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Abstract—Numerically reliable state space algorithms are proposed for computing coprime factorizations of periodic descriptor systems. Two factorizations are considered for causal periodic descriptor systems: stable coprime factorizations and coprime factorizations with inner denominators. The corresponding algorithms are based on a recursive generalized periodic Schur method for eigenvalue assignment. For general descriptor periodic systems an algorithm is proposed to compute factorizations with causal (proper) factors. This algorithm relies on computing suitable right annihilators of appropriate extended systems. The proposed algorithms are lifting-free and generally applicable regardless the original periodic descriptor state space representations are minimal or not.

I. PRELIMINARIES

We consider linear periodic time-varying discrete-time descriptor systems of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
E_k x(k+1) &= A_k x(k) + B_k u(k) \\
g(k) &= C_k x(k) + D_k u(k)
\end{align*}
\tag{1}
\]

where the matrices \(E_k \in \mathbb{R}^{p_k \times n_k}, A_k \in \mathbb{R}^{p_k \times n_k}, B_k \in \mathbb{R}^{p_k \times n_k}, C_k \in \mathbb{R}^{q_k \times n_k}, D_k \in \mathbb{R}^{q_k \times n_k}\) are periodic with period \(N \geq 1\). The periodic system (1) will be alternatively denoted by the periodic quintuple \(S := (E_k, A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k)\). The vector of state-dimensions \(n = [n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_N]\) characterizes the state-space order of the periodic system. We assume that \(\sum_{k=1}^{N} n_k = \sum_{k=1}^{N} n_k = n\) is fulfilled. Periodic systems of this general forms have been considered in several works (see for example [1], [2], [3], [4]). In what follows we summarize some notations and definitions for periodic systems used throughout the paper.

A. Causal periodic systems

The case of causal systems, when \(n_k = n_{k+1}\) and \(E_k\) are invertible matrices, plays an important role in most of applications. For a causal system (1), we denote the monodromy matrix at time \(k\) by

\[
\Psi_{E^{-1}_k A_k} := E^{-1}_{k+N-1} A_{k+N-1} E^{-1}_{k+N-2} A_{k+N-2} \cdots E^{-1}_k A_k.
\]

The eigenvalues of \(\Psi_{E^{-1}_k A_k}\) are called characteristic multipliers of the periodic pair \((E_k, A_k)\). Let \(\mathbb{D}^- \) and \(\mathbb{D}^+\) be two open subsets of the complex plane \(\mathbb{C}\) representing the interior and the exterior of the unit disk centered in the origin, respectively. We say that \(S\) is stable (or equivalently the periodic pair \((E_k, A_k)\) is stable) if all characteristic multipliers have moduli less then one (i.e., \(A(\Psi_{E^{-1}_k A_k}) \subset \mathbb{D}^+\)). Note that \(\Psi_{E^{-1}_k A_k}\) has always \(n_k - n\) null eigenvalues, where \(n := \min\{n_k\}\). The rest of \(n\) eigenvalues of \(\Psi_{E^{-1}_k A_k}\) form the core characteristic multipliers and are the same for all values of \(k\). For non-causal systems (e.g., with \(E_k\) singular or even non-square), similar notions like finite and infinite characteristic multipliers can be defined using lifting-based representations (see for example [2]).

The definitions used for reachability and observability of causal periodic descriptor systems are those of [1], which also apply for the more general non-causal case. For causal systems, we will use the following simpler definitions of stabilizability and detectability. The causal periodic system \(S\) is stabilizable if there exists a periodic \(L_k\) of appropriate dimensions such that the periodic pair \((E_k, A_k + B_k L_k)\) is stable. Similarly, the causal periodic system \(S\) is detectable if there exists a periodic \(L_k\) of appropriate dimensions such that the periodic pair \((E_k, A_k + L_k C_k)\) is stable.

B. Similarity transformations

Two periodic systems \(S := (E_k, A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k)\) and \(S' := (\hat{E}_k, \hat{A}_k, \hat{B}_k, \hat{C}_k, \hat{D}_k)\) are called similar if the matrices of their state-space representations are related by

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{E}_k &= U_k E_k V_{k+1} \\
\bar{A}_k &= U_k A_k V_k \\
\bar{B}_k &= U_k B_k C_k = C_k V_k
\end{align*}
\]

with \(U_k\) and \(V_k\) \(N\)-periodic nonsingular matrices. Two similar systems have the same input-output mapping.

