performances by a systematic design of the grid, and to characterize the angular invariance of the analog identifier also in sensing-like applications. Finally, a $3 \times 3$ grid of commercial tags is explored in Section V where the sensitivity of the fingerprint is experienced with respect to the presence of metallic scatterers close to the interrogating reader.

II. BACKGROUND AND MEASUREMENT METHODOLOGY

According to [1], the power scavenging performances of an RFID Grid are completely characterized in the free space by means of the embedded realized gain of each $n$th port

$$
\tilde{G}_n = 4\pi R_{C,n} | Y_{G,n} | \cdot g^2
$$

where $[Y_{G,n}]$ is the $n$th row of the admittance matrix $Y_G = (Z_C + Z)^{-1}$ of the grid, being $Z$ the impedance matrix referred to the RFID microchips’ connections, and $Z_C$ a diagonal matrix containing the equivalent impedances $\{Z_{C,n} = R_{C,n} + jX_{C,n}\}$ of the microchips. The vector $g$ of elements $g_n = \sqrt{R_{nm}G_n(\phi)}|Y_{nm}|/R_{nm}P_{th}(\phi)$ embeds all the electromagnetic parameters associated with the ports, e.g., the open circuit gain $G_n$, the polarization mismatch $\chi_n$, the self resistance $R_{nn}$ and finally the phase $\phi_n$ of the embedded field. The gain $\tilde{G}_n$ is the parameter to be maximized (in the useful direction) for best power scavenging and hence for longest read distance.

Physical information about the Grid and about its interaction with the tagged objects can be extracted from the analog identifier or fingerprint $F_n$ of the $n$th port, independently on the reading modalities. The analog identifier has been defined in [1] as a function of the turn-on power $P_{th}$ of the $n$th port and of the corresponding backscattered power $P_{R\rightarrow T_n}$ collected by the reader. For the particular modulation scheme for which the microchip’ impedance is switched between a high impedance and the scavenging impedance $Z_{G,n}$, the analog identifier may be written in a very compact form

$$
F_n \equiv \frac{P_n}{\sqrt{P_{R\rightarrow T_n}P_{th}}} \propto R_{C,n} | Y_{G,n} | \tag{2}
$$

where $P_n$ is the sensitivity of the microchip at the $n$th port, and the proportionality factor accounts for the reader’s front-end and the modulation scheme, as discussed later on.

The particular case of the single-chip tag yields

$$
F \equiv \frac{R_C}{Z_A + Z_C} \tag{3}
$$

where $Z_A$ is simply the input impedance of the antenna of the tag. Both the above expressions may be evaluated along with the frequency to carry on further informations.

The physical meaning of analog identifier, and its calculation from experimental data deserves some clarification. It combines, by definition, measured data from both the direct (power harvesting) and reverse (power backscattering) links. Under the hypothesis of a stationary environment between the reader’s interrogation and the tag’s responses, any interaction with the nearby environment, and the mutual distance and orientation between the reader and the RFID systems, will affect both the links in a same manner, thanks to the antenna reciprocity. Hence, it was demonstrated that the simple combination of turn-on power and backscattered power will mathematically remove any kind of the above mentioned electromagnetic interactions. What remains is the functional dependence on the $n$th diagonal entry $Y_{G,n}$ of the complete admittance matrix, in the case of multi-chips systems, or the dependence on the series connection between the input impedance of the tag’s antenna and of the microchip in the simpler case of one-chip tag. In this sense, the A-Id is a structural property of the (multi-port) tag. Since the network admittance matrix is sensitive to the object where the tag is attached on, the variation of the A-Id in successive measurements may be related to time-changing chemical-physical properties of the object, therefore giving sensing capability. The orientation-invariance of A-Id will permit to perform this measurement without taking care to replicate a same reader-tag position at successive times.

The calculation of A-Id requires the knowledge of the turn-on power $P_{th}$. At this purpose the power entering into the reader’s antenna is gradually increased, in a controlled way, till the $n$th chip begins responding. At that moment, such a value of input power is the required turn-on $P_{th}$ and the corresponding backscattered power $P_{R\rightarrow T_n}$ received by the reader is stored on a Personal Computer. In case of multi-chips configurations, an inventory task is preliminary performed, e.g., all the chips’ identifiers are collected, and then each chip is interrogated sequentially.

Usually, commercial readers return backscattered power in the form of RSSI (received signal strength indication), after a process of demodulation, frequency conversion and equalization. The particular relationship between $P_{R\rightarrow T_n}$ and the RSSI is hardware-dependent but often takes the form

$$
P_{R\rightarrow T_n}(dB) = K_1 \text{RSSI} + K_2 \tag{4}
$$

with $K_{1,2}$ are specific to the reader’s chipset but also to the modulation scheme. In all the measurements shown in this work, the stored RSSI signal is related to the high-level modulation state. Anyway, the experimentally-evaluated A-Id from (2) and (4) is not an absolute fingerprint of the tag but it is filtered by the reader’s circuitry. The use of A-Id for sensing will therefore requires a same reader family for subsequent interrogations to avoid other kinds of uncertainties.

Finally, the A-Id depends on the microchips’ impedance that, as its is well known, exhibits a non-linear dependence on the impinging interrogation power. The proposed procedure to derive the A-Id, however, enforces the turn-on power condition: it means that the microchip will always receive the same amount of power, just corresponding to its sensitivity. Thanks to this
designed tags embedding real RFID microchips with the purpose to recognize the RFID-grid features, theoretically argued, even in presence of all the uncertainties of electrical data.

