# RESEARCH Open Access



# Defining competence profiles in obstetrics and gynecology using the modified requirement tracking questionnaire

Baptiste Tarasi<sup>1\*</sup>, Viktor Oubaid<sup>2</sup> and David Baud<sup>1</sup>

# **Abstract**

**Background** Data about the competencies needed for physicians in obstetrics and gynecology (O&G) is currently insufficient. The aim of this study is to define the competence profile needed in this sector for daily professional activity, in order to account for these criteria in future recruitment.

**Methods** The modified requirement-tracking questionnaire (R-track) was sent to 307 physicians working in the field of O&G with different training levels and practice locations. The R-track is designed to assess professional competence profiles and contains 66 items covering the following eight competence areas: "Mental abilities", "Social sensibility", "Psychomotor and multitasking abilities", "Solutions orientation", "Social interactive competences", "Personality traits", "Verbal competences" and "Resistance capacity". The mean scores of single items and competence areas were calculated. Results were compared between gender, training level, and place of practice.

**Results** The participation rate was 65.5%, with 201 physicians returning the questionnaire. In this sample, 50.2% of them were in training and 49.8% were practicing O&G specialists. The proportion of physicians working in a hospital setting was 64.7% while 30.3% worked in private practice. The competence areas "Social sensibility" and "Psychomotor & multitasking abilities" appear to be the most important for daily professional activity. At the item level, "Stress resistance", followed by "Workload management" and "Tactfulness" were considered as the most valuable characteristics. Differences between gender, level of training, and place of practice were not significant.

**Conclusion** The identified competence profile could serve as a basis for developing a new method of O&G residency selection. In addition, such a profile could help medical students to decide on a professional specialization at a very early stage by comparing their personal competence profile with the one in the field or with their mentors.

Keywords Competence, Gynecology and obstetrics, Residency selection, Postgraduate medical education

\*Correspondence:
Baptiste Tarasi
baptiste.tarasi@chuv.ch

1 Materno-Fetal and Obstetric Research Unit, Woman-Mother-Child
Department, University Hospital of Lausanne, CHUV, Lausanne
1011, Switzerland

2 German Aerospace Centre (DLR), 22335 Hamburg, Germany



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Tarasi et al. BMC Medical Education (2025) 25:204 Page 2 of 6

## Introduction

The selection of medical graduates for postgraduate training can be carried out using different methods, including standardized tests, academic performance and medical school grades, internship reports, letters of recommendation, extracurricular activities, or personal interviews [1–4].

There is no consensus, however, on the superiority of a selection system for identifying optimal candidates for a training program [5]. Although some studies have shown stronger associations between standardized test results or medical school grades and physician performance during their residency compared with the other selection methods [2, 6], a direct correlation with these results is frequently not found [5, 7–8]. A focus on academic performance also ignores the findings from other high-security industries, which have proven the importance of personality and social skills in increasing safety and preventing accidents. For example, due to evidence from scientific research, regular Crew Resource Management (CRM) training has been incorporated into the professional commercial pilot license for many years and CRM assessment is part of every mandatory official pilot check [9].

As medical specialties are diverse and varied, personalizing a selection process is essential to reflect the values and needs of a specific program [5]. Indeed, the required competences of a resident differ from one medical specialty to another [10–11]. Although distinctive personality traits can be associated with physician specialty choices after medical school [12–13], the compatibility between a physician's competences and those required by his or her specialty is subsequently associated with well-being at work and professional effectiveness [7, 14]. However, there is insufficient literature on how key skills are considered in recruitment processes and their impact on candidate selection.

O&G is a medical specialty that focuses on the health of the female reproductive system. It encompasses prenatal care, childbirth, postnatal care, and the diagnosis and treatment of gynecological disorders throughout a woman's life, from adolescence through menopause and beyond. It requires a wide range of both medical and surgical skills, but data regarding required competences for daily professional activity are limited.

Our study seeks to define the competence profile in O&G with the aim of subsequently better aligning residency selection and training with the demands of the specialty. By doing so, we hope to contribute to a more structured and evidence-based recruitment process that emphasizes competencies directly related to successful practice in O&G.

## Methods

To identify the competence profile required in O&G, an online questionnaire was sent via a mailing list to all 307 physicians working in the field of O&G in the French-speaking part of Switzerland, excluding those practicing in the canton of Geneva. The mailing list included both physicians in residency programs and trained specialists working in hospitals or private practices. Participation was voluntary and took place over a two-month period, from December 1, 2021, to January 31, 2022.

The questionnaire included the following demographic information: age, gender, level of training, place of practice, and years of professional experience, in addition to the modified requirement-tracking questionnaire (R-track) (supplement 1). The R-Track questionnaire, inspired by the Fleishman Job Analysis Survey [15], was originally designed to assess necessary skills and abilities required to successfully fulfill professional tasks in the field of aviation and aerospace, and has since been developed for other occupational groups, such as physicians.

