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Abstract
The remarkable front event over southern Germany on 3 May 1987 is investigated using a simple numerical three-layer model. The model considers the diabatic gain/loss of sensible heat due to condensation of water vapour and evaporation of rain. Additionally, a multiple nesting of smaller fine mesh grid domains into larger coarse mesh domains enables the simultaneous simulation of large scale structures and mesoscale developments.

The simulations show that the Alps cause an evident distortion of the front and especially generate an 'orographic jet'. The behaviour of the cold air in the vicinity of the northern Alpine baseline agrees with the theory of a density current trapped by a mountain range. The numerical simulations yield evidence that diabatic effects of evaporating rain were important for the development of this front. Additionally the eastward propagation of the cold air is intensified by diabatic processes.

Zusammenfassung
Die 'Papstfront' vom 3. Mai 1987: Modellierung orografischer und diabatischer Effekte


List of symbols

\[ \begin{align*}
\epsilon_{pd} & \quad \text{specific heat capacity of dry air at constant pressure} \\
(\epsilon_{pd} = 1004.71 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}) & \\
\epsilon_{pv} & \quad \text{specific heat capacity of water vapour at constant pressure} \\
(\epsilon_{pv} = 1845.96 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}) & \\
\epsilon_{w} & \quad \text{specific heat capacity of liquid water} \\
(\epsilon_{w} = 4186.80 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}) & \\
\nu & \quad \text{speed of front progression} \\
c & \quad \text{phase speed of gravity waves} \\
C & \quad \text{condensation rate} \\
\Delta h & \quad \text{thickness of a layer} \\
\Delta t & \quad \text{time step} \\
\Delta x, \Delta y & \quad \text{horizontal mesh size (cartesian system)} \\
\Delta \lambda, \Delta \varphi & \quad \text{horizontal mesh size (geographic system)} \\
E & \quad \text{evaporation rate} \\
\epsilon & \quad \text{rotational Froude number} \\
f & \quad \text{Coriolis parameter} \\
Fr & \quad \text{Froude number} \\
g & \quad \text{acceleration of gravity (} g = 9.81 \text{ m s}^{-2} \text{)} \\
g' & \quad \text{reduced acceleration of gravity} \\
h & \quad \text{height of a surface} \\
h_0 & \quad \text{terrain elevation} \\
H & \quad \text{height scale of the mountains} \\
H_F & \quad \text{height scale of the front} \\
i & \quad \text{grid index in x-direction} \\
j & \quad \text{grid index in y-direction} \\
kr & \quad \text{index of layers or levels} \\
L & \quad \text{length scale of the mountains} \\
L_F & \quad \text{length scale of the front}
\end{align*} \]
2. Was diabatic cooling due to evaporating rain and melting snow responsible for the development of the front?

The influence of the Alps on the movement of cold fronts has been described by Steinmacker (1987). By analyzing isochrones he found various fronts of frontal behavior which reached from a slight retardation to a total blocking. Studies of Parish (1982) and Colquhoun et al. (1985) show that the blocking effect leads to an acceleration along the mountains. Parish used radar and aircraft measurements and found the barrier jet along the Californian Sierra Nevada as a consequence of blocked advection of cold air. Colquhoun et al. described the Australian 'Southerly Burster', an active cold front which is blocked by the coastal mountains of New South Wales, but accelerated along the coast. Baines (1980) explained the 'Southerly Burster' as a gravity current trapped by the coastal mountain range. Egger and Haderlein (1987) used the term 'orographic jet' for this phenomenon and discussed the relationship between the speed of the jet's nose and parameters of the approaching cold air.

The frontogenetic effect of diabatic processes has been discussed by Kurz (1982). He analyzed a case where a new front formed at the leading edge of a large belt of precipitation ahead of a synoptic-scale cold front. Cooling due to evaporating rain at the rear side, and heating due to solar radiation within the almost cloud-free warm sector strengthened the horizontal temperature gradient in this case.

On 3 May 1987 the synoptic-scale cold front was not connected with significant precipitation, but a new area of rain formed ahead of the upper level trough which followed the front some hundred kilometers west of it. The 'papal front' developed at the leading edge of this area of precipitation. It rapidly propagated eastward and eventually caught up with the preceding synoptic-scale cold front.

Although the analysis already gave some hints for affirmative answers to the questions posed above, one can expect additional evidence from model simulations. They allow to separate the influence of diabatic processes and orography.

The paper describes the model in Chapter 2. Section 3.1 explains the strategy of the simulations and Section 3.2 deals with the model initialization and the synoptic development. The orographic influence on the frontal system, especially the development of an 'orographic jet', is discussed in Section 3.3, and compared with theoretical estimates in Section 3.4. Finally, the importance of diabatic heat exchange is addressed in Section 3.5.
2 The Model

2.1 Model Conception

A simple but effective approach for the numerical exploration of frontal dynamics is the application of the shallow-water equations to vertically isotropic layers with free and impermeable surfaces. Each layer represents a specific air mass. Such surfaces of layers intersecting with the ground can be interpreted as fronts. A one-layer model of this kind has been used by Kasahara et al. (1965) to investigate the movement of fronts under the influence of a synoptic-scale baroclinic wave. While Kasahara et al. neglected the dynamics of the overlying warm air, it is considered by Grammelveldt (1970) who added a second active model layer. More recently Egger (1987) and Egger and Haderlein (1987) incorporated orography to investigate mesoscale mountain effects such as the distortion of a cold front and the development of an ‘orographic jet’.