In the following several experiments, to fully understand the true invariance of A-Id parameters, also the realized gain is measured. This is a typical parameter commonly used to characterize the radiation performance of a tag and also its interaction with time-variant materials or changing environments (humidity and gas, [18]). To be specific, the estimation of the realized gain is obtained by using the equation

$$G_r(\theta,\phi) = \frac{P_{\text{to}}}{P_{\text{t}} G_R(\theta,\phi)} \left( \frac{\lambda}{4\pi d} \right)^2. \quad (5)$$

The realized gain is indeed mathematically dependent on the reader-tag mutual position and requires the knowledge of the reader’s antenna gain at the specific orientation.

All the measurements are performed by an UHF ThingMagic reader, connected to a 3-dB gain linear polarized PIFA antenna. Tags under test (TUTs) are placed on a rotating platform, in order to get data acquisition at different angles. Both the reader and the tag are 110 cm high from the floor. It is worth noticing that the measurement scene is a real 5 m by 5 m laboratory, with no attempt to reduce the ground reflections as well as any other type of electromagnetic interaction with the surrounding objects. The measurements are repeated for 13 equally-spaced samples within the frequency band [840 MHz, 900 MHz].

### III. One-Chip Tag

A commercial Lab-ID’s UH-100 tag (Fig. 1) is here considered: a meandered dipole with a T-match impedance transformer. This tag is used to check the fingerprint invariance with the angle in a so particular one-port case. This experimentation provides a reference case for the next experiments with multi-ports grids, with the purpose to understand the best that can be obtained in absence of other complications such as the inter-port coupling in more complex configurations and time-varying environments.

#### A. Analog Identifier Versus Reader-Tag Orientation

The reader-tag distance is fixed to 60 cm. Fig. 2(a) shows the normalized analog identifier $F(\omega)/F_{\text{max}}$ of the considered tag obtained from the processing of the measured power at five observation angles according to (2). For comparison, Fig. 2(b) also gives the normalized realized gain in the same conditions, which, as expected by the variable gain of the tag over the vertical plane, is rather sensible to the reader-tag orientation.

The invariance of the fingerprint suggested by (3) is particularly apparent within the European RFID band, wherein the tag responds best, due to proper impedance matching.

#### B. Analog Identifier Versus Reader-Tag Distance

The measurements are repeated for a fixed reader-tag orientation $(\theta = 90^\circ, \phi = 0^\circ)$ and increasing distance $60 \text{ cm} < d < 120 \text{ cm}$. The results in Fig. 3 again show, as theoretically predicted, a substantial invariance of the analog identifier, within all the considered frequency band.
IV. TWO-PORTS GRIDS

Some examples of two-port grids are here analyzed with particular attention to the improvement of the scavenging capability, obtained by means of electromagnetic coupling, and to the angle-invariance of the analog identifier. A-Id is theoretically and experimentally estimated from (2) when the reader-tag position is changed and when the grid is placed onto a time-varying object to mimic a true sensing application. In some of the following geometries the grid is composed by two close-distance dipoles having same or different size, while in a third case a true two-chip tag is analyzed.

A. Scavenging-Optimized Two Tags

In a first example, two coupled tags have been specifically designed as an interconnected system with the purpose to discuss the enhancement of RFID capabilities which may be achieved when the electromagnetic coupling is handled in a constructive way.

The tags have a conventional dipole-like layout, with a T-match impedance transformer [19] whose aspect ratio A/B is properly selected, by the help of a finite difference time-domain model of the coupled dipoles, to maximize the embedded realized gains in (1) of both the ports. A low-impedance NXP microchipponders has been assumed, having $Z_C = 15 - j 135 \, \Omega$ and power sensitivity $P = -15 \, \text{dBm}$.

The resulting antenna layout is shown in Fig. 4, and the optimized geometrical sizes are reported in Table I. The performance of the two-tags grid are here compared with that of a single port dipole having the same size as the tags of the grid except for the T-match which has been re-optimized (see again Table I) to achieve the maximum realized gain in single-port configuration.

The single tag has estimated input impedance $Z_{in} = 16.3 + j 127$ and power transfer coefficient $\tau[\text{one - tag}] = 0.94$. The antenna’s realized gain $G_T$ is almost omnidirectional on the H-plane, with maximum value $G_T[\text{one - tag}] = 1.65$.

The optimized two-tags grid exhibits self and mutual impedances

\[
Z_S = 23.9 + j 114.2 \, \Omega \\
Z_M = -6.5 + j 13.4 \, \Omega
\]

It is worth noticing the negative mutual resistance $R_M = -5.5 \, \Omega$. As proved in [1], the power transfer coefficient of each port for interrogation along the normal axis of the grid is, under Hermite matching condition, $\tau_{\text{max}} = R_S/(R_S + R_M)$ and may be higher than 1 provided that $R_M < 0$, as indeed obtained in this example. For the actual case of two-port grid the value $\tau[\text{two - tags}] = 1.37$ has been predicted by the FDTD model. The embedded realized gain of the grid, as obtained by measurements and theory, are shown in Fig. 5. Due to the proximity between the two tags, the embedded realized gains corresponding to the two ports are sensibly distorted with maximum value $(G_{1,2})_{\text{max}} = 2.34$ in the endfire direction and nearly unitary gain in the broadside direction.

The measurement results are in good agreement with the simulations, verifying the theoretical analysis in [1]. The experiments moreover demonstrate that the concurrent design of the two closely spaced tags permits to improve the realized gain of each tag, with respect to the standalone dipole, of a factor

\[
\frac{G_{n}(\text{two - port})_{\text{max}}}{G_{1}(\text{one - port})_{\text{max}}} = 1.4
\]

which definitely enlarges the maximum read distance of 20%.

