ABSTRACT:

Digital Elevation Models (DEM) provided by stereo matching techniques often contain unwanted outliers due to the mismatched points. Detected outliers are candidate for wrong data that may otherwise adversely lead to model misspecification, biased parameter estimation and incorrect results (Maimon and Rokach, 2005). Therefore detection of outlying observations and elimination of them from the data is considered as one of the first steps towards obtaining a refined DEM. Several statistical and non-statistical methods are available for analyzing the point cloud and extracting the outliers. The statistical methods often assume that the data follow a Gaussian normal distribution and hence, they look for the observations which are represented doubtful based on mean and standard deviation. In Digital Elevation Models generated from ASTER data the outliers, i.e., artifacts and anomalies, are represented as the following types: residual clouds, and errors due to different stack numbers: it occurs when generating the final GDEM from variable number of individual ASTER DEMs. In this paper a segment-based algorithm is proposed for detecting and eliminating the outliers from ASTER GDEM images. Furthermore, a water mask is produced as an additional layer representing the lower quality of the pixels located on inland water bodies. In the segment-based algorithm, the potential regions are iteratively extracted and evaluated using geometrical feature descriptors to classify the outliers and non-outlier regions. After eliminating the location of the outliers in original ASTER GDEM, an interpolation procedure is employed to fill the gaps. The quality of the final product is compared to the SRTM data and quality parameters are measured.

1 INTRODUCTION

Recently, in July 2009, the Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry (METI) of Japan and the United States National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) released the Global Digital Elevation Models (GDEM) which is produced from Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) stereo images. The aim of the ASTER GDEM is to provide a high accurate DEM which covers all the land on the earth and it is freely available to all users. Furthermore it is aimed to be used as a platform for analysis of the data in the fields of disaster monitoring (e.g., volcanic or flood hazard map), hydrology (e.g., water resource management), energy (e.g., oil resource exploration), and Stereoscopic visualization (e.g., for Bird’s-eye views and flight simulations) (ERSDAC, 2010).

The ASTER GDEM is generated with spatial resolution of about 30m (1 arc-second) from original 15m ASTER image resolution in the horizontal plane. Therefore, considering the resolution, the ASTER GDEM contains the highest resolution of freely available DEMs for the users in internet. The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) DEM and the Global Topographic Data (GTOPO30) are the other available global DEM released before ASTER GDEM with 90m and 1000m posting interval, respectively.

The Aster GDEM covers land surfaces between 83° and −83°. Additionally the ASTER GDEM data is available for high latitude and steep mountainous areas where are not covered by SRTM due to radar shadowing and foreshortening effects (ERSDAC, 2010).

The vertical and horizontal accuracies of ASTER GDEM are estimated in pre-production level at 95% confidence as 20m and 30m, respectively. Prior to releasing the ASTER GDEM data to the global user community in July 2009, an extensive preliminary validation study in cooperation with the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), ERDAS, and other collaborators have been performed (NASA/METI, 2009). The results of the accuracy assessment by this study proves that the pre-production estimated vertical accuracy of 20m at 90% is globally correct. Some tiles contain a vertical accuracy better than 20m and in some other tiles it is worse.

According to this report the data contain artifacts and anomalies which can produce large elevation errors on local scales. Those errors occur mainly in the areas with small “stack numbers” or in the region with persistent “clouds”: The artifacts due to existing small stack numbers appear as straight lines, “pits”, “bumps”, “mole-runs”, and other geometric shapes. The specifications of the mentioned errors are summarized in ASTER GDEM report as follows (NASA/METI, 2009):

- “Pits” occur as small negative elevation anomalies which varies from a few meters to about 100 meters (cf. Figure 1).
- “Bumps” appear as positive elevation anomaly-artifact, its magnitude can range from just few meters to more than 100 meters (cf. Figure 2).
geodesic morphological dilation (Vincent, 1993, Arefi and Hahn, 2009) in this paper, an algorithm based on image reconstruction using grey scale reconstruction using geodesic dilation can be found in (cf. Figure 4, J). The reconstructed image (gray color on right image) is the result of geodesic dilation until stability reached. As illustrated, the regional maxima regions are suppressed in reconstructed image (cf. Figure 4, right, gray-colored segment). More information regarding the segmentation of the DEMs by gray scale reconstruction using geodesic dilation can be found in (Arefi, 2009) where similar algorithms are employed for extracting the 3D objects as well as the ridge lines from high resolution LIDAR DSM.

As illustrated, the regional maxima regions are suppressed in reconstructed image (cf. Figure 4, right, gray-colored segment). More information regarding the segmentation of the DEMs by gray scale reconstruction using geodesic dilation can be found in (Arefi, 2009) where similar algorithms are employed for extracting the 3D objects as well as the ridge lines from high resolution LIDAR DSM.