C. System couplings

The series coupling of two periodic systems \(S_1 = (E^{(1)}_k, A^{(1)}_k, B^{(1)}_k, C^{(1)}_k, D^{(1)}_k)\) of order \(n_1\) and \(S_2 = (E^{(2)}_k, A^{(2)}_k, B^{(2)}_k, C^{(2)}_k, D^{(2)}_k)\) of order \(n_2\) we denote with \(S_1 \star S_2 := (\bar{E}_k, \bar{A}_k, \bar{B}_k, \bar{C}_k, \bar{D}_k)\) and has a realization of order \(n_1 + n_2\) with the state-space matrices

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{E}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} E^{(1)}_k & 0 \\ 0 & E^{(2)}_k \end{bmatrix}, \\
\bar{A}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} A^{(1)}_k & B^{(1)}_k C^{(2)}_k \\ 0 & A^{(2)}_k \end{bmatrix}, \\
\bar{B}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} B^{(1)}_k D^{(2)}_k \\ B^{(2)}_k \end{bmatrix}, \\
\bar{C}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} C^{(1)}_k D^{(1)}_k C^{(2)}_k \\ C^{(2)}_k \end{bmatrix}, \\
\bar{D}_k &= D^{(1)}_k D^{(2)}_k
\end{align*}
\]

The parallel coupling of two periodic systems \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) we denote by \(S_1 \oplus S_2 := (\bar{E}_k, \bar{A}_k, \bar{B}_k, \bar{C}_k, \bar{D}_k)\) and has a realization of order \(n_1 + n_2\) with the state-space matrices

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{E}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} E^{(1)}_k & 0 \\ 0 & E^{(2)}_k \end{bmatrix}, \\
\bar{A}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} A^{(1)}_k & 0 \\ 0 & A^{(2)}_k \end{bmatrix}, \\
\bar{B}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} B^{(1)}_k \\ B^{(2)}_k \end{bmatrix}, \\
\bar{C}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} C^{(1)}_k C^{(2)}_k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\bar{D}_k &= D^{(1)}_k + D^{(2)}_k
\end{align*}
\]
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We denote by \([S_1, S_2]\) and \([S_1 \color{red} S_2]\) the input and output concatenated couplings, respectively, which have obvious state-space representations. For example, \([S_1 \color{red} S_2] := (E_k, A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k)\) has the realization

\[
\tilde{E}_k = \begin{bmatrix} E_k^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & E_k^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{A}_k = \begin{bmatrix} A_k^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & A_k^{(2)} \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
\tilde{B}_k = \begin{bmatrix} B_k^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & B_k^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{C}_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_k^{(1)} & C_k^{(2)} \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
\tilde{D}_k = \begin{bmatrix} D_k^{(1)} \\ D_k^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}
\]

D. Inversion and conjugation

The inverse of \(S = (E_k, A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k)\) for invertible \(D_k\) is

\[
S^{-1} = (E_k, A_k - B_kD_k^{-1}C_k, D_k^{-1}B_k, C_kD_k^{-1}, D_k^{-1})
\]

and has order \(n\). More general inverses of periodic systems are discussed in [4].

The conjugate system \(S^*\) is defined as \(S^* = (\tilde{E}_k, \tilde{A}_k, \tilde{B}_k, \tilde{C}_k, \tilde{D}_k)\), where (see [5])

\[
\tilde{E}_k = \begin{bmatrix} A_k^T \\ -B_k^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{A}_k = \begin{bmatrix} E_k^T & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
\tilde{B}_k = \begin{bmatrix} -C_k^T \\ D_k^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{C}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{D}_k = 0
\]

If the periodic pair \((E_k, A_k)\) has constant dimension and \(A_k\) is invertible, then an equivalent causal descriptor realization of \(S^*\) is given by

\[
S^* = (A_k^T, E_k^{-1}, -C_k^T, B_k^T, A_k^{-1}E_k^{-1}, D_k^T - B_k^T A_k^{-1}C_k^{-1})
\]

E. Lifted representation

We can formulate the factorization problems addressed in this paper and interpret the obtained results in terms of the transfer-function matrix (TFM) corresponding to the associated stacked lifted representation of [6], which uses the input-state-output behavior of the system over time intervals of length \(N\), rather than 1. The lifted input, output and state vectors are defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
\overline{u}_k(h) &= [u^T(hN+k) \ldots u^T(hN+k+N-1)]^T, \\
\overline{y}_k(h) &= [y^T(hN+k) \ldots y^T(hN+k+N-1)]^T, \\
\overline{x}_k(h) &= [x^T(hN+k) \ldots x^T(hN+k+N-1)]^T
\end{align*}
\]