Also this study uses the rather simple conception of the shallow-water model, because it is economic and has a limited number of free model parameters. For a better adaptation to the actual questions the model configuration was extended by

- using three model layers instead of one or two;
- providing the possibility of multiple nesting;
- considering the diabatic effects of condensation and evaporation.

The geometric structure of the present model (shortly addressed as FRONTOM which stands for ‘front and orography’-model) can be related to the real structure of tropospheric air masses and fronts as follows:

The lowest layer conforms to a ‘cold air’ mass. The surface of this layer forms a material frontal face. Its intersecting line with the ground represents the ‘surface front’. The model front can be interpreted as a ‘cold front’, if the lowest model layer advances, or as a ‘warm front’, if it retreats. The second layer conforms to a ‘warm air’ mass which either touches the ground or overlies the cold air. The upper tropospheric air is represented by the third model layer. Its surface forms the model lid and is defined as the 300 hPa level. Above this surface the model atmosphere is assumed to be in geostrophic balance. Hence, the pressure at the model lid does not change with time. The vertical model structure is schematically illustrated in Figure 1.

The model is based on the equations of a hydrostatic, non-divergent, and friction-less atmosphere. The first two assumptions are quite indisputable in the considered scale. Friction, of course, is known as an important factor of frontal dynamics (e.g. Ball, 1960 and Garrat, 1986). Recently Egger (1988) discussed stationary two-dimensional solutions of the flow in a two-layer fluid system. He found that the frontal face becomes steeper with increasing friction. This is in agreement with experimental runs of FRONTOM during which a bulk formula for stress was invoked in the lowest model layer. However, in this study it was given preference to a friction-less formulation particularly because a no-slip condition would depend on a drag coefficient which has to be prescribed more or less arbitrarily.

The fixed horizontal grid and the division into layers define homogeneous model boxes. The potential temperature \( \Theta \) and the specific humidity \( q_v \) are time dependent variables within each box. Surplus water vapour is immediately condensed and converted into rain. The release of latent heat increases the potential temperature of the corresponding model box. Precipitation can partly evaporate in the underlying boxes where the potential temperature decreases due to the consumption of sensible heat.

2.2 Model Equations

The equations are formulated for the layers \( k = 1, \ldots, 3 \) (for \( u, v, \Theta, q_v \)), or for the surfaces \( k = 0, \ldots, 3 \) (for \( w, \pi \)). All model variables depend on the horizontal coordinates \( x \) and \( y \).

\[ \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} = -u_k \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} - v_k \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial y} + f v_k - c_{pd} \Theta_v k \frac{\partial \pi_k}{\partial x} - g \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial x} + \frac{h_k - h_{k-1}}{2\Theta_v k} \frac{\partial \Theta_v k}{\partial x} \]

\[ \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} = -u_k \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x} - v_k \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial y} - f u_k - c_{pd} \Theta_v k \frac{\partial \pi_k}{\partial y} - g \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial y} + \frac{h_k - h_{k-1}}{2\Theta_v k} \frac{\partial \Theta_v k}{\partial y} \]

for layers \( k = 1, \ldots, 3 \). \( h_k = 0 \) is the terrain elevation.
Hydrostatic equation

\[ \pi_{k-1} = \pi_k + \frac{g}{c_{pd} \Theta_k} (h_k - h_{k-1}) \]  

(3)

for surfaces \( k = 1, \ldots, 3 \).

\( \pi_3 \) has a constant value of 0.71 which conforms to a pressure \( p_3 = 300 \text{ hPa} \) at the model lid. \( \pi_{k=0} \) is the Exner function at ground level (\( h_{k=0} \)).

Equation of continuity

a) Determination of the vertical velocity:

\[ w_k = w_k - 1 - \left( \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial y} \right) (h_k - h_{k-1}) \]

\[ + (u_k - u_{k-1}) \frac{\partial h_{k-1}}{\partial x} + (v_k - v_{k-1}) \frac{\partial h_{k-1}}{\partial y} \]  

(4)

for surfaces \( k = 1, \ldots, 3 \) with \( u_{k=0} = v_{k=0} = w_{k=0} = 0 \) as the boundary condition at the ground (\( h_{k=0} \)).

b) Determination of the tendency of the surface heights:

\[ \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial t} = w_k - u_k \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial x} - v_k \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial y} \]  

(5)

for surfaces \( k = 1, \ldots, 3 \).

Temperature equation

\[ \frac{\partial \Theta_k}{\partial t} = -u_k \frac{\partial \Theta_k}{\partial x} - v_k \frac{\partial \Theta_k}{\partial y} + \frac{l_v (C_k - E_k)}{c_{p,k} \Theta_k} - \frac{1}{2} \]  

(6)

with

\[ c_{p,k} = \frac{(1 - q_{v,k}) c_{pd} + q_{v,k} c_{pv} + q_{r,k} c_{w}}{1 + q_{r,k}} \]  

for layers \( k = 1, \ldots, 3 \).

Humidity equation

\[ \frac{\partial q_{v,k}}{\partial t} = -u_k \frac{\partial q_{v,k}}{\partial x} - v_k \frac{\partial q_{v,k}}{\partial y} - C_k + E_k \]  

(7)

for layers \( k = 1, \ldots, 3 \).

