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Abstract
SAR tomography (SARTom) is an imaging technique that allows multiple phase centre separation in the vertical (height) direction, leading to a 3-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of the imaged scene. It is usually performed after standard 2D SAR repeat-pass processing and operates on a stack of co-registered SAR images. Retrieval of volume structure information (e.g., for forest classification) and the solution of the layover problem are two of the most promising applications.

In this paper, the application of SARTom to image targets hidden beneath foliage is presented. This method is applied to L-band airborne data acquired during a tomographic campaign that took place in September 2006 on the test site of Dornstetten (Germany) involving the E-SAR system of the German Aerospace Center (DLR).

1 Introduction
SARTom makes it possible to obtain a complete 3D representation of the scene. In [1] the first demonstration of airborne SAR tomography was carried out and the main constraints in terms of resolution and ambiguity rejection have been analysed. When the focusing step is performed by means of the Fourier beamformer, realistic working conditions, like non-uniform track distribution, could heavily impact on the final results. That is why in the recent years modern beamforming techniques like Capon and MUSIC have been used allowing higher ambiguity rejection and the possibility to outperform the Fourier resolution [2, 3]. Despite these drawbacks, the advantage of the Fourier beamformer is that it maintains the signal phase (which is important for further polarimetric and interferometric evaluation) and it is used as a reference in order to plan the acquisition geometry. Through it the relation between the height resolution \( \rho \) and the tomographic aperture dimension \( L_{\text{tomo}} \) is:

\[
\rho = \frac{\lambda r_0}{2 L_{\text{tomo}}},
\]

(1)

where \( r_0 \) is the master slant range distance. In order to avoid ambiguities within a maximum volume height \( V \), the averaged baseline \( d \) must undergo:

\[
d \leq \frac{\lambda r_0}{2 V}.
\]

(2)

Now joining (1) and (2) the required number of tracks is \( N = \frac{L_{\text{tomo}}}{d} + 1 \). These relations were used in order to plan a tomographic campaign that took place in Dornstetten (Germany). Its goal was to analyse the potential of SARTom for extracting information concerning targets hidden beneath the foliage.

The objective of this paper is twofold: to present the first tomographic results concerning targets hidden beneath foliage and to perform a comparison between tomograms obtained in different polarization basis (lexicographic, Pauli) in order to analyse how polarimetry can enhance the target contribution in comparison to the canopy.

2 SARTom Processing
Standard 2D SAR processing is the first step for performing SARTom and it is carried out by means of the Extended Chirp Scaling algorithm [4]. Then, as shown in [5] a Height dependent Motion Compensation-Coregistration approach (HMCC) has to be performed in order to compensate for the SAR processing drawbacks related to a simple motion compensation-coregistration and to refer to nominal tracks. At this point beamforming techniques can be applied; we will refer to the Capon beamformer. The so-called steering vector \( a(\hat{h}) \) is defined as:

\[
a(\hat{h}) = \exp \left( j \frac{4 \pi}{\lambda} R_{\hat{h}} \right)
\]

(3)

with \( R_{\hat{h}} = [R_1(\hat{h}), \ldots, R_N(\hat{h})] \) representing the distances sensors-target for a height \( \hat{h} \). After the sample complex covariance matrix computation:

\[
R = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2} s_k(k) s_k(k)^H,
\]

(4)

the Capon beamformer can be applied:

\[
C(\hat{h}) = \frac{1}{a^H(\hat{h}) R^{-1} a(\hat{h})}.
\]

(5)
By scanning the image stack in the azimuth direction a 3D density reconstruction as a function of height and azimuth can be presented in a tomogram.

Selecting different polarization channels and basis, it is now possible to produce tomograms as a function of the polarization ([6, 3]). In this way the physical characteristics of the media with which the electromagnetic wave interacts can be understood.

3 The experiment

The data set has been acquired in September 2006 close to Dornstetten (Germany) in L-band. Some targets of interest (vehicles, containers, corner reflectors) have been located inside and outside the forest in order to evaluate the impact of the canopy on the target response. The area where the experiment took place is relatively flat and half of the region is covered by non-homogeneous forest stands related to different species. The tree height is ranging between 10-30m.

Figure 1: Dornstetten experiment: acquisition geometry.

The acquisition geometry is a regular horizontal grid of 21 tracks with an average baseline of 20m. As it is possible to observe from Figure 1, the actual acquisition geometry is very close to the planned one, with a maximum deviation of around 4m between nominal and real track. The choice of a large tomographic aperture results in an average tomographic resolution of 2m.

Figure 2: Full polarimetric SLC image (400m x 400m). Coding: RGB (HH, HV, VV). The two cuts along which the tomographic processing is carried out are depicted (dashed lines).