and the corresponding lifted system can be represented by a time-invariant discrete-time descriptor system of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\overline{E}_k \overline{x}_k(h + 1) &= \overline{A}_k \overline{x}_k(h) + \overline{B}_k \overline{u}_k(h) \\
\overline{y}_k(h) &= \overline{C}_k \overline{x}_k(h) + \overline{D}_k \overline{u}_k(h)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\overline{A}_k = E_k A_k - E_k O \quad \overline{E}_k = \begin{bmatrix} O & \ldots & \ldots & O \\
O & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
O & \ldots & \ldots & O \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

is the pole pencil of the periodic pair \((A_k, E_k)\) and

\[
\overline{B}_k = \text{diag} \{B_k, \ldots, B_k+N-1\}, \quad \overline{C}_k = \text{diag} \{C_k, \ldots, C_k+N-1\}, \\
\overline{D}_k = \text{diag} \{D_k, \ldots, D_k+N-1\}.
\]

The \(pN \times mN\) TFM of the lifted-system corresponding to \(S\) we denote by

\[
G_k^S(z) = \overline{C}_k(zE_k - \overline{A}_k)^{-1}\overline{B}_k + \overline{D}_k
\]

It can be shown that the TFM \(G_k^S(z)\) of a causal system \((E_k, A_k)\) invertible and belongs to a class of special type of proper TFMs for which \(G_k^S(\infty)\) is lower block triangular, with all diagonal blocks of the form \(p \times m\). Only TFMs with this property correspond to causal periodic systems.

The previously defined operations with periodic systems: series coupling, parallel coupling, inversion (2), or conjugation, can easily be expressed in terms of TFMs as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
G_k^{S_1 S_2}(z) &= G_k^{S_1}(z)G_k^{S_2}(z), \\
G_k^{S_1 \oplus S_2}(z) &= G_k^{S_1}(z) + G_k^{S_2}(z), \\
G_k^{S^{-1}}(z) &= (G_k^S(z)^{-1}), \\
G_k^{S^*}(z) &= (G_k^S(1/z))^T
\end{align*}
\]

Important remark. In what follows we tacitly assume that the lifting of input and output concatenated systems is done by preserving the original separation of the inputs and outputs (instead of lifting jointly the inputs and outputs). This has the important consequence that the lifted TFM of an input concatenated system \([S_1, S_2]\) can be built by concatenating the individual lifted TFMs column-wise, i.e.

\[
G_k^{[S_1, S_2]}(z) = [G_k^{S_1}(z) \ G_k^{S_2}(z)]
\]

Similarly, the lifted TFM of output concatenated systems can be built by stacking the individual lifted TFMs row-wise.

II. FACTORIZED REPRESENTATIONS

In this paper we consider the computation of a right factorized representation of the periodic system \(S\) of the form

\[
S = N \ast M^{-1}
\]

or of a left factorized representation of \(S\) of the form

\[
S = M^{-1} \ast N
\]

where \(M\) and \(N\) are periodic systems with certain desirable properties (e.g., causality, stability). The lifted TFMs corresponding to the factorized representations (5) and (6) can be expressed similarly as

\[
G_k^S(z) = G_k^N(z) (G_k^M(z))^{-1}
\]

or

\[
G_k^S(z) = (G_k^M(z))^{-1} G_k^N(z),
\]

respectively.

A factorized representation \(S = N \ast M^{-1}\) with \(N\) and \(M\) stable, is called a periodic right coprime factorization (PRCF) if there exist stable special proper TFMs \(U_k(z)\)
and \( V_k(z) \) such that \( U_k(z)G_N(z) + V_k(z)G^M(z) = I_{m,N} \). Analogously, a factorized representation of \( S \) in the form \( S = M^{-1} \ast N \) with \( N \) and \( M \) stable, is called a periodic left coprime factorization (PLCF) if there exist stable special proper TFMs \( U_k(z) \) and \( V_k(z) \) such that \( G_N^M(z)U_k(z) + G^M(z)V_k(z) = I_{m,N} \). Stable coprime factorizations have many applications. For example, they are employed in parameterizing the solutions of various synthesis problems, in model reduction of unstable systems [7], or in shaping the dynamics of observers or fault detection filters [8].

A particular case of periodic coprime factorizations is when \( M \) is inner, i.e., \( M \ast M = I_m \), where \( I_m \) is the constant periodic system with \( D_k = I_m \). In this case, \( G^M(z) \), the lifted TFM of the “denominator” factor \( M \), is an inner TFM, i.e., \( (G_k^M(1/z))^T G_k^M(z) = I_{m,N} \) [9]. Factorizations with “inner denominators” are useful in computing spectral factorizations of periodic systems.