General definitions

Coriolis parameter:

\[ f(\varphi) = f_0 \cdot \sin(\varphi) \] where \( f_0 = 1.4584 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1} \)

Exner function:

\[ \pi = (p/p_0)^{R_d/c_{pd}} \] where \( p_0 = 10^5 \text{ Pa} \)

Virtual potential temperature:

\[ \Theta_v = \Theta \left( 1 + \frac{R_d}{R_v} q_v \right) \]

2.3 Incorporation of Diabatic Processes

The representation of condensation and evaporation can only be crude in a model that resolves the troposphere by only three layers. However, the following procedure is used to determine the rates of conversion from the gas phase to the liquid phase and vice-versa. Under the assumptions

\[ r_v \approx q_v \]

\[ l_v \approx -l_v(T) \]

\[ \frac{1}{\pi_{k-1} - \pi_k} \int_{\pi_{k-1}}^{\pi_k} r_{v,k}(\pi) \, d\pi \approx \exp \left[ \ln \frac{1}{\pi_{k-1} - \pi_k} \int_{\pi_{k-1}}^{\pi_k} r_{v,k}(\pi) \, d\pi \right] \]

a bulk expression for the maximum (saturation) content of water vapour \( Q_{v,k} \) in a model box of layer \( k \) was derived by vertically integrating the Clausius-Clapeyron equation. It reads

\[ r = \exp \left[ c_1 + c_2 \left( \pi_k \ln \pi_k - \pi_{k-1} \ln \pi_{k-1} \right) + c_3 \frac{\ln(\pi_k/\pi_{k-1})}{\pi_{k-1} - \pi_k} \right] \]

\[ Q_{v,k} = \frac{r}{1 + r} \]  

(8)

where

\[ c_1 = \ln \frac{R_d}{R_v} + c_{pd}/R_d + 14.7369 \]

\[ c_2 = c_{pd}/R_d \]

\[ c_3 = l_v/R_v \]

\( Q_{v,k} \) depends on the potential temperature \( \Theta_k \) within the respective box and on the pressure at its bottom and top faces (\( \pi_{k-1}, \pi_k \)).

In the case of over-saturation (\( q_{v,k} > Q_{v,k} \)) the excess water vapour is condensed immediately and converted to rain:

\[ C_k = \max \left[ \frac{q_{v,k} - Q_{v,k}}{\Delta t}, 0 \right] \]

\[ q_{r,k} = \max \left[ q_{v,k} - Q_{v,k}, 0 \right] \]  

for all layers \( k = 1, \ldots, 3 \).

Thus, the model does not account for clouds. The generated rain falls into the lower layers where it may
partly evaporate in case of under-saturation:

$$E_k = C_0 \min \left[ q_r, \max \left[ Q_{v,k} - q_{v,k}, 0 \right] \right] \Delta t$$

$$q_{r,k+1} = q_{r,k+1} - E_k \Delta t$$

This procedure is carried out for all layers $k = k - 1, \ldots, 1$ at the same time step. $C_0$ is a factor of evaporation which must be prescribed. During all model runs $C_0$ was arbitrarily set to 0.5. Only precipitation that has not been evaporated will reach the ground.

The introduced scheme allows a rough estimation of the diabatic heat exchange associated with condensation and evaporation. Realistic values for the amount of precipitation cannot be expected, of course. This is a consequence of the model conception of vertically uniform layers. In reality a uniformly cooled or lifted air parcel with $\partial \Theta/\partial z = 0$ and $\partial q_r/\partial z = 0$ would reach over-saturation which starts locally at its top. In the model condensation cannot take place before the bulk saturation humidity becomes smaller than the actual humidity, i.e. $Q_{v,k} < q_{v,k}$.

### 2.4 Numerical Procedure

**FRONTOM** uses a staggered grid. The scalars $h_k$, $\pi_k$, $\Theta_k$, $q_{v,k}$ are defined at the mesh centres, whereas the wind components $u_k$ and $v_k$ are placed at the middle of the mesh boundaries. The potential temperature $\Theta_k$ and the specific humidity $q_{v,k}$ refer to the entire vertical extent of a model layer, while the heights $h$ and the Exner function $\pi$ are related to the surfaces of the layers.

A semi-implicit two-step procedure, the so called 'Euler backward' scheme, is employed for the temporal integration of the prognostic equations. With $\varphi = (u, v, h, \Theta, q_v)$ the two steps read:

$$\varphi^{\nu+1} = \varphi^{\nu} + \Delta t \cdot F_\varphi (\varphi^\nu, \ldots)$$

$$\varphi^{\nu+1} = \varphi^{\nu} + \Delta t \cdot F_\varphi (\varphi^{\nu*}, \ldots)$$

where the indices $\nu$ and $\nu + 1$ denote consecutive time steps. $\nu^*$ is a provisional stage of the prognostic model variables. $F_\varphi$ stands for the actual tendency of $\varphi$. It is derived from the model variables at stage $\nu$ or $\nu^*$, respectively.

All spatial derivatives with the exception of advective terms are approximated by central differences. Upstream differences are used in the advective terms. Due to its damping property this scheme keeps the solution stable and substitutes explicit horizontal diffusion.