In segmentation algorithm based on geodesic reconstruction, selecting an appropriate “marker” image plays the main role and has a direct effect on the quality of the final reconstructed image. A “marker” image with a small offset, e.g., few meters, from the “mask” can suppress mainly local maxima regions similar to the artifacts above the ground.

2.2 Proposed Algorithm

Proposed outlier extraction algorithm regarding the positive artifacts and anomalies is represented in Figure 5. The first step for segmentation based outlier detection is to generate the “marker” image as second input image. The first input image is the original ASTER GDEM as “mask” image. The marker is generated by subtraction of an offset value \( h \) from the ASTER GDEM:

\[
\text{mask}^+ = \text{GDEM}
\]

\[
\text{marker} = \text{mask}^+ - h
\]

where \( \text{mask}^+ \) is the “mask” image employed to extract the “positive” outliers.

Since the artifacts are in most cases located far beyond the ground, the profile shown in Figure 4 represents the result of reconstruction using geodesic dilation. To suppress the regional maxima of image \( f \) (mask) a marker image \( g \) is generated with a lower gray values than the \( f \). The reconstructed image (gray color on right image) is the result of geodesic dilation until stability reached. As illustrated, the regional maxima regions are suppressed in reconstructed image (cf. Figure 4, right, gray-colored segment). More information regarding the segmentation of the DEMs by gray scale reconstruction using geodesic dilation can be found in (Arefi, 2009) where similar algorithms are employed for extracting the 3D objects as well as the ridge lines from high resolution LIDAR DSM.

As stated, the artifacts and anomalies produced due to the small and different stack numbers are almost apparent in all the ASTER GDEM tiles. In addition, the effects of residual clouds have been already reduced in Version 1 of the ASTER GDEM by replacing the elevation with -9999 values (NASA/METI, 2009). Another shortcoming of the existing ASTER GDEM version is that the inland water mask has been applied in data refinement procedure and therefore, the pixel values regarding the inland lakes are not accurate.

According to the above mentioned problems, a segmentation based algorithm is proposed for detection and elimination of the outliers, i.e., artifacts and anomalies, from the ASTER GDEM images. Additionally a “water mask” corresponding to the inland water is automatically extracted which indicates the lower quality of the GDEM pixels in water body areas.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 the proposed algorithm for segmentation based detection and removal of the outliers from ASTER GDEM is explained and corresponding theoretical background of the algorithm is described. Section 3 represents the experimental results of the proposed algorithm. Finally, the results are evaluated and the conclusions are given in Section 4.

2 SEGMENTATION BASED OUTLIER DETECTION ALGORITHM

2.1 Theoretical Background

In this paper, an algorithm based on image reconstruction using geodesic morphological dilation (Vincent, 1993, Arefi and Hahn, 2005) is employed to extract the regional extrema regions. The geodesic dilation differs with basic dilation where an image and a structuring element involve in the filtering process. In geodesic dilation additionally the dilated image is “masked” with a predefined “mask” image. Equation 1 shows the geodesic dilation of image \( J \) (marker) using mask \( I \).

\[
\delta^\text{GDEM}(1) = (J \ominus B) \wedge I, \tag{1}
\]

In this equation, \( \wedge \) stands for the point-wise minimum between the dilated image and the mask image, \( J \ominus B \) is the dilation of \( J \) with the elementary isotropic structuring element \( B \). Image reconstruction is obtained if the geodesic dilation of image \( J \) repeats until stability, as stated in Equation 2 and visualized in Figure 4.

\[
\delta\text{GDEM}(n) = \delta^\text{GDEM}(1) \circ \delta^\text{GDEM}(1) \circ \ldots \circ \delta^\text{GDEM}(1) \tag{2}
\]

Figure 4. Grayscale reconstruction of mask \( f \) from marker \( g \) (Courtesy of Vincent (1993))
elevation level with low height variation of their internal pixels, a single offset value \( h \) of about 25 meters generates an appropriate marker image for segmentation of the outliers. This is a basic assumption for the outlier elimination algorithm, i.e., if an outlier area connects with a smooth transition to the ground in its neighborhood, it cannot be not detected by the algorithm.

Figure 6 shows a small ASTER GDEM tile with \((420 \times 550\) pixels) which is termed as "mask" in this algorithm. After providing the second input image ("marker") the image reconstruction ImRec is measured accordingly. For segmentation purpose the reconstructed image is subtracted from the original DEM. The result is a normalized DEM similar to normalized Digital Surface Model (nDSM) where the regions standing about \( h \) meter higher than their neighborhood are highlighted. Similar results can be achieved by morphological "tophat" but the operation based on geodesic dilation is independent from the size of the objects to be filtered, therefore, no need to tune the size of the structuring element, i.e., \( B \). Segmentation procedure is implemented using thresholding and the labeled regions are provided by means of connected components analysis. A geometric feature descriptor is created which highlights the height variation on each pixel regarding its adjacency to evaluate the labeled regions. Local Range Variation (LRV) feature is created by subtracting the maximum and minimum values in every \( 3 \times 3 \) windows over the image (cf. Figure 10). All the boundary pixels of the detected regions are evaluated by LRV descriptor. The regions having certain height jumps on their boundary pixels will be evaluated as outliers (positive artifacts). In practice, the LRV values of the boundary of each region are extracted and if the majority (here 90 percent) of LRV values are above the threshold (here above 25m) the region will be classified as outlier and its location will be eliminated from the original data set.