In this paper we propose several numerically reliable state space algorithms to compute PRCFs of the form \( S = N \ast M^{-1} \), where the factors \( N \) and \( M \) are stable and causal periodic systems. For causal periodic systems, an algorithm based on a recursive generalized Schur technique for eigenvalue assignment of periodic systems is proposed to compute stable PRCF with least order stable \( M \). A similar algorithm is proposed to compute PRCFs with inner denominators. An interesting aspect of the proposed algorithms is their ability to determine factorizations with “denominator” factors \( M \) of minimal order. For non-causal systems, we propose a computational approach for the computation of PRCFs with causal factors which involves as a first step the computation of a maximal right annihilator of an extended system followed by the application of the proposed PRCF techniques for standard periodic systems.

The new procedures are generally applicable regardless the original periodic state space representation of \( S \) is stabilizable/detectable or not. The same algorithms can also be used to compute PLCFs by applying them to the dual system defined by the quintuple

\[
(\tilde{E}_N^T, A_{N+1-k}^T, C_{N+1-k}^T, D_{N+1-k}^T, D_{N+1-k}^T),
\]

where \( \tilde{E}_N = E_N^T \) and \( \tilde{E}_k = E_{N-k}^T \), for \( k = 1, \ldots, N-1 \).

### III. COMPUTATION OF PRCF

The factorization algorithms proposed in this paper rely on simple facts concerning factorized representations, which can be easily established extending standard results for the factorization of descriptor systems (see [10]) to the stacked lifted representations.

**Fact 1.** Any causal periodic system \( S \) with a stabilizable state-space realization \((E_k, A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k)\) has a PRCF given by the following choice of the factors

\[
N = (E_k, A_k + B_k F_k, B_k W_k, C_k + D_k F_k, D_k W_k)
\]

\[
M = (E_k, A_k + B_k F_k, B_k W_k, F_k, W_k)
\]

where \( F_k \) is chosen such that all characteristic multipliers of the periodic pair \((E_k, A_k + B_k F_k)\) are stable and \( W_k \) is an arbitrary invertible periodic matrix.

Particular factorizations with inner “denominator” can be determined by suitably choosing \( F_k \) and \( W_k \).

The algorithms proposed in this paper use implicitly the more general expressions for the matrices of the factors using the following replacements corresponding to an additional periodic similarity transformation

\[
E_k \rightarrow U_k E_k V_{k+1},
\]

\[
A_k + B_k F_k \rightarrow U_k (A_k + B_k F_k) V_k,
\]

\[
B_k W_k \rightarrow U_k B_k W_k,
\]

\[
C_k + D_k F_k \rightarrow (C_k + D_k F_k) V_k,
\]

\[
F_k \rightarrow F_k V_k,
\]

where \( U_k \) and \( V_k \) are periodic orthogonal transformation matrices (usually not explicitly computed) used to obtain the resulting matrices in particular condensed forms.

**Fact 2.** If \( S = N_1 \ast M_1^{-1} \) and \( N_1 = N_2 \ast M_2^{-1} \), then \( S \) has the fractional representation \( S = N \ast M^{-1} \), where \( N = N_2 \) and \( M = M_1 \ast M_2 \).

This simple fact allows us to obtain explicit formulas to update partial factorizations by using simple state space formulas. Let \( N_1 \) and \( M_1 \) be the factors computed as

\[
N_1 = (E_k, A_k + B_k F_k, B_k W_k, C_k + D_k F_k, D_k W_k, k, k)
\]

\[
M_1 = (E_k, A_k + B_k F_k, B_k W_k, F_k, W_k, k, k)
\]

and let \( N_2 \) and \( M_2 \) be the factors of \( N_1 \) computed as

\[
N_2 = (E_k, A_k + B_k F_k, B_k W_k, C_k + D_k F_k, D_k W_k, k, k + 1)
\]

\[
M_2 = (E_k, A_k + B_k F_k, B_k W_k, F_k, W_k, k + 1, k + 2)
\]

where

\[
F_k = F_{k,1} + W_{k,1} F_{k,2}
\]

\[
W_k = W_{k,1} W_{k,2}
\]

It easy to verify that the system \( M_1 \ast M_2 \) is given by

\[
M_1 \ast M_2 = (E_k, A_k + B_k F_k, B_k W_k, F_k, W_k)
\]

and thus equations (7) serve as explicit updating formulas of fractional representations. These formulas can be extended in a straightforward way to include arbitrary similarity transformation matrices. If \( W_{k+1} = I_m \) and \( W_{k+2} = I_m \), then the updating formulas reduce to a very simple form

\[
F_k = F_{k,1} + F_{k,2}
\]
Consider the disjoint partition of the complex plan \( \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}_g \cup \mathbb{C}_b \), where \( \mathbb{C}_g \) and \( \mathbb{C}_b \) denote the good and bad regions for the characteristic multipliers, respectively. We assume in what follows that \( \mathbb{C}_g \) contains 0. The basis of the proposed algorithm is the following extension to time-varying dimensions of the ordered periodic Schur decomposition for periodic matrix pairs [14], [15]:

**Theorem 1:** Given the \( N \)-periodic matrices \( E_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k \times n_{k+1}}, A_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k \times n_k} \), there exist \( N \)-periodic orthogonal matrices \( Q_k \) and \( Z_k \) such that

\[
\tilde{A}_k := Q_k A_k Z_k = \begin{bmatrix} A^g_k & A^b_k \\ 0 & A^b_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)
\]

\[
\tilde{E}_k := Q_k E_k Z_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} E^g_k & E^b_k \\ 0 & E^b_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)
\]

where \((A^g_k, E^g_k)\) contains the characteristic multipliers in the good region \( \mathbb{C}_g \) and \((A^b_k, E^b_k)\) contains the characteristic multipliers in the bad region \( \mathbb{C}_b \), with \( E^g_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_g \times n_k}, A^b_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_b \times n_k} \). The periodic pair \((\tilde{E}_k, \tilde{A}_k)\) is in a GPRS\( \tilde{F} \), with \( \tilde{A}_k \) upper triangular and \( \tilde{A}_k \) in a periodic quasi-triangular (real Schur) form.

This decomposition ensures that the trailing periodic pair \((A^b_k, E^b_k)\) has constant dimensions, although the original pair may have time-varying dimensions. The reason for that is that all zero characteristic multipliers belong to the leading periodic pair \((A^g_k, E^g_k)\), which has in general time-varying dimensions.

The computation of the ordered GPRS\( \tilde{F} \) (9) and (10) can be performed by reducing first the pair \((E_k, A_k)\) to a separated form similar to (9) and (10), where the core characteristic multipliers appear in the trailing \( n \times n \) part and the leading part contains the structurally null characteristic multipliers. The trailing pair (with constant dimensions) is then reduced to a GPRS\( \tilde{F} \) using the algorithms proposed in [14], [15], while eigenvalue reordering techniques for matrix products are employed to achieve the desired spectral separation [16].

The following algorithm can be used to compute a PRC\( \tilde{F} \) of a periodic system \( S \).

**PRCF Algorithm.**

1. Find orthogonal \( N \)-periodic matrices \( Q_k \) and \( Z_k \) to reduce the pair \((E_k, A_k)\) to the ordered GPRS\( \tilde{F} \) (9) and (10). For \( k = 1, \ldots, N \), compute \( \tilde{B}_k = Q_k B_k, \tilde{C}_k = C_k Z_k \) and set \( \tilde{F}_k = 0, \tilde{W}_k = I_m \).
2. If \( n_b = 0 \), go to 7.
3. Let \((y_k, \alpha_k)\) be the last diagonal blocks of \((\tilde{E}_k, \tilde{A}_k)\) of order \( \ell = 1 \) or \( \ell = 2 \) and let \( \beta_k \) be the \( \ell \times m \) matrix formed from the last \( \ell \) rows of \( \tilde{B}_k \). If for \( k = 1, \ldots, N \), \( \| \beta_k \| \leq \epsilon \) (a given tolerance), then \( n_k = n_k - \ell, n_b = n_b - \ell \) and go to 2.
4. Choose an appropriate \( \ell \times \ell N \)-periodic matrix \( \gamma_k \) such that \( A(\Psi(\gamma_k)) \subset C_g \), for \( k = 1, \ldots, N \), compute \( \kappa_k \) such that \( \beta_k \kappa_k = \eta_k \gamma_k - \alpha_k \) and set \( K_k = [0, \kappa_k], V_k = I_m \).
5. For \( k = 1, \ldots, N \), update matrices: \( \tilde{A}_k := \tilde{A}_k + \tilde{B}_k K_k, \tilde{F}_k := \tilde{F}_k + \tilde{W}_k K_k, \tilde{W}_k := \tilde{W}_k V_k \).
6. Compute the orthogonal matrices \( \tilde{Q}_k \) and \( \tilde{Z}_k \) to move the last \( \ell \times \ell \) diagonal blocks of \((\tilde{E}_k, \tilde{A}_k)\) to row positions \( n_{k+1} - n_b + 1 \) by interchanging the diagonal blocks of the GPRS\( \tilde{F} \). Compute \( \tilde{E}_k := \tilde{Q}_k \tilde{E}_k \tilde{Z}_k, \tilde{A}_k := \tilde{Q}_k \tilde{A}_k \tilde{Z}_k \), \( \tilde{B}_k := \tilde{Q}_k \tilde{B}_k, \tilde{C}_k := \tilde{C}_k \tilde{Z}_k, \tilde{F}_k := \tilde{F}_k \tilde{Z}_k \). Put \( n_b := n_b - \ell \) and go to 2.
7. Put \( N = (\tilde{E}_k, \tilde{A}_k, \tilde{B}_k \tilde{W}_k, \tilde{C}_k + \tilde{D}_k \tilde{F}_k, \tilde{D}_k \tilde{W}_k) \),
\[
M = (\tilde{E}_k, \tilde{A}_k, \tilde{B}_k \tilde{W}_k, \tilde{F}_k, \tilde{W}_k).
\]