### 2.5 Nesting and Boundary Conditions

The model provides the possibility of a multiple nesting of smaller grid domains into larger ones. In this way it is possible to cover a wide range of scales with one model.

During each simulation period the synoptic-scale modelling is carried out in a domain $A$, which has to be chosen large enough to resolve the relevant synoptic steering features such as baroclinic waves. Within prescribed or automatically determined sub-periods the same model is run simultaneously in a nested domain $B$ with higher resolution. Analogous to this procedure an even better resolved domain $C$ can be activated within domain $B$. The vertical structure of the model is not affected by the nesting.

The coupling of the nested domains is carried out by prescribing the tendencies of the prognostic variables $u_k$, $v_k$, $h_k$, $\Theta_k$, $q_{v,k}$ at the boundary meshes of the subordinated domain. The tendencies are derived from the appropriate meshes of the superposed domain. The method-of interpolation from the larger to the smaller meshes is exemplarily described in Figure 2 for the scalar variables. The analogous procedure is used for the wind components. In the literature the described kind of nesting is called ‘one-way’-nesting, ‘passive’ nesting, or ‘parasitical’ nesting, e.g. Clark and Farley (1984), Zhang et al. (1986). The method does not provide a feedback from the smaller domain to the larger one.

Tendencies of the boundary values of the outermost domain $A$ are determined internally using radiation conditions. The procedure is exemplarily sketched for the western boundary, i.e. $i = 1$, and the time level $\nu + 1$:

$$\frac{\varphi^{\nu+1}_1 - \varphi^{\nu}_1}{\Delta t} = \frac{c_\varphi}{\Delta x} \left( \varphi^{\nu}_2 - \varphi^{\nu}_1 \right)$$

with

$$c_\varphi = \min \left[ \frac{\varphi^{\nu+1}_1 - \varphi^{\nu}_1}{\Delta x}, \frac{2\Delta x}{\Delta t}, 0 \right]$$

and $\varphi^{\nu}_k = (u_k, v_k, h_k, \Theta_k, q_{v,k})$.

![Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

Figure 2: Scheme of nesting and interpolation from a coarse grid to a fine grid
2.6 Definition of the Nested Model Domains

For the real case simulations the meshes of the FRON-TOM domains are defined on a geographic net. Within each domain the mesh widths $\Delta \lambda$ and $\Delta \varphi$ are constant. The usage of geographic coordinates requires additional metric terms in eqs. (1), (2) and (4). They read

$$\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} = \ldots + u_k v_k \frac{\tan \varphi}{R_F}; \quad \text{in (1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} = \ldots - u_k u_k \frac{\tan \varphi}{R_F}; \quad \text{in (2)}$$

$$w_k = \ldots + v_k \frac{\tan \varphi}{R_F} \left( h_k - h_{k-1} \right) \quad \text{in (4)}$$

The mesh sizes in the metric system are calculated as:

$$\Delta x(\varphi) = R_F \cos \varphi \Delta \lambda$$

$$\Delta y = R_F \Delta \varphi$$

According to the common scale definitions of atmospheric phenomena (Orlanski, 1975) the model domains are defined to resolve atmospheric structures as follows:

- **Domain A**: macroscale $\beta$ or synoptic scale
  (baroclinic waves, high and low pressure systems, synoptic-scale fronts)

- **Domain B**: mesoscale $\alpha$
  (flow over or around mountains, foehn, orographic distortion of fronts)

- **Domain C**: mesoscale $\beta$
  (density currents, channelling, ‘orographic jets’)

For this study the size of the outermost model domain A was chosen to be of $60^\circ$ west-east extension and of $30^\circ$ south-north extension. This allows the resolution of the planetary zonal wave number 6, which seems to be sufficient for simulations not exceeding a period of 24 hours. The grid domain includes $40 \times 40$ meshes. The consequent grid spacing is $1.5^\circ$ in west-east direction, and $0.75^\circ$ in south-north direction, or between 70 and 135 km in the metric sense. The subordinated model domains B and C also consist of $40 \times 40$ grid meshes, but their grids are condensed by the factor 4 relative to the respective next outer domain. The use of three nested domains enables a resolution of 20 to 30 km in domain B, and of 5 to 7 km in domain C.

The orographic data are taken from a digital geographic data base as mean values of the grid meshes. All domain specifications are summarized in Table 1. The corresponding maps are presented in Figure 3.

### 3 Numerical Simulations of the ‘Papal Front’ Case

#### 3.1 Aim and Strategy of Simulations

The main objective of the numerical simulations of the ‘papal front’ case is the influence of both, the diabatic processes and the orography, on the development, the intensification, and the deformation of the fronts north of the Alps on 3 May 1987.

The analyzed situation (see Heimann and Volkert, 1988) is summarized in Figure 4. At 12 UTC the synoptic-scale cold front of the southern Scandinavian low, subsequently called ‘Front 1’, has reached the western parts of the Alps. Its position over the northern Alpine foreland is almost stationary. The surface potential temperature drops by about 6 K across this front. After 06 UTC a second zone of large horizontal temperature gradient has formed west of the Rhine river. By 12 UTC this zone had proceeded to the line Lake of Constance — Stuttgart. It belongs to a second cold front which has a more regional character and can be analyzed.