The measured analog identifier associated to chip 1 is shown in Fig. 6. As in the single chip case, the analog identifier is rather independent on the angle except for those frequencies where the realized gain is small, as in the case of observation angles $P_1(f = 880 \, \text{MHz})$ or $P_2(f = 910 \, \text{MHz})$. In these conditions the reader is processing a low-intensity backscattered signal and the computation in (2) is hence affected by poor accuracy. Nevertheless the measured analog identifier appears considerably
much more stable than the measurement of the realized gain shown in Fig. 5.

B. Integrated Dual-Chips Tag

The two-port tag already presented by the authors in [20] to achieve a temperature sensor is here considered to discuss the analog identifier’s invariance. The tag (Fig. 7) consists of a partly folded dipole provided with two equal-size T-match circuits to control the port impedance.

The size \((A = 12 \text{ mm}, B = 25 \text{ mm})\) of the double T-Match were designed to maximize the active power transfer coefficients \(\tau_n = 4R_CR_S/[Z_S + Z_C + Z_M]^2\) of the two-ports grid in the broadside direction having assumed the same NXP microchip as before. An FDTD model of the antenna predicted self and mutual impedance at 870 MHz of \(Z_S = 7.2 + j132\) and \(Z_M = -5 + j0.25\) \(\Omega\), respectively. Fig. 8 shows the measured analog identifiers of port 1 and the corresponding realized gain at three different observation angles over the horizontal \((\theta = 90^\circ)\) plane. The A-Id is even more independent on the observation angle than the previous example, due to the higher values of the realized gains so that the tag will backscatter fields of similar strength, resulting in a more accurate processing of the received power.

C. Sensing Tags

The fingerprint-extraction procedure is here applied to the sensing of things and in particular to the detection of the physical (time-varying) state of the tagged object. For instance, in logistics it is sometimes required to monitor the status of containers (bottles, packages, bags) with the purpose to detect variation of their solid, powder or liquid content. The self-sensing natural capabilities of RFID tags may be exploited to handle these events (see [2] for a complete introduction to RFID self-sensing). The example given here considers the sensing of sugar-powder level inside a plastic container. This is a very challenging problem for RFIDs due to the low-relative permittivity \((\epsilon_r = 2.76, \sigma_s = 2.44 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ S/m})\), which is very similar to that of the air \((\epsilon_r = 1)\). The variation of filling level...
is hence expected to produce only a slight modification of the tag’s electromagnetic parameters, and in turn of the sensed data.

The perspex box ($\epsilon_p = 2.7, \sigma_p \approx 0$) used for the sugar-powder experiment is shown in Fig. 9. The sensing RFID Grid is now composed by two T-match dipoles of different size attached at a close distance onto a same face of the box. The two tags, denoted as air-tag ($TAG_A$) and sugar-tag ($TAG_S$), have been optimized for the best conjugate matching to the NXP microchip when the box is empty ($TAG_S$) and filled with sugar ($TAG_S$), respectively.

The various measurements of the analog identifiers are executed by gradually filling the box with sugar, starting from $h_S = 0$ up to $h_S = 14$ cm, i.e., roughly corresponding to the height of the longest dipole $TAG_S$. The reader and the tags are aligned to have maximum polarization efficiency.

The diagrams in Fig. 10 give the theoretical (computer simulated) and experimental analog identifier for both the tags for three different mutual orientations. The analog identifier of $TAG_S$ is rather insensitive (Fig. 10(a)) to the variation of the target, and could be used as a control information, e.g., it could provide the digital “label” of the object. The analog identifier related to $TAG_A$ (Fig. 10(b)) is instead able to sense the sugar variation when the filling level exceeds half the tag size and spans an overall dynamics of about 50%, up to saturation. The collected data are very little dependent on the mutual orientation between the reader and the container, even for rotation of 180° e.g., when the two antennas are completely shadowed with respect to the reader by the sugar itself.

V. TWO DIMENSIONAL 3 × 3 GRID

It is here finally considered a 3 by 3 grid (Fig. 11) made of the commercial tags already used in Section III.

The analog identifier of the central (label 22) and the edge (label 11) elements are measured by the same procedure as before. The invariance of the so obtained fingerprints (Fig. 12) respect to the reader-grid orientation is less sharp than in the previous examples, but nevertheless A-Id is still much more insensitive to rotations than the measurement of the realized gain (Fig. 13), especially when edge elements are observed. Moreover it is interesting to note that the fingerprints of the two tags are rather similar, unlike the corresponding measured gains.

A. Variable Environment

The presence of any object close to the reader-tag system can in principle modify the tag responses (turn-on power and
backscattered power) due to the electromagnetic scattering that it produces. However, since its disturbing effect applies on both the forward and backward links of the RFID communication in the same manner, it can be in principle removed by the calculation of the analog identifier which involves the processing of the turn-on and the backscattered powers.

Assuming that the scatterer is distant enough from the grid so that its input parameters are not significantly modified, the presence of the scatterer will therefore affect only the radiation pattern of the reader and of the grid. However, since the definition of A-Id drops out the reader’s gain, the obtained \( \{ F_n \} \) are expected to be "transparent" to the presence of the scatterer which, in this sense, becomes "invisible" for the grid.

To verify this property, a vertical metallic cylinder, 180 cm high and 7 cm in diameter, has been placed in the region between the reader and the grid under test (Fig. 14), close to Line Of Sight condition. It was actually at a distance of 20 cm from the reader antenna and moved 11 cm sideways from the line of sight, first on the left and later on the right. The grid is measured in the fixed direction \( \phi = 0^\circ, \theta = 90^\circ \).