In Figure 2 the relevant subset of the full polarimetric SLC image in the RGB coding (HH,HV,VV) is reported. The tomographic processing results presented next were carried out along the two cuts depicted in the azimuth direction.

4 Tomographic results

This section presents the tomographic SAR processing results. The first profile includes two trucks (recalling that one is outside the forest and the other is located inside it) and the second a container. In the next section tomograms obtained by means of the Capon beamformer will be presented in the HH polarization. Then, the impact of polarization will be examined by changing the polarization channel and the polarimetric basis. Results obtained by means of a coherent beamformer will also be presented.

4.1 HH polarization

Figure 3 represents the tomogram related to the trucks. It is possible to see that the two trucks are visible along the azimuth coordinate. The first spot represents the truck outside the forest and second the one inside it. The canopy over the second truck is also clearly visible.

Figure 3: Tomogram in the HH polarization representing two trucks: one outside and the other inside the canopy.

It is worth noting that the truck inside the forest is not visible in the single SAR image but through the help of several acquisitions SARTom can detect it. A few observations concerning the tomogram itself can be made: the absence of the ground under the canopy is due to the fact that the ground-trunk double bounce reflection is missing because the truck has been placed on a small track inside the forest on which no trees were present. Without these reflections, the backscattered power related to a terrain contribution is much less than the one from the canopy or the hidden target.

In Figure 4 the tomogram related to the container is reported. The container as well as the canopy is visible.

Figure 4: Tomogram in the HH polarization representing a container.
Figure 4: Tomogram in the HH polarization representing the container inside the forest.

The forest height corresponds to the actual one and also for this target the same consideration can be done for the absence of the ground component.

4.2 Polarimetric comparison

In this section the impact of polarization on SARTom will be analysed. Due to the heterogeneity of the scene and the presence of different kind of scattering mechanisms (natural, man-made scatterers), polarimetry is useful to extract the target contribution. First the tomographic results will be presented in the lexicographic basis.

Figure 5: Tomogram of the profile related to the two trucks. (top) VV polarization (bottom) HV polarization.

Figure 5 represents the profile of the azimuth cut related to the trucks. Comparing Figure 3 with Figure 5(top), it is possible to observe that the HH and the VV polarization provide similar results. Examining now the cross-polarized channel (Figure 5(bottom)), one can observe that the target contribution disappeared, probably due to the higher sensitivity of the HV channel to volumetric structures, that does not allow to receive a backscattered signal from the target with significant power.

The same analysis can be carried out for the azimuth cut related to the container. In this case the backscattered signal related to the target in the cross-polarized channel is not negligible as for the previous case. In fact, the tomograms related to the three channels present no differences in a qualitative analysis; for this reason and because of the lack of space the related tomograms will not be reported. Let us now consider the Pauli decomposition that allows a first direct interpretation of the scattering mechanisms. In order to generate tomograms in the Pauli basis, the SLC images related to different channels have been first combined and then the tomographic processing has been carried out. The well known form of the scattering vector related to this basis is (for the monostatic case)

$$\vec{k}_{3P} = \left[ S_{hh} + S_{vv}, S_{vv} - S_{vv}, 2 S_{hv} \right]^T / \sqrt{2}$$

(6)

where $S_{ij}$ corresponds to the SLC image in the $ij$ polarization.

Concerning the container, also for the Pauli basis, the $P_3$ response is very similar to the $P_1$ and $P_2$ contributions (for this reason it will not be shown). It should be expected that the double bounce response related to this target (emphasized by the $P_2$ component) presents higher amplitude when compared to other scattering mechanisms.
4.3 Coherent Beamforming

In order to exploit completely the polarimetric information, the Capon beamformer cannot be used because the amplitude of its response is more an indication of the scatterer position rather than a measure of its backscattered power. For this reason, it is necessary to make use of a coherent beamformer [7], that despite its reduced resolution and ambiguities rejection characteristics allows to combine directly the tomograms and refer them to their total power. For the coherent beamformer, the tomograms can be presented in an RGB coded image that will allow to identify the main scattering mechanisms.

5 Conclusion and future work

In this paper first experimental results concerning 3D imaging of targets beneath foliage have been presented. A stable response of the tomographic technique makes it possible to represent both the target and the canopy with height information comparable to the ground truth measured at the test site.

The use of the coherent beamformer allows, especially for the case of the container, to exploit completely the polarimetric information and associate a signature to the target that can be exploited in order to detect it. Anyhow, concerning the trucks, due to the reduced cross-polarization power also the Capon beamformer allows the identification of the target beneath foliage.
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