### Table 1 Specifications of the model domains A, B, and C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>domain A</th>
<th>domain B</th>
<th>domain C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>western boundary</td>
<td>$20^\circ$ W</td>
<td>2.5$^\circ$ E</td>
<td>10$^\circ$ E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eastern boundary</td>
<td>40$^\circ$ E</td>
<td>17.5$^\circ$ E</td>
<td>13.75$^\circ$ E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>southern boundary</td>
<td>35$^\circ$ N</td>
<td>42.5$^\circ$ N</td>
<td>47$^\circ$ N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>northern boundary</td>
<td>65$^\circ$ N</td>
<td>50$^\circ$ N</td>
<td>48.875$^\circ$ N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of meshes</td>
<td>$40 \times 40$</td>
<td>40$ \times 40$</td>
<td>40$ \times 40$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \lambda$</td>
<td>1.5$^\circ$</td>
<td>0.375$^\circ$</td>
<td>0.09375$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \varphi$</td>
<td>0.75$^\circ$</td>
<td>0.1875$^\circ$</td>
<td>0.046875$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta x$</td>
<td>70,..., 135.7 km</td>
<td>26.8,..., 30.8 km</td>
<td>6.9,..., 7.1 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta y$</td>
<td>82.8 km</td>
<td>20.8 km</td>
<td>5.2 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimum terrain elevation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>314 m MSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum terrain elevation</td>
<td>2226 m MSL</td>
<td>2895 m MSL</td>
<td>2829 m MSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum slope of terrain</td>
<td>13 m/km</td>
<td>64 m/km</td>
<td>149 m/km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:77</td>
<td>1:16</td>
<td>1:7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
only between Switzerland and the Main river. This front was referred to as 'papal front', but will be called 'Front 2' in the following for convenience. It quickly intensified and rapidly crossed southern Germany and northern Austria where it caught up with Front 1. A noteworthy feature is the pool of cold air behind Front 2. It coincides with an area of precipitation which directly followed this front. Potential temperatures below 6 °C cannot be explained from advection in this case. Instead, diabatic cooling by evaporating rain and melting snow is surmised to be the reason.

The movement of Front 2 is illustrated by hourly isochrones in Figure 4b. The significant acceleration of the front along the Alpine baseline and the formation

---

**Figure 3** Maps of nested model domains (without the respective boundary meshes).  
(a) Top: Domain A. The contour interval of the terrain elevation is 500 m. The inner frame encloses model domain B.  
(b) Middle: Domain B. The contour interval of the terrain elevation is 500 m. The inner frame encloses model domain C.  

**Figure 4**  
(a) Top: Analysis of surface potential temperature (°C) and positions of cold fronts on 3 May 1987 at 12 UTC.  
(b) Bottom: Hourly isochrones of the analyzed positions of Front 2 between 09 and 18 UTC. At 15 UTC Front 2 has merged into the preceding Front 1. The hatched area indicates the region with cloud top temperatures of below 40 °C at 14 UTC adapted from an infrared satellite image. (Source: Heimann and Volkert, 1988)
of a nose-like shape of the surface front line are suspected to be caused by the Alpine topography. Similar developments have been observed along other mountain ranges like the Australian Alps (Colquhoun et al., 1985) or the Pyrenees (Hoinika and Heimann, 1988). Since the observed wind velocities had a maximum near the northern rim of the Alps, the behaviour of the front may be addressed as an ‘orographic jet’.

The numerical experiments are designed as to allow separating the influences of diabatic heat exchange and orography, respectively. The use of three nested grid domains ensures the explicit treatment of the synoptic structures which are responsible for large scale lifting and precipitation, and the resolution of mesoscale processes as well.

Three model runs have been performed:

I. Run I with diabatic processes and real orography
   Domain A: 00 UTC – 24 UTC
   Domain B: 06 UTC – 18 UTC
   Domain C: 06 UTC – 13 UTC

II. Run II with diabatic processes, but with ‘flat’ orography
   Domain A: 00 UTC – 18 UTC
   Domain B: 06 UTC – 18 UTC
   ‘Flat’ orography in this run means that the maximum terrain elevation is limited to 500 m MSL. The ‘Alps’ then reduce to a plateau which joins the northern Alpine foreland without a step.

III. Run III without diabatic processes, but with real orography
    Domain A: 00 UTC – 18 UTC
    Domain B: 06 UTC – 18 UTC

The orographic influence can be assessed by interpreting the differences between the runs I and II. On the other hand, the evaluation of the differences between the model runs I and III enables one to estimate the importance of diabatic effects.

3.2 Initialization and Synoptic Development

All three model runs were initialized with the European radiosonde data of 3 May 1987, 00 UTC. From these data the heights of two isentropic levels, the 286 K level and the 307 K level were determined at each station. The 286 K level was chosen to represent the frontal surface of the synoptic-scale Front 1, which is related to the surface of the lowest model layer ($h_1$). The selection of the 307 K level as an equivalent of the initial surface height of the second model layer ($h_2$) was a result of systematic tests during which the potential temperature was varied from 301 to 309 K in steps of 2 K. The initialization with the 307 K level led to the most realistic distribution of the large-scale precipitation. Furthermore, the height of the 300 hPa level was derived from the aerological data. It is used for the initial surface height of the third model layer ($h_3$).

The fields of the surface heights ($h_k$), the pressure values at the surfaces ($\pi_k$), and the vertically integrated specific humidities within the layers ($q_{v,k}$) were horizontally interpolated from the locations of the aerological stations to the mesh centres using distance dependent weights.