The A-Id of the central tag is shown in Fig. 15(a) and compared to the realized gain of the same configuration 15 b). This experiment is considered very challenging since the big metallic object is expected to produce a significant scattering and to seriously affect the measurement of the realized gain of grid’s elements, as clearly visible in Fig. 15(b). Instead, the analog identifier in Fig. 15(a) revealed also in this case to be really insensitive to the presence and to the position of the scatterer, and by extrapolation, to the motion of nearby objects or people provided that the characteristic times of such motion are large compared with the RFID interrogation rate. However, it is worth mentioning that the cancellation of the scatterer is not an exclusive property of the GRID arrangement, but it can be achieved also with just a standalone tag, as shown in the experiment in Fig. 16 where a single element of the array is placed close to the pipe scatterer.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The presented experimentations corroborate the theoretical analysis of RFID grids. The formulas for the active realized
gain and the impedance matching can be used together with any standard optimization design procedure. The inter-port coupling, which is generally considered as a negative effect of tags’ proximity, can be instead judiciously handled to enlarge the read range of each microchip, or for the same distance, to reduce the required power.

The tag’s fingerprint obtained by the calculation of the analog identifier seems to be valuable in both single and multi-chip configurations. This quantity demonstrated to be rather insensitive to the many uncertain conditions of typical RFID interrogations in a real world, e.g., to its mutual position with respect to the grid, as well as to the variation of the environment itself. However, since the definition of the fingerprint is based on the accurate measurement of the turn-on power and of the backscattered power, the true reliability of the analog identifier, for instance during sensing or security assessment, can not leave apart the quality of the reader’s receiver. It is reasonable to assume that even more robust results could be achieved by using the value of the backscattered power as a quality factor of the measurements.

The cost of grid-based devices is expected to be higher than that of standard tags used as simple wireless replacement of barcodes. However multi-chips tags will offer augmented features making them closer to sensors than to radiofrequency labels.

Application to sensing appears indeed promising and in future experiments a multi-chip sensing grid could be empowered by a plurality of chemical receptors to achieve multi-variant low-cost remote sensors. The fingerprint concept will give a reasonable confidence that a change in the grid’s responses in successive interrogations will be related to a change of the physical parameters under test, rather than to a change of the mutual reader-tag position. So, there will be many opportunities to develop new devices but also there will be the need of ad-hoc data retrieval algorithms to master specific applications.

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sizes of the Designed Single Tag and Coupled Tags</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coupled Tags (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>△</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REFERENCES


Stefano Caizzone received the M.Sc. degree in telecommunications engineering from the University of Rome “Tor Vergata,” in 2009 and is currently part-time working toward the Ph.D. degree.

His main research interests concern small antennas for RFIDs and navigation, antenna arrays and grids with enhanced sensing capabilities. He is now with the Antenna group of the Institute of Communications and Navigation of the German Space Agency (DLR), Wessling, Germany, where he is responsible for the development of innovative miniaturized antennas.

Gaetano Marrocco was born in Teramo, Italy, on August 29, 1969. He received the Laurea degree in electronic engineering (Laurea cum laude and Academic Honour) and the Ph.D. degree in applied electromagnetics from the University of L’Aquila, Italy, in 1994 and 1998, respectively.

Since 1997, he has been a Researcher at the University of Rome “Tor Vergata,” Rome, Italy, where he currently teaches Antenna Design and Medical Radio-Systems, manages the Antenna Lab and is Advisor in the Geo-Information Ph.D. program. In October 2010, he achieved the level of Associate Professor of electromagnetic.

In summer 1994, he was at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign as a Postgraduate student. In autumn 1999, he was a Visiting Researcher at the Imperial College in London, U.K. In 2008, he joined the Ph.D. program of the University of Grenoble (FR). His research is mainly directed to the modeling and design of broad band and ultrawideband (UWB) antennas and arrays as well as of sensor-oriented miniaturized antennas for biomedicine, aeronautics and radiofrequency identification (RFID). He has been involved in several Space, Avionic, Naval and Vehicular programs of the European Space Agency, NATO, Italian Space Agency, and the Italian Navy about the analysis and the design of non conventional antennas and systems. He holds eight patents on broadband naval antennas and structural arrays, and on sensor RFID systems.

His main research interests concern small antennas for RFIDs and navigation, antenna arrays and grids with enhanced sensing capabilities. He is now with the Antenna group of the Institute of Communications and Navigation of the German Space Agency (DLR), Wessling, Germany, where he is responsible for the development of innovative miniaturized antennas.
RFID Grids: Part II—Experimentations

Stefano Caizzone and Gaetano Marrocco

Abstract—The RFID Grid is a model for generally coupled multitudes of tags including single-chip tags in close mutual proximity or a single tag with a plurality of embedded microchips. Some properties of this new entity, useful for passive Sensing and for Security, are the possibility to increase the read-range and to provide responses rather insensitive to the interrogation modalities. These recently introduced issues are here experimented for the first time with many real-world examples comprising multi-chip configurations designed for improved power scavenging and for passive sensing of things.

Index Terms—Array, grid, MIMO, remote sensing, RFID, sensor, space diversity.

I. INTRODUCTION

Very recently, the paper [1] introduced the concept of RFID Grid, e.g., a generally coupled multitude of UHF (or higher frequency) RFID tags, including single-chip tags in close mutual proximity or a single tag with a plurality of embedded microchips. This model is useful to predict the impedance and the backscattering performances of tags over a dense collection of objects such as items over a shelf, as well as to design new sensing-oriented tags. RFID tags, indeed, may be equipped with more than a single chip to communicate the identity of the object, by one of the chip, and its physical state by means of the other chip or a combination of both [2]–[4].

