Institute of Vehicle Concepts

Fuel Cells for Automotive Powertrains – A Techno-Economic Assessment Peter Mock Stephan A. Schmid

1. Patent Analysis

- Patent applications: *early indicator* for upcoming technological developments.
- First boom in 1960s/70s:
 - Alkaline fuel cell (AFC) most important type; used mainly for *aerospace activities* (e.g. NASA Apollo mission).
- Second boom from mid 1990s on:
 - Proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC); often used for road transportation applications.
- No end of increasing trend can be observed from patent applications.



- Cumulated number of patent applications for AFC during first boom follows perfectly an idealized *s-curve* shape.
- Applying **s-curve methodology** to second boom leads to inflection point in 2004 and saturation level in *2015*.



• New technology will most likely start new s-curve (e.g. HT-PEM).

2. Technical Analysis

• Significant progress achieved; in some areas need for further improvement.

• Stack Power:

- Has increased dramatically over time; however, progress rate is decreasing as product is getting more mature. Today's Stack Power is sufficient for every-day usage in most passenger cars.



• Stack Power Density:

- Impressive improvement over time; however, comparison is difficult due to varying definitions. *Target values* of U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) are *not yet achieved*; new materials will enable further advancement.



 Further areas of interest include:
Cell power density, platinum loading of electrodes, vehicle performance (e.g. top speed), hydrogen storage.

3. Economic Analysis

- Bottom-up cost-model for production at high volume: 90% of cost is for material.
- Most important factors: power-density, platinum load, market price for platinum.
- Assumptions for cost calculations:
 - Power density of the stack: 1.000 mW/cm².
 - Platinum loading of electrodes: 0.1 mg/cm² (each).
 - *Market price for platinum:* 20,000 \$/kg.



• Learning curve rates are derived using cost data for low production volumes and *Monte-Carlo analysis*; rates found are 74%-90% (74% stack, 79% system).



Production cost (stack | system):
12-40 \$/kW | 35-83 \$/kW (1 Mio.)
6-20 \$/kW | 18-49 \$/kW (10 Mio.)

- 6-20 \$/KW | 18-49 \$/KW (10 MIO.)
- Outlook: using similar approach to evaluate Lithium-Ion batteries and to compare potentials for both technologies.



Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft Contact details: Peter Mock Phone: +49 711 6862-637 Fax: +49 711 6862-258 peter.mock@dlr.de