The virtual potential temperatures were derived for each mesh and in each layer, i.e. for all model boxes, by

$$\Theta_{v,k} = \frac{g}{c_p} \frac{h_k - h_{k-1}}{\pi_{k-1} - \pi_k}$$

(9)

The initial wind field is calculated assuming geostrophic balance in each model layer while neglecting orographic effects. The orography is smoothly added during the first three hours of integration by ‘diastrophy’, i.e. by blowing up the orography linearly with time.

Figure 5 depicts the initial state at 00 UTC. The surface of the lowest model layer intersects with the ground on a line reaching from northern Spain via southern France, south-western Germany towards the Baltic Sea and Finland. This line agrees well with the position of the synoptic-scale cold front in the published weather charts of this time. The thickness of the lowest model layer increases to 3700 m above the Norwegian Sea.

By 12 UTC the cold air mass of model layer 1 has passed through the gap in between the Pyrenees and the Alps towards the Mediterranean Sea (Figure 6a). Along the northern rim of the Alps the cold air has propagated also, while further to the north it remained almost
stationary. The 300 hPa trough, i.e. the surface of model layer 3, has shifted to the east. The model suggests that the precipitation area ahead of it was formed as a consequence of positive vorticity advection. This is supported by detailed output analyses of ECMWF's general circulation model. They show an area of strong positive vorticity advection moving with its centre from northern France (00 UTC) to south-west Germany (12 UTC). The dynamic effect of positive vorticity advection was not compensated by cold air advection north of the Alps, since the main movement of the cold air behind Front 1 was directed towards the Mediterranean. As a consequence large-scale rising was dominant in this area, which coincides quite well with a rapidly developing comma-like cloud formation in the METEOSAT infrared images. Further details of the synoptic-scale dynamics of 3 May 1987 are given by Heimann and Volkert (1988).

In the FRONTOM run the precipitation is not attached to front 1 but lags it by about 200 km. This is in agreement with observations. It is remarkable that run II (with flat orography) did not produce rain in the Alpine foreland east of the Black Forest, while run I (with real orography) even brought about a precipitation maximum around Lake of Constance.

After 12 UTC the leading edge of model layer 1, i.e. front 1, has reached Hungary and penetrated further to the Mediterranean, while it was still retained by the Alps and the Pyrenees (Figure 6b). North of the 55th parallel the front became even retrograde taking the characteristics of a northwestward moving warm front. The nose-like shape of the front north of the Alps is evident.

The mesoscale development of front 1 and the generation of front 2 will be discussed in the following sections by focussing on model domains B and C.

3.3 Development of the 'Orographic Jet'

The role of the Alps is evident in Figure 7. The difference vectors (run I - run II) exhibit the typical anticyclonic acceleration due to orography, which is explained by the conservation law of potential vorticity. This behaviour was also found in model calculations by Haderlein (1986) or Schumann (1987). In the case with real orography the flow is blocked in the western part of the domain, so that the front lags behind by up to 400 km. North of the Alps the cold air propagates faster with fully represented mountains. Since the front hangs back further to the north at approximately the same position as in run II, it took the shape of a nose close to the Alps. Note that a similar looking nose-like curvature of the front in the south-westernmost part of model domain B is an influence of the Pyrenees, imported from model domain A, rather than an effect of the Alps.

The nose-like deformation of the front north of the Alps can be explained in terms of the model physics as follows: The west-east orientated mountain barrier blocks the southward motion of the cold air leading to a vanishing $v_z$ in Equation 1. The air flow is no longer in geostrophic balance as the acceleration of $u_z$ is now mainly determined by inertia and pressure forces. Near the front the pressure force is dominated by the discontinuous density at the inclined surface separating model layers 1 and 2. Thus, the cold air of the model layer 1 accelerates along the barrier towards the east like a trapped gravity flow. Since the acceleration only acts within a strip of certain width along the barrier it brings about the nose-like shape of the front.
The wind velocity in model layer 1 is evidently increased north of the Alps with a maximum immediately behind the front in the area of the frontal nose (Figure 7b). In the following this will be addressed as 'orographic jet'. A second wind maximum is found in the Rhone valley representing the well known 'mistral'. Figure 7b also gives the percentage of the ageostrophic part of the wind speed. In accordance to the theory of trapped gravity currents the flow is out of geostrophic equilibrium in the region of the frontal nose.

The temporal development of the 'orographic' jet is displayed in Figure 8. The vertical cross section along 11° E shows the cold air mass of model layer 1 approaching from the northwest. By 12 UTC it has reached the Alpine baseline. At this time the section cuts through the head of the front and the orographic jet is most pronounced. The surface of the cold air is vaulted by around 300 m within a distance of about 100 km north of the Alps. The mountain parallel component of the wind reaches 15 m/s close to the Alps, but decreases to less than 10 m/s further away. During the consecutive hours the frontal head moves out of the cross section and the surface of the cold air levels in south-north direction. The west-east wind component has still its maximum close to the Alps, but the jet-like contrast of speed has decreased.

For a more detailed inspection of the frontal nose we turn to the results of model domain C. At 12 UTC the region of the orographic jet is roughly enclosed by the 16 m/s isothach (Figure 9a). Although we find the maximum speed of 25 m/s immediately behind the front, secondary maxima follow in the wake of the front along the Alpine baseline. It is evident that the velocity is smaller near exits of major Alpine valleys like the Inn valley. The cold air partly penetrates into these valleys and the consequent divergence decreases the speed. For the same reason wind speed maxima are found near the steepest slopes of the mountain rim.