In [1] the basic equations for the direct and inverse links were derived by using the very general model of multi-port loaded scatterers. The theoretical analysis has permitted to discover new features, some of them common to MIMO and Space-Diversity systems [5], and in particular the possibility

i) to handle the inter-antenna coupling in a useful way with the purpose to improve the power harvested by the microchip and hence to enlarge the read range;

ii) to achieve measured analog backscattered information which are rather independent on the mutual position between reader and tags themselves (distance and orientation). Such a function, denoted as Analog Identifier (A-Id) as complementation of the Digital Identifier (D-Id) normally stored in the microchip memory, originates from the combined processing of direct- and inverse-link measurements. A-Id could have significant application in the context of sensing and security. In both cases, indeed, the analog response of the tag (basically the level of the backscattered power or the turn-on power) is processed in addition to the digital microchip identifier, to earn some physical information about the tag’s identity (security) and about the variation of the tagged object of the nearby environment (sensing).

At these purposes it is of primary importance that the reading of analog information from the tag is as more independent as possible on the interrogation modality, in order to easily collect the tag’s response at different times without the need to strictly replicate a specific reader-tag set-up. In particular, the availability of reading-robust functions could boost the emerging pervasive sensing and context aware applications within the Internet of Things paradigm [6].

The wireless characterization of RFID tags has been mainly focused to the measurement of the tag’s read range or radiation patterns starting from the experimental evaluation of the threshold (or turn-on) power level [7] of the tag. Another performance parameter, commonly measured, is the backscattered power from the tag to the reader’s detector or, more in general, the tag’s radar cross section [8], [9]. Both turn-on power and RCS are related to gain and input impedance of the tag and therefore they cannot be easily de-embedded by means of conventional wireless measurements techniques. The characterization of tag input impedance is instead generally performed by using balanced probes and de-embedding procedures as in [10].

The response of RFID grids has never been characterized in a systematic way: some studies [11]–[16] have been conducted in order to assess the overall influence of mutual coupling between tags over the degradation of the RFID link and the results are mainly aiming at mitigating this degradation (see [1] for an extended discussion).

On the other hand, in the perspective of understanding the coupling between tags to exploit useful features, this paper presents a detailed experimental campaign to prove and discuss some of the concepts introduced in the previous companion paper [1] by the help of real-world examples having incremental complexity. Starting from a tag with a single microchip, the experiments go on through integrated two-microchips tags, up to 3 × 3 RFID grids. Measurements have been performed in presence of different reader-grid positions, time-varying tagged objects and non-stationary environments. The aim is to demonstrate that the RFID-oriented analytical formulas provided in [1] can be useful to dominate the inter-port coupling within the design task and to verify the true degree of invariance of the analog identifier in uncontrolled conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the measurement procedures for the relevant RFID responses,
including angle- and distance-invariant analog identifiers, the turn-on power and the realized gain. A single-chip tag in standalone configuration is measured in Section III to understand the quality of responses achievable in absence of inter-tag interactions and of other ambient perturbation. Three examples of two-chips grids are then considered in detail in Section IV, with particular attention to evaluate the improvement in the RFID performances by a systematic design of the grid, and to characterize the angular invariance of the analog identifier also in sensing-like applications. Finally, a $3 \times 3$ grid of commercial tags is explored in Section V where the sensitivity of the fingerprint is experienced with respect to the presence of metallic scatterers close to the interrogating reader.

II. BACKGROUND AND MEASUREMENT METHODOLOGY

According to [1], the power scavenging performances of an RFID Grid are completely characterized in the free space by means of the embedded realized gain of each $n$th port

$$\tilde{C}_n = A_{th} R_{C,n}[Y_{G,n} \cdot g]^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $[Y_{G,n}]$ is the $n$th row of the admittance matrix $Y_G = (Z_C + Z)^{-1}$ of the grid, being $Z_C$ the impedance matrix referred to the RFID microchips’ connections, and $Z_C$ a diagonal matrix containing the equivalent impedances $\{Z_{C,n} = R_{C,n} + jX_{C,n}\}$ of the microchips. The vector $g$ of elements $g_n = \sqrt{R_{mn} G_0(\chi_n) R_{en}^{A_{th}} \epsilon}$ embeds all the electromagnetic parameters associated with the ports, e.g., the open circuit gain $G_n$, the polarization mismatch $\chi_n$, the self resistance $R_{en}$ and finally the phase $\Phi_n$ of the embedded field. The gain $\tilde{C}_n$ is the parameter to be maximized (in the useful direction) for best power scavenging and hence for longest read distance.

Physical information about the Grid and about its interaction with the tagged objects can be extracted from the analog identifier or fingerprint $F_n$ of the $n$th port, independently on the reading modalities. The analog identifier has been defined in [1] as a function of the turn-on power $P_n$ of the $n$th port and of the corresponding backscattered power $P_{R-T_n}$ collected by the reader. For the particular modulation scheme for which the microchip’ impedance is switched between a high impedance and the scavenging impedance $Z_{G,n}$, the analog identifier may be written in a very compact form

$$F_n = \frac{P_n}{\sqrt{P_{R-T_n} P_n^{A_{th}}}} \propto R_{C,n} |Y_{G,m}|$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $P_n$ is the sensitivity of the microchip at the $n$th port, and the proportionality factor accounts for the reader’s front-end and the modulation scheme, as discussed later on.

The particular case of the single-chip tag yields

$$F \propto \frac{R_{C}}{Z_A + Z_C}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $Z_A$ is simply the input impedance of the antenna of the tag. Both the above expressions may be evaluated along with the frequency to carry on further informations.