This algorithm can be viewed as a recursive updating procedure of an initial fractional representation \( S = N_0 \times M_0^{-1} \) with \( N_0 = S \) and \( M_0 = I_m \), by using the simple updating formula (8) combined with orthogonal coordinate transformations performed on the matrices of partial factorizations. The matrix pairs \((\tilde{E}_k, \tilde{A}_k)\) in the initial factorization of \( S \) is in a GPRS\( \tilde{F} \) (computed at step 1) and this form is preserved at subsequent steps. The resulting final pair \((\tilde{E}_k, \tilde{A}_k)\) is therefore in a GPRS\( \tilde{F} \) and if the original system is stabilizable, then \( \tilde{E}_k \) and \( \tilde{A}_k \) contain the matrices \( U_k \tilde{E}_k \tilde{V}_k + 1 \) and \( U_k (A_k + B_k F_k) V_k \), respectively, where \( U_k \) and \( V_k \) are the accumulated orthogonal transformations performed at steps 1 and 6 of the algorithm, and \( F_k \) is the periodic stabilizing state feedback matrix \( F_k V_k^\top \). If the original system is not stabilizable, then the unstable blocks are detected at step 3 and the corresponding unstable parts are deflated by simply decreasing the order of the system with \( \ell \). If unstable blocks are detected by the algorithm then the resulting factors have order less than \( n \).

The resulting form of matrices of the computed factors allows to easily determine a minimal realization of \( M \). The resulting \( \tilde{F}_k \) has always the form

\[
\tilde{F}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \tilde{F}_{k,2} \end{bmatrix},
\]

where the number of columns of \( \tilde{F}_{k,2} \) is equal to the number of unstable reachable characteristic multipliers of the pair \((E_k, A_k)\). If we partition conformably \( \tilde{E}_k, \tilde{A}_k \) and \( \tilde{B}_k \)

\[
\tilde{E}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{E}_{k,11} & \tilde{E}_{k,12} \\ 0 & \tilde{E}_{k,22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{A}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{k,11} & \tilde{A}_{k,12} \\ 0 & \tilde{A}_{k,22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}_{k,1} \\ \tilde{B}_{k,2} \end{bmatrix},
\]

then \((\tilde{E}_{k,22}, \tilde{A}_{k,22}, \tilde{B}_{k,2} \tilde{W}_k, \tilde{F}_{k,2}, \tilde{W}_k)\) is the least order realization of \( M \). Notice however that the order of the realization of \( M \) can be higher than the least possible order if some unstable characteristic multipliers of \((E_k, A_k)\) are reachable but not observable.

The **PRCF Algorithm** is based on a generalization of an eigenvalue assignment algorithm for causal periodic systems [11], which extends the pole assignment algorithm of [12]. By extending the roundoff error analysis of that algorithm [17] to the periodic case, we can state similarly that if each partial feedback \( K_k \) computed at step 4 satisfies \( \| K_k \| \leq \| A_k \| / \| B_k \| \), then the eigenvalue assignment algorithm is numerically backward stable. This condition is also applicable in our case, because it is independent of the presence of \( E_k \).

We note however that unfortunately this condition can not be fulfilled if large gains are necessary to stabilize the system. This can arise either if the unstable characteristic multipliers
are too "far" from the stable region or if some characteristic multipliers are nearly unreachable.

