

LH2 POWERED AIRCRAFT - TANK INTEGRATION Process chain to analyze LH2 fuselage tank integration

Tool chain development for quick assessment of various tank integration concepts Targets: Consideration of flight loads and especially loads acting in emergency situations (e.g. RTO, crash) Work in Progress \rightarrow almost full tool chain to be available by end of 2025 Status:



4. Fuel (LH2) Sloshing

- - Rejected take-Off / 0.4g / 40 sec
 - Crash (x comp.) / 18g / .15 sec

General set-up of the process chain development for assessment of LH2 tanks: 1. CPACS data description 2. parametrical model generation, 3. static analysis, 4. fuel sloshing, 5. crash assessment

Design challenges for tank

Cryogenic temperatures around 20K

2. PANDORA design environment

Significant enhancement in automatic \bullet model generation

Exemplary results of fuel sloshing assessment using a 2-way coupled CFD / CSM method (FPM):

300 TIME (millise

- a. LH2 behavior under crash deceleration
- b. Loads of tank acting on primary structure: smeared LH2 mass vs. two meshfree simulation results

- Isolation between tank hulls required (vacuum or foam)
- The tanks shall not carry fuselage deformation loads \rightarrow isostatic support (few mounts)
- Tank and tank mounts have to carry:
 - Internal pressure (2-4, max. 10 bar)
 - Sloshing loads (e.g. rejected takeoff (RTO) and crash deceleration)
 - Crash loads (beyond CS25.561)
- 1. CPACS schema extensions

xml-format to describe aircraft and air transportation system in general

- Detailed aircraft primary structure description available since years
- Tank description should include vessels: arbitrary number of hulls

- New features for geometrical modelling established
- Meshing of tank hulls and potential baffles using OS mesh tool gmsh
- Additional modelling option for liquid in the tank using solid mesh (TET) to be transferred to particles for SPH method



- Modelling of alternative tank integration concepts:
- **Double shell tank with particle fluid representation** a. Backward polar mount and specific rods at the front

- Initial takeaways:
- Two meshfree numerical methods (SPH / FPM) successfully established to model Fluid-Structure Interaction in CSM code environment
- Loads from LH2 sloshing are not significant for sizing of the tank hulls, but may have an significant effect on the <u>tank attachments</u>!

5. Crash assessment

Certification route not defined yet, special conditions expected with assessment on section / aircraft level



- (incl. material / lay-up definition)
- *stringers / frames*: reinforcements of the vessels
- *walls*: internal walls (baffles)
- *structuralIntegration:* structural integration into the airframe incl. tank crossbeam, tankConnection, periodicTankConnection, ...

b. polar mounts with 16 spokes on either side / x-rods

- 3. Static Analyses (PANDORA)
- Classical fuselage sizing considering also loads transferred from LH2 tank
- Assessment of rel. motion between fuselage and tank (joint limits)
- Currently not in focus of development

Exemplary results of aircraft crash simulation with combined initial loading: $v_7 = 30$ ft/s (9.1 m/s), $v_x = 262$ ft/s (80 m/s), ϕ = 5.25° (pitch angle)

Initial takeaways:

- Need for full aircraft consideration
- Integration of dyn. fuel behavior foreseen by end of 2025 (SPH)

Contact (Department)

Nathalie Toso Institute of Structures and Design Nathalie.Toso@dlr.de

Contact (Modelling)

Michael Petsch Institute of Structures and Design Michael.Petsch@dlr.de

Contact (Sloshing)

Dieter Kohlgrüber Institute of Structures and Design Dieter.Kohlgrueber@dlr.de

Contact (Crash)

Matthias Waimer Institute of Structures and Design Matthias.Waimer@dlr.de