The physical meaning of analog identifier, and its calculation from experimental data deserves some clarification. It combines, by definition, measured data from both the direct (power harvesting) and reverse (power backscattering) links. Under the hypothesis of a stationary environment between the reader’s interrogation and the tag’s responses, any interaction with the nearby environment, and the mutual distance and orientation between the reader and the RFID systems, will affect both the links in a same manner, thanks to the antenna reciprocity. Hence, it was demonstrated that the simple combination of turn-on power and backscattered power will mathematically remove any kind of the above mentioned electromagnetic interactions. What remains is the functional dependence on the $n$th diagonal entry $Y_{G,m}$ of the complete admittance matrix, in the case of multi-chip systems, or the dependence on the series connection between the input impedance of the tag’s antenna and of the microchip in the simpler case of one-chip tag. In this sense, the A-Id is a structural property of the (multi-port) tag. Since the network admittance matrix is sensitive to the object where the tag is attached on, the variation of the A-Id in successive measurements may be related to time-changing chemical-physical properties of the object, therefore giving sensing capability. The orientation-invariance of A-Id will permit to perform this measurement without taking care to replicate a same reader-tag position at successive times.

The calculation of A-Id requires the knowledge of the turn-on power $P_n^{A_{th}}$. At this purpose the power entering into the reader’s antenna is gradually increased, in a controlled way, till the $n$th chip begins responding. At that moment, such a value of input power is the required turn-on $P_n^{A_{th}}$ and the corresponding backscattered power $P_{R-T_n}$ received by the reader is stored on a Personal Computer. In case of multi-chips configurations, an inventory task is preliminary performed, e.g., all the chips’ identifiers are collected, and then each chip is interrogated sequentially.

Usually, commercial readers return backscattered power in the form of RSSI (received signal strength indication), after a process of demodulation, frequency conversion and equalization. The particular relationship between $P_{R-T_n}$ and the RSSI is hardware-dependent but often takes the form

$$P_{R-T_n}(dB) = K_1 \text{RSSI} + K_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

with $K_{1,2}$ are specific to the reader’s chipset but also to the modulation scheme. In all the measurements shown in this work, the stored RSSI signal is related to the high-level modulation state. Anyway, the experimentally-evaluated A-Id from (2) and (4) is not an absolute fingerprint of the tag but it is filtered by the reader’s circuity. The use of A-Id for sensing will therefore requires a same reader family for subsequent interrogations to avoid other kinds of uncertainties.

Finally, the A-Id depends on the microchips’ impedance that, as its is well known, exhibits a non-linear dependence on the impinging interrogation power. The proposed procedure to derive the A-Id, however, enforces the turn-on power condition: it means that the microchip will always receive the same amount of power, just corresponding to its sensitivity. Thanks to this
procedure, the microchip impedance can be considered quite stable over subsequent interrogations.

The phenomenology of RFID GRID systems, as theoretically found in [1], could be experimentally analyzed, under a complete control on the hardware, according to the technical solution proposed in [17], e.g., by emulating the RFID microchips with PIN diode modulators. In this paper we instead follow a more direct approach, e.g., we use commercial tags or ad-hoc designed tags embedding real RFID microchips with the purpose to recognize the RFID-grid features, theoretically argued, even in presence of all the uncertainties of electrical data.

In the following several experiments, to fully understand the true invariance of A-Id parameters, also the realized gain is measured. This is a typical parameter commonly used to characterize the radiation performance of a tag and also its interaction with time-variant materials or changing environments (humidity and gas, [18]). To be specific, the estimation of the realized gain is obtained by using the equation

$$G_r(\theta, \phi) = \frac{p_t}{G_{R_{\text{ref}}}(\theta, \phi)} \left( \frac{\lambda}{4\pi d} \right)^2.$$  (5)

The realized gain is indeed mathematically dependent on the reader-tag mutual position and requires the knowledge of the reader’s antenna gain at the specific orientation.

All the measurements are performed by an UHF ThingMagic reader, connected to a 3-dB gain linear polarized PIFA antenna. Tags under test (TUTs) are placed on a rotating platform, in order to get data acquisition at different angles. Both the reader and the tag are 110 cm high from the floor. It is worth noticing that the measurement scene is a real 5 m by 5 m laboratory, with no attempt to reduce the ground reflections as well as any other type of electromagnetic interaction with the surrounding objects. The measurements are repeated for 13 equally-spaced samples within the frequency band [840 MHz, 900 MHz].

III. ONE-CHIP TAG

A commercial Lab-ID’s UH-100 tag (Fig. 1) is here considered: a meandered dipole with a T-match impedance transformer. This tag is used to check the fingerprint invariance with the angle in a so particular one-port case. This experimentation provides a reference case for the next experiments with multi-ports grids, with the purpose to understand the best that can be obtained in absence of other complications such as the inter-port coupling in more complex configurations and time-varying environments.

A. Analog Identifier Versus Reader-Tag Orientation

The reader-tag distance is fixed to 60 cm. Fig. 2(a) shows the normalized analog identifier $F(\omega)/F_{\text{max}}$ of the considered tag obtained from the processing of the measured power at five observation angles according to (2). For comparison, Fig. 2(b) also gives the normalized realized gain in the same conditions, which, as expected by the variable gain of the tag over the vertical plane, is rather sensible to the reader-tag orientation.

The invariance of the fingerprint suggested by (3) is particularly apparent within the European RFID orientation ($\theta = 90^\circ, \phi = 0^\circ$) and increasing distance $60 \text{ cm} < d < 120 \text{ cm}$. The results in Fig. 3 again show, as theoretically predicted, a substantial invariance of the analog identifier, within all the considered frequency band.