Half-hourly isochrones of the front show clearly the formation of the progressing frontal nose between 10 and 12 UTC (Figure 9b). An estimation of the propagation speed of the front yields values of 17 m/s near Kempten, 21 m/s near Salzburg, but not more than 10 m/s along the northern boundary of domain C. At 12 UTC the propagation speed of the front is by about 15 percent smaller compared to the wind speed shortly behind the front. The resulting velocity convergence near the front leads to an increasing vertical thickness of the frontal head. A part of the velocity convergence is compensated by a divergence of the wind direction.
which enlarges the frontal head towards the north after 11 UTC.

3.4 Theoretical Aspects

In order to classify the behaviour of a cold front near mountains, Davies (1984) used dimensionless parameters. He found that small values of the rotational Froude number and of the height aspect ratio are favorable for the orographical retardation of a cold front. An extended set of dimensionless parameters was defined by Schumann (1987). Although not all of these numbers will be discussed below, the same set is evaluated for run I to enable a comparison with Schumann's classification of numerically simulated, idealized cold fronts:

Froude number \( Fr = U/c \)

rotational Froude number \( \epsilon = L/R \)
Rossby number \( Ro = Fr/\epsilon \)
height aspect ratio \( \epsilon = L/R \)
ratio of inertia to Coriolis force \( N_0 = \Delta h_1/H \)
ratio of kinetic to potential energy \( N_1 = Fr^2/\epsilon \)
ratio of mountain to frontal steepness \( N_2 = 0.5 Fr^2 \Delta h_1/H \)

The numbers are calculated by the following physical parameters:

reduced acceleration of gravity \( g' = g(\Theta_2 - \Theta_1)/\Theta_2 \)

phase velocity of gravity waves \( c = \sqrt{g'\Delta h_1} \)

Rossby radius \( R = c/f \)

mountain height scale \( H \)

mountain length scale \( L \)

velocity scale \( U \)

Since the scales depend on the spatial resolution they are determined separately for model domains A (synoptic scale) and B (mesoscale-\( \alpha \)). They are listed in Table 2.

The resulting physical parameters and numbers are summarized in Table 3. The numbers predict a blocking of the cold air flow by the Alps: The mountains are steeper than the frontal face \( (N_1 > 1) \) and the kinetic energy of the cold air is insufficient to be converted

| Table 2 Specification of characteristic measures of run I in the synoptic scale (taken from model domain A) and the mesoscale-\( \alpha \) (taken from model domain B), respectively. |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( H \) | 2 km | 2 km |
| \( L \) | 100 km | 100 km |
| \( U \) | 10 m/s | 10 m/s |
| \( \Delta h_1 \) | 3000 m | 500 m |
| \( \Delta \Theta_1 \) | 13 K | 13 K |

| Table 3 Parameters and non-dimensional numbers for run I in the synoptic scale (taken from model domain A) and the mesoscale-\( \alpha \) (taken from model domain B), respectively. |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( g' \) | 0.4 m/s\(^2\) | 0.4 m/s\(^2\) |
| \( c \) | 35 m/s | 15 m/s |
| \( R \) | 350 km | 150 km |
| \( Fr \) | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| \( \epsilon \) | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| \( Ro \) | 1 | 1 |
| \( N_0 \) | 1.5 | 0.25 |
| \( N_1 \) | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| \( N_2 \) | 0.0675 | 0.05 |
| \( N_3 \) | 2.3 | 6 |
to the amount of potential energy which is necessary
to cross the Alps ($N_2 \ll 1$). The synoptic-scale Rossby
radius $R = 350$ km suggests that the Alps influence the
flow up to this distance. This is confirmed by the difference
vectors which almost vanish 350 km away from the
Alps (upper boundary of Figure 7a).
Looking at the mesoscale the mountains even act like a
wall ($N_3 \gg 1$), and the cold air approaches the Alps
in a very shallow layer. Thus, we have to expect a very
dramatic modification of the front within a distance of
$R = 150$ km off the mountain rim. This is in agreement
with the observations (Figure 4b) as well as with the
model results (Figure 9).
If stationarity is assumed the mountain-parallel propa-
gation speed of the leading edge of a density current in a
rotational system is given by Stern et al. (1982):

$$c_F = 0.5 \frac{u_\infty}{u_\infty} + \frac{\theta_\infty}{\theta_\infty}$$

Equation 10 predicts $c_F \approx 25$ m/s. This value does not differ
much from the simulated progression speed of the
front (21 m/s), although the orographic jet is evidently
instationary.

3.5 Importance of Diabatic Processes

The simple three-layer model, of course, only gives estimates
about the consequences of the diabatic pro-
cesses. The simulated amounts of precipitation merely
reached ten percent of those observed. This is due to
the coarse vertical resolution of the model and the
rather simple treatment of humidity effects (see Sec-
tion 2.3), which allows for neither convective precipita-
tion nor diabatic effects of melting snow to be simulated.
However, both processes seem to be important in the
real case of the ‘papal front’. Therefore, the present
model is used to assess the qualitative rather than the
quantitative effects of diabatic processes.