IV. PRCF WITH INNER DENOMINATOR

We assume in this section that $S$ has no characteristic multipliers on the unit circle. The algorithm to compute the periodic right coprime factorization with inner denominator (PRCFID) of $S$ is similar to the PRCF Algorithm, and here we will show only the differences to this algorithm. We will use the following definitions of good and bad stability regions

$$C_g = \mathbb{D}^-, \quad C_b = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}^-,$$

where $\mathbb{D}^-$ is the interior of the unit circle. Furthermore, the updating formulas of Fact 2 rely this time on using low order inner denominator factors $M_1$ and $M_2$, and the resulting factor $M = M_1 \ast M_2$ is inner too. Finally, for the computation of these inner factors we will use the following formulas to compute the PRCFID of a particular class of systems [7].

**Fact 4.** Let $S = (E_k, A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k)$ be a reachable periodic descriptor representation with $E_k$ non-singular and $\Lambda(\Psi_{E_k^{-1}A_k}) \subset \mathbb{C}_q$. Then $M = (E_k, A_k + B_k F_k, B_k, W_k, F_k, \tilde{W}_k)$ is inner by choosing $F_k$ and $W_k$ as:

$$F_k = -B_k^T (E_{k+1} Y_k + E_k Y_{k+1} - B_k B_k^T)^{-1/2} A_k$$

$$W_k = (I + B_k^T (E_{k+1} Y_k + E_k Y_{k+1} - B_k B_k^T)^{-1} B_k)^{-1/2}$$

where, for $k = 1, \ldots, N$, $Y_k$ satisfy the periodic generalized Lyapunov equation

$$A_k Y_k A_k^T - B_k B_k^T = E_k Y_{k+1} E_k^T$$

The above expressions for $F_k$ and $W_k$ represent straightforward transcriptions of analogous formulas for standard systems [18] and rely on formulas developed in [19] and [7]. Note that only $E_k$, $A_k$ and $B_k$ are involved in these expressions. The PRCFID Algorithm is identical to the PRCF Algorithm excepting Step 4, where the above formulas are employed to determine the feedback $\kappa_k$ and gain $V_k$. Step 4 thus becomes:

4. For the system $(\eta_k, \alpha_k, \beta_k, *, *)$ compute periodic $\kappa_k$ and $V_k$ such that $(\eta_k, \alpha_k + \beta_k \kappa_k, \beta_k V_k, \kappa_k, V_k)$ is inner. For $k = 1, \ldots, N$, set $K_k = [0 \kappa_k]$. A minimal realization for the inner factor $M$ can be determined from the partitioning (11) and (12) of the resulting $\tilde{F}_k$, $\tilde{E}_k$, $\tilde{A}_k$ and $\tilde{B}_k$ and is given by $M = (\tilde{E}_{k-2}, \tilde{A}_{k-2}, \tilde{B}_{k-1}, \tilde{W}_k, \tilde{F}_k, \tilde{W}_k).$

The PRCFID Algorithm can be viewed as an eigenvalue assignment algorithm which assigns the unstable characteristic multipliers in symmetrical positions with respect to the unit circle. Because practically there is no freedom in assigning the characteristic multipliers, it is to be expected that the algorithm performs in a numerically stable way only if the norms of the elementary feedback matrices $K_k$ computed at step 4 are not too high.

**Remark.** If the system $S = (E_k, A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k)$ is square, with invertible $D_k$, then the PRCFID Algorithm can be used to compute an inner-outer factorization $S = M \ast N$, where $M$ is inner and $N$ is outer (minimum-phase and stable). This can be done similarly as for standard systems [20], by applying the PRCFID Algorithm to the inverse system $S^{-1}$ in (2).

V. COMPUTATION OF CAUSAL PRCF

If the given periodic system $S = (E_k, A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k)$ is non-causal, that is, the pole pencil (4) has infinite zeros, it is of interest in several applications to compute a PRCF $S = N \ast M^{-1}$ such that both factors are causal and stable periodic systems. For this purpose we can use the following conceptually simple approach to compute a causal periodic right coprime factorization (CPRCF):

**CPRCF Algorithm.**

1. Compute a factorization $S = N_1 \ast M_1^{-1}$, where both factors are causal (but possibly unstable).

2. Compute the CPRF $\left[ \begin{array}{c} N_2 \\ M_1 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} N \\ M \end{array} \right] \ast M_2^{-1}$ by using the PRCF Algorithm.

It is easy to see that $S = N \ast M^{-1}$ is the desired CPRCF.