B. Analog Identifier Versus Reader-Tag Distance

The measurements are repeated for a fixed reader-tag orientation ($\theta = 90^\circ, \phi = 0^\circ$) and increasing distance $60 \text{ cm} < d < 120 \text{ cm}$. The results in Fig. 3 again show, as theoretically predicted, a substantial invariance of the analog identifier, within all the considered frequency band.
IV. TWO-PORTS GRIDS

Some examples of two-port grids are here analyzed with particular attention to the improvement of the scavenging capability, obtained by means of electromagnetic coupling, and to the angle-invariance of the analog identifier. A-Id is theoretically and experimentally estimated from (2) when the reader-tag position is changed and when the grid is placed onto a time-varying object to mimic a true sensing application. In some of the following geometries the grid is composed by two close-distance dipoles having same or different size, while in a third case a true two-chip tag is analyzed.

A. Scavenging-Optimized Two Tags

In a first example, two coupled tags have been specifically designed as an interconnected system with the purpose to discuss the enhancement of RFID capabilities which may be achieved when the electromagnetic coupling is handled in a constructive way.

The tags have a conventional dipole-like layout, with a T-match impedance transformer [19] whose aspect ratio A, B is properly selected, by the help of a finite difference time-domain model of the coupled dipoles, to maximize the embedded realized gains in (1) of both the ports. A low-impedance NXP microtransponders has been assumed, having $Z_C = 15 - j135 \, \Omega$ and power sensitivity $p = -15 \, \text{dBm}$.

The resulting antenna layout is shown in Fig. 4, and the optimized geometrical sizes are reported in Table I. The performance of the two-tags grid are here compared with that of a single port dipole having the same size as the tags of the grid except for the T-match which has been re-optimized (see again Table I) to achieve the maximum realized gain in single-port configuration.

The single tag has estimated input impedance $Z_{in} = 16.3 + j127$ and power transfer coefficient $\tau[one-\text{tag}] = 0.94$. The antenna’s realized gain $G_T$ is almost omnidirectional on the H-plane, with maximum value $G_T[one-\text{tag}] = 1.65$.

The optimized two-tags grid exhibits self and mutual impedances

$$Z_S = 23.9 + j114.2 \Omega$$
$$Z_M = -6.5 + j13.4 \Omega$$

It is worth noticing the negative mutual resistance $R_M = -5.5 \, \Omega$. As proved in [1], the power transfer coefficient of each port for interrogation along the normal axis of the grid is, under Hermite matching condition, $\tau_{\text{max}} = R_S/(R_S + R_M)$ and may be higher than 1 provided that $R_M < 0$, as indeed obtained in this example. For the actual case of two port grid the value $\tau[two-\text{tags}] = 1.37$ has been predicted by the FDTD model. The embedded realized gain of the grid, as obtained by measurements and theory, are shown in Fig. 5. Due to the proximity between the two tags, the embedded realized gains corresponding to the two ports are sensibly distorted with maximum value $(G_{1,2})_{\text{max}} = 2.34$ in the endfire direction and nearly unitary gain in the broadside direction.

The measurement results are in good agreement with the simulations, verifying the theoretical analysis in [1]. The experiments moreover demonstrate that the concurrent design of the two closely spaced tags permits to improve the realized gain of each tag, with respect to the standalone dipole, of a factor

$$\frac{G_{n(two-port)}_{\text{max}}}{G_{n(one-port)}_{\text{max}}} = 1.4$$

which definitely enlarges the maximum read distance of 20%.

The measured analog identifier associated to chip 1 is shown in Fig. 6. As in the single chip case, the analog identifier is rather independent on the angle except for those frequencies where the realized gain is small, as in the case of observation angles $P_1(f = 880 \, \text{MHz})$ or $P_2(f = 910 \, \text{MHz})$. In these conditions the reader is processing a low-intensity backscattered signal and the computation in (2) is hence affected by poor accuracy. Nevertheless the measured analog identifier appears considerably
much more stable than the measurement of the realized gain shown in Fig. 5.

B. Integrated Dual-Chips Tag

The two-port tag already presented by the authors in [20] to achieve a temperature sensor is here considered to discuss the analog identifier’s invariance. The tag (Fig. 7) consists of a partly folded dipole provided with two equal-size T-match circuits to control the port impedance.

The size \( A = 12 \) \( \text{mm}, B = 25 \) \( \text{mm} \) of the double T-Match were designed to maximize the active power transfer coefficients \( \tau_n = 4R_CR_S/Z_S+Z_C+Z_M^2 \) of the two-ports grid in the broadside direction having assumed the same NXP microchip as before. An FDTD model of the antenna predicted self and mutual impedance at 870 MHz of \( Z_S = 7.2 + j132 \) and \( Z_M = -5 + j6.25 \Omega \), respectively. Fig. 8 shows the measured analog identifiers of port 1 and the corresponding realized gain at three different observation angles over the horizontal \( \theta = 90^\circ \) plane. The A-Id is even more independent on the observation angle than the previous example, due to the higher values of the realized gains so that the tag will backscatter fields of similar strength, resulting in a more accurate processing of the received power.

C. Sensing Tags

The fingerprint-extraction procedure is here applied to the sensing of things and in particular to the detection of the physical (time-varying) state of the tagged object.

For instance, in logistics it is sometimes required to monitor the status of containers (bottles, packages, bags) with the purpose to detect variation of their solid, powder or liquid content. The self-sensing natural capabilities of RFID tags may be exploited to handle these events (see [2] for a complete introduction to RFID self-sensing). The example given here considers the sensing of sugar-powder level inside a plastic container. This is a very challenging problem for RFIDs due to the low-relative permittivity \( \epsilon_r = 2.76, \sigma_S = 2.44 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ S/m} \), which is very similar to that of the air \( \epsilon_r = 1 \). The variation of filling level...
is hence expected to produce only a slight modification of the tag’s electromagnetic parameters, and in turn of the sensed data.