The model results confirm the assumption that a diabatic
cooling due to partly evaporating rain might have
caused the development of a second front line. Figure
10a shows the difference of potential temperatures in
layer 1 between model run I with diabatic processes
and run III without diabatic processes ($\theta_{1,1} - \theta_{1,III}$).
Due to evaporating rain the air of layer 1 was cooled
by up to 1.5 K within the precipitation area over south-
western Germany. However, a temperature drop of 6 K
was observed in this region near the ground as depicted
in Figure 4a. This discrepancy does not surprise. The
postfrontal radiosounding of Nancy (12 UTC) suggests
that the cooling increased towards the earth’s surface.
On the contrary, the model homogeneously distributes
the cooling over the vertical extent of the lowest model
layer. The underestimated precipitation rate in the
model may also explain the only little cooling effect.
Though, one has to keep in mind that the diabatic
cooling is not strictly proportional to the precipitation
rate. It ceases as soon as the model layer gets saturated
by the evaporated rain. Nevertheless, the model gener-
ates a sharp horizontal gradient of potential temperature
($V_0 \theta_1$) at the leading edge of the precipitation area.
within layer 1 (Figure 10b). We relate the line of maximum temperature gradient with the observed Front 2. Note that model Front 2 does not correspond with an intersecting line of a model surface with the ground like Front 1. It is rather represented as an internal front within the lowest model layer. Run III did not produce a sharp horizontal gradient of the potential temperature within layer 1. In this run the values of $\Theta_0$ remain homogeneously distributed and lie at about 5 mK/km at 12 UTC as they were initialized at 00 UTC.

Diabatic effects cannot only generate a front, they can also intensify an existing frontal system. This was predicted by Hsie et al. (1984), for instance, who evaluated model simulations of frontogenesis in a moist atmosphere. The essential mechanism is the release of latent heat by condensation aloft and the consumption of sensible heat by evaporation near the ground. The corresponding heating and cooling increase the cross-frontal temperature gradient and result in a stronger static stability. This intensifies the ageostrophic circulations around the frontal zone and accelerates the surface cold front.

Accordingly, model runs I and III show that diabatic effects increase the difference of potential temperatures between layers 1 and 2 ($\Delta \Theta_1 = \Theta_2 - \Theta_1$) by about 30 percent. Relative to the dry case the Rossby radius $R$ increases by 14 % while both, the Froude number $F_r$ and the rotational Froude number $F_r$, decrease by 12 %. The ratio of kinetic energy to potential energy ($N^2$) even diminishes by 22 %. By and large, the diabatic processes favour the blocking of the cold air at the Alps. They intensify the mountain parallel wind component, i.e. the orographic jet.

The propagation of the cold air is depicted by hourly isochrones of the 12 $^\circ$C potential temperature isolines for runs I and III in Figure 11. In run I the 12 $^\circ$C line is situated very close to the line of maximum horizontal gradient of $\Theta_1$, and can be related to the respective location of Front 2. Already at 06 UTC, when domain B was initialized, the 12 $^\circ$C isoline of run I is situated 100 km farther east. During the following hours this line proceeds much faster in run I than it does in run III. Until 12 UTC this is mainly due to the continuing diabatic cooling which causes the isotherms to move faster than the advecting speed. Note that isentropes are not material lines if diabatic processes are turned on. After 12 UTC the 12 $^\circ$C isoline strongly accelerates along the Alps in run I. Even without considering diabatic processes this isoline speeds up so that at 18 UTC the isolone of run III moves as fast as that of run I already at 12 UTC. The distance of the isochrones has increased to almost 450 km by 18 UTC.

4 Conclusions

The numerical imitations of the 'papal front' case reveal the influences on the frontal behaviour of both the Alpine orography and the diabatic heat exchange. The mountains block the southeastward penetration of the cold air and lead to the formation of an orographic jet, i.e. an along-barrier belt of high wind speeds with a nose-like deformation of the front. The diabatic cooling within the precipitation area ahead of the eastward moving trough acts frontogenetic, inducing a second front line. The model result suggest that an orographic jet has been formed behind the synoptic-scale Front 1 after 11 UTC independently on whether diabatic processes were invoked or not. At this stage we have to point to a discrepancy between the model results and the reality. After 10 UTC the preceding Front 1 was observed to be almost stationary while the orographic jet developed behind Front 2. Only when the faster Front 2 has merged into Front 1 at about 15 UTC near Salzburg the model conforms with the reality. However, the simulations give evidence that the synoptic weather situation of that day was predestinated for the generation of an orographic jet.

The 'papal front' simulations have shown that the simple conception of the three-layer shallow water model FRONTOm is altogether adequate to answer principle questions concerning the impact of orography on fronts. Especially, the employed nesting method ensures the consistancy of simulated mesoscale features with their synoptic-scale environment. However, the vertical resolution of the model is too coarse to describe mesoscale structures in detail. In particular,
diabatic processes can be imitated only with great caution. Hence, simulations on a fully three-dimensional grid are necessary if special effects are to be investigated. This includes convective precipitation at fronts and frontal rainbands, for instance. Especially the observation periods of 12 November, 13 November, and 19 December of the German Front Experiment 1987 (Hoinka et al., 1988) are very promising in respect to such tasks. It is hoped that numerical simulations of the experiment cases will lead to further knowledge of the combined influences of orography and diabatic processes on fronts and their scale interactions.
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