To perform Step 1 we can extend to the periodic case a recently proposed technique to compute proper factorizations of improper systems [21]. We can rewrite $S = N_1 \ast M_1^{-1}$ as

$$[S - I_p] \ast \left[ \begin{array}{c} M_1 \\ N_1 \end{array} \right] = 0$$

Thus, we can determine the compound system

$$R := \left[ \begin{array}{c} M_1 \\ N_1 \end{array} \right]$$

as a maximal right annihilator (i.e., with $p + m$ outputs and $m$ inputs) of the right invertible periodic system $[S - I_p]$. Equivalently, the lifted TFM $G^R(z)$ is a right rational nullspace basis of $[G^S(z) - I_{pN}]$. The determination of $R$ can be done using the method proposed in [8] to compute least order annihilators for periodic systems. The invertibility of $M_1$, or equivalently of $G^M_1(z)$, is guaranteed by Lemma 2 of [22] by observing that the lifted TFM $G^R(z)$ is a right nullspace basis, and thus has full column rank.

The computation of left annihilators for periodic systems has been addressed in [8] in the context of fault detection. Here we only sketch the corresponding procedure to determine a right annihilator of $[S - I_p]$ for which we employ the extended periodic pair $(S_k, T_k)$ defined as

$$S_k = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} A_k & B_k & 0 \\ C_k & D_k & -I_p \end{array} \right], \quad T_k = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} E_k & O & O \\ O & O & O \end{array} \right]$$

(13)

Let $Q_k$ and $Z_k$ be orthogonal $N$-periodic matrices determined using the algorithm proposed in [23] to reduce the $N$-periodic pair $(S_k, T_k)$ to the Kronecker-like form $(S_k, T_k) := (Q_k S_k Z_k, Q_k T_k Z_{k+1})$, where

$$S_k = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} B_k^T & A_k^* & * & * \\ O & O & A_k^{reg} & * \\ O & O & O & C_k \end{array} \right]$$

(14)
\[ T_k = \begin{bmatrix} O & E_k^r & \ast & \ast \\ O & O & E_k^{reg} & \ast \\ O & O & O & E_k^r \\ O & O & O & O \end{bmatrix} \]

where: (a) the periodic system \((E_k^r, A_k^r, B_k^r, C_k^r, D_k^r)\) is completely reachable and \(E_k^r\) is invertible; (b) the periodic system \((E_k^l, A_k^l, C_k^l, D_k^l)\) is completely observable and \(E_k^l\) is invertible; (c) the pole pencil, of the form (4), corresponding to the periodic pair \((E_k^{reg}, A_k^{reg})\) is regular. If we partition

\[ 0 \; I_{m+p} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_k^r & C_k^r & \ast & \ast \end{bmatrix} \]

in accordance with the column partitioning of \(\mathcal{S}_k\) in (14), we obtain that \(\mathcal{R} := (A_k^r, E_k^r, B_k^r, C_k^r, D_k^r)\), with \(E_k^r\) non-singular, is a periodic descriptor system representation for a maximal right annihilator of \([\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{T}_p]\). If we partition now \(C_k^r\) and \(D_k^r\) as

\[ C_k^r = \begin{bmatrix} C_k^{r,M} \\ C_k^{r,N} \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_k^r = \begin{bmatrix} D_k^{r,M} \\ D_k^{r,N} \end{bmatrix}, \]

where the leading matrices have \(m\) rows, then the periodic realizations of the causal factors are

\[ \mathcal{N}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A_k^r & E_k^r & B_k^r & C_k^{r,M} & D_k^{r,N} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A_k^r & E_k^r & B_k^r & C_k^{r,M} & D_k^{r,N} \end{bmatrix} \]

The characteristic multipliers of the factors can be arbitrarily assigned using an additional pencil transformation (see [23] for details). This is is equivalent to use a periodic state feedback \(F_k\) (see Fact 1) to obtain

\[ \mathcal{N} = \begin{bmatrix} A_k^r + B_k^r F_k, E_k^r, B_k^r, C_k^{r,N} + D_k^{r,N} F_k, D_k^{r,N} \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ \mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A_k^r + B_k^r F_k, E_k^r, B_k^r, C_k^{r,M} + D_k^{r,M} F_k, D_k^{r,M} \end{bmatrix} \]

Alternatively, the PRCF Algorithm can be employed as described at Step 2 of the CPRCF Algorithm.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Efficient numerically reliable algorithms for computing PRCFs have been proposed. The algorithms are based on a recursive generalized periodic Schur technique for eigenvalue assignment. For the computation of causal PRCFs an algorithm has been proposed which relies on a new technique to compute left/right annihilators of periodic systems. Using such a factorization as a preliminary step, the computation of normalized periodic coprime factorization for arbitrary periodic systems (causal or not) can be easily performed by solving additionally a spectral factorization problem (along the approach proposed in [24] for standard systems). All proposed algorithms can be also applied to standard causal periodic systems (with \(E_k = I_{n_k+1}\)), with obvious simplifications leading to an increased computational efficiency.
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