The perspex box \((\epsilon_p = 2.7, \sigma_p \sim 0)\) used for the sugar-powder experiment is shown in Fig. 9. The sensing RFID Grid is now composed by two T-match dipoles of different size attached at a close distance onto a same face of the box. The two tags, denoted as air-tag \((\text{TAG}_A)\) and sugar-tag \((\text{TAG}_S)\), have been optimized for the best conjugate matching to the NXP microchip when the box is empty \((\text{TAG}_S)\) and filled with sugar \((\text{TAG}_S)\), respectively.

The various measurements of the analog identifiers are executed by gradually filling the box with sugar, starting from \(h_S = 0\) up to \(h_S = 14\) cm, i.e., roughly corresponding to the height of the longest dipole \(\text{TAG}_S\). The reader and the tags are aligned to have maximum polarization efficiency.

The diagrams in Fig. 10 give the theoretical (computer simulated) and experimental analog identifier for both the tags for three different mutual orientations. The analog identifier of \(\text{TAG}_S\) is rather insensitive (Fig. 10(a)) to the variation of the target, and could be used as a control information, e.g., it could provide the digital “label” of the object. The analog identifier related to \(\text{TAG}_A\) (Fig. 10(b)) is instead able to sense the sugar variation when the filling level exceeds half the tag size and spans an overall dynamics of about 50%, up to saturation. The collected data are very little dependent on the mutual orientation between the reader and the container, even for rotation of \(180^\circ\) e.g., when the two antennas are completely shadowed with respect to the reader by the sugar itself.

V. TWO DIMENSIONAL 3 × 3 GRID

It is here finally considered a 3 by 3 grid (Fig. 11) made of the commercial tags already used in Section III.

The analog identifier of the central (label 22) and the edge (label 11) elements are measured by the same procedure as before. The invariance of the so obtained fingerprints (Fig. 12) respect to the reader-grid orientation is less sharp than in the previous examples, but nevertheless A-Id is still much more insensitive to rotations than the measurement of the realized gain (Fig. 13), especially when edge elements are observed. Moreover it is interesting to note that the fingerprints of the two tags are rather similar, unlike the corresponding measured gains.

A. Variable Environment

The presence of any object close to the reader-tag system can in principle modify the tag responses (turn-on power and
backscattered power) due to the electromagnetic scattering that it produces. However, since its disturbing effect applies on both the forward and backward links of the RFID communication in the same manner, it can be in principle removed by the calculation of the analog identifier which involves the processing of the turn-on and the backscattered powers.

Assuming that the scatterer is distant enough from the grid so that its input parameters are not significantly modified, the presence of the scatterer will therefore affect only the radiation pattern of the reader and of the grid. However, since the definition of A-Id drops out the reader’s gain, the obtained \( \{ F_n \} \) are expected to be “transparent” to the presence of the scatterer which, in this sense, becomes “invisible” for the grid.

To verify this property, a vertical metallic cylinder, 180 cm high and 7 cm in diameter, has been placed in the region between the reader and the grid under test (Fig. 14), close to Line Of Sight condition. It was actually at a distance of 20 cm from the reader antenna and moved 11 cm sideways from the line of sight, first on the left and later on the right. The grid is measured in the fixed direction \( (\phi = 0^\circ, \theta = 90^\circ) \).

The A-Id of the central tag is shown in Fig. 15(a) and compared to the realized gain of the same configuration 15 b). This experiment is considered very challenging since the big metallic object is expected to produce a significant scattering and to seriously affect the measurement of the realized gain of grid’s elements, as clearly visible in Fig. 15(b). Instead, the analog identifier in Fig. 15(a) revealed also in this case to be really insensitive to the presence and to the position of the scatterer, and by extrapolation, to the motion of nearby objects or people provided that the characteristic times of such motion are large compared with the RFID interrogation rate. However, it is worth mentioning that the cancellation of the scatterer is not an exclusive property of the GRID arrangement, but it can be achieved also with just a standalone tag, as shown in the experiment in Fig. 16 where a single element of the array is placed close to the pipe scatterer as in the previous configuration.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The presented experimentations corroborate the theoretical analysis of RFID grids. The formulas for the active realized
gain and the impedance matching can be used together with any standard optimization design procedure. The inter-port coupling, which is generally considered as a negative effect of tags’ proximity, can be instead judiciously handled to enlarge the read range of each microchip, or for the same distance, to reduce the required power.

The tag’s fingerprint obtained by the calculation of the analog identifier seems to be valuable in both single and multi-chip configurations. This quantity demonstrated to be rather insensitive to the many uncertain conditions of typical RFID interrogations in a real world, e.g., to its mutual position with respect to the grid, as well as to the variation of the environment itself. However, since the definition of the fingerprint is based on the accurate measurement of the turn-on power and of the backscattered power, the true reliability of the analog identifier, for instance during sensing or security assessment, can not leave apart the quality of the reader’s receiver. It is reasonable to assume that even more robust results could be achieved by using the value of the backscattered power as a quality factor of the measurements.

The cost of grid-based devices is expected to be higher than that of standard tags used as simple wireless replacement of bar-codes. However multi-chips tags will offer augmented features making them closer to sensors than to radiofrequency labels.

Application to sensing appears indeed promising and in future experiments a multi-chip sensing grid could be empowered by a plurality of chemical receptors to achieve multi-variant low-cost remote sensors. The fingerprint concept will give a reasonable confidence that a change in the grid’s responses in successive interrogations will be related to a change of the physical parameters under test, rather than to a change of the mutual reader-tag position. So, there will be many opportunities to develop new devices but also there will be the need of ad-hoc data retrieval algorithms to master specific applications.
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