Similar process is utilized to eliminate the negative outliers but in this case the complementary image of the ASTER GDEM is selected as "mask" and therefore:

\[
mask^- = \max(GDEM) - GDEM \\
marker = mask^- - h
\]

where \( mask^- \) is used to extract the negative outliers and is measured by subtracting the whole pixels of GDEM from the maximum value. Classified outlier regions in this step are then integrated and corresponding locations are eliminated from the original ASTER GDEM. Figure 8 illustrates the final detected outliers from the example ASTER GDEM image.

A second criteria is considered for final refinement of the classification step into outlier and inliers regions. As stated in the introduction section, in production of the ASTER GDEM the pixels inside inland water bodies are not filtered and therefore they contain lower quality. In this step a water mask binary image is provided as a sort of quality layer which warns the user about the lower quality of the height points inside water body areas. Also, the water mask layer can directly be used to filter out all the height points inside the water. In order to provide high quality water mask the vector map containing the boundary points of the shoreline regions in different resolutions are automatically extracted from the "Global Self-consistent, Hierarchical, High-resolution Shoreline Database" (GSHHS) which is a high-resolution shoreline data set and it is freely available to download (GSHHS, 2009).

In the final step, the gaps provided by the outliers are filled by applying spatial interpolation procedure. In this paper the Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) interpolation method is utilized.
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ASTER GDEM data are originally partitioned into $1\degree \times 1\degree$ tiles and are therefore, comprised of 22600 tiles cover land surfaces between $83\degree N$ and $83\degree S$. The sample tile of $N58E027$ is tested in this section contains $3601 \times 3601$ pixels with pixel size of 0.0002777777845$\degree$ or 1 arc-second (30m). Figure 9 shows the sample tile including a large water body area. After employing the image reconstruction based algorithm for segmentation procedure, provided regions are evaluated using geometric feature LRV (cf. Figure 10). The boundary pixels of each regions are evaluated and the regions located higher (or lower for negative outliers) than their neighborhood with more than a predefined value are classified as outliers. A threshold value of 20m is selected for this test data. Figure 11 represents the final binary image regarding to the outliers after integrating positive and negative outliers.

To qualitative assessment of the final ASTER GDEM, corresponding SRTM DEM (cf. Figure 14) has been compared to both ASTER GDEM data before and after outlier removal procedure. The SRTM data is first resampled from 3 arc-second (90m) pixel.

For further refinement, a water mask layer is generated (cf. Figure 12) and compared to the original ASTER GDEM. To provide final refined ASTER GDEM, corresponding pixels of outliers and water bodies are eliminated from the original ASTER GDEM and produced gaps are filled using Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) interpolation technique (cf. Figure 13).
resolution to 1 arc-second (30m) to be comparable with ASTER products. Visual comparison between SRTM and ASTER GDEM shows the existing height data inside most of the water regions in ASTER GDEM as well as a clear height offset between these two data set. Since both images are color coded in the same stretching manner, the SRTM looks about 10m higher than ASTER GDEM. To make an accurate measurement the difference image between SRTM and ASTER GDEM data are generated and statistical parameters are measured (cf. Table 1)

The statistical measurement also proves the existence of offset between SRTM and ASTER GDEM. On the other hand, it shows a clear improvement of the statistical parameters after eliminating the outliers.

### 4 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper a segmentation based algorithm is proposed for extracting the artifacts and anomalies (outliers) from the ASTER GDEM. Only the artifacts or anomalies that are clearly higher or lower (for negative outliers) than the neighborhood can be extracted with this algorithm. The artifacts with small height discontinuity or with smooth transition in some boundary pixels cannot be detected particularly in mountainous regions therefore, selecting a small threshold for LRV feature descriptor might extract part of the mountains. The result shows an overall improvement on the quality of the GDEM. For future work, an image containing the stack numbers can be used as additional classification layer which might help to extract the other artifacts and anomalies that are still remained in the final result.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SRTM-ASTER(orig.)</th>
<th>SRTM-ASTER(refined)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>8.74m</td>
<td>8.21m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>11.84m</td>
<td>11.72m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantile (90%)</td>
<td>19m</td>
<td>18m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Statistical evaluation of ASTER GDEM before and after elimination of outliers in comparison to SRTM data