The decision to use the R-Track questionnaire was based on two main reasons. First, essential areas such as personality traits and social-interactive competencies are either not covered or only superficially addressed by the FJAS. Second, the R-Track questionnaire has previously been published in German-speaking countries regarding the job requirements of medical professionals, making such a comparison scientifically valuable. Additionally, the R-Track offers a distinct advantage over other instruments by providing a psychologically comprehensive assessment of the necessary characteristics, competencies, and skills [16].

The French-translated version used in our study includes 66 items covering the following eight competence areas: "Mental abilities" (13 questions), "Social sensibility" (5 questions), "Psychomotor and multitasking abilities" (2 questions), "Solutions orientation" (9 questions), "Social interactive competences" (14 questions), "Personality traits" (5 questions), "Verbal competences" (9 questions) and "Resistance capacity" (9 questions).

The distribution of the different items within the competence areas can be found in Supplement 2. Participants were asked to rate each item on a 5-point Likert scale (1: very low importance, 2: low importance, 3: moderate importance, 4: high importance, 5: very high importance) according to the importance attached to daily professional activity. The mean scores of each single item and of each competence domain were calculated. The ranking of single items was defined. T-tests were performed for all measures using gender and training level, and analyses of variances were calculated for place of practice.

Tarasi et al. BMC Medical Education (2025) 25:204 Page 3 of 6

**Table 1** Ranking and mean score of the R-track competencies

Item	Rank (n)	Mean scores and SD	Item	Rank (n)	Mean scores and SD	Item	Rank (n)	Mean scores and SD
Resistance to stress	1	4.66 ± 0.644	Multitasking capacity	23	4.00 ± 0.943	Spatial visualization	45	3.77 ± 1.015
Workload management	2	$4.48 \pm 0.722$	Flexibility	24	$4.00 \pm 0.894$	Perceptual range	46	$3.76 \pm 0.908$
Tactfulness	3	4.44±0.698	Coaching and mentoring	25	4.00 ± 0.935	Norms and values orientation	47	3.74±0.913
Logical reasoning	4	$4.44 \pm 0.726$	Concentration	26	$3.99 \pm 0.834$	Resource awareness	48	$3.72 \pm 0.839$
Coordination and decision making	5	4.39±0.728	Willingness to help	27	3.98±0.883	Reading comprehension	49	3.71 ± 0.921
Conscientiousness	6	4.39±0.806	Verbal memory capacity	28	3.98±0.839	Self-Reflection	50	$3.71 \pm 0.905$
Partner and patient orientation	7	4.38±0.746	Agreeableness	29	3.97±0.911	Conflict management	51	3.69±0.858
Emotional stability	8	4.36±0.849	Independence and autonomy	30	3.92±0.880	Risk tolerance		$3.69 \pm 0.952$
Calmness	9	$4.35 \pm 0.720$	Visual imagination	31	3.90 ± 0.897	Tolerance for frustration	53	$3.65 \pm 0.984$
Understanding	10	$4.31 \pm 0.753$	Authenticity	32	$3.88 \pm 0.988$	Delegation	54	$3.59 \pm 0.885$
Cooperation	11	$4.29 \pm 0.725$	In need of harmony	33	$3.87 \pm 0.881$	Presentation	55	$3.51 \pm 0.975$
Endurance	12	$4.28 \pm 0.886$	Role discipline	34	$3.86 \pm 0.895$	Sovereignty	56	$3.45 \pm 0.910$
Diplomacy	13	$4.22 \pm 0.863$	Clarity of speech		$3.86 \pm 0.825$	Persuasiveness	57	$3.43 \pm 0.876$
Attention	14	$4.20 \pm 0.757$	Problem solving		$3.86 \pm 0.861$	Written expression	58	$3.41 \pm 0.966$
Problem solving		$4.20 \pm 0.813$	Considers arguments		$3.86 \pm 0.803$	Assertiveness	59	$3.29 \pm 0.948$
Rigor	16	$4.18 \pm 0.910$	Retention	38	$3.84 \pm 0.780$	Creativity	60	$3.18 \pm 1.014$
Psychomotor coordination	17	$4.16 \pm 0.904$	Hands-on	39	$3.83 \pm 0.901$	Sociability	61	$3.12 \pm 0.998$
Verbal comprehension	18	$4.15 \pm 0.817$	Visual memory capacity	40	$3.82 \pm 0.870$	Extraversion	62	$3.04 \pm 0.964$
Achievement motivation	19	$4.12 \pm 0.903$	Openness to novelty	41	$3.81 \pm 0.909$	Facility for languages	63	$3.01 \pm 0.959$
Perceptual speed	20	$4.09 \pm 0.838$	Self-confidence	42	$3.78 \pm 0.814$	Resistance to monotony	64	$2.93 \pm 1.134$
Manners and common decency	21	$4.05 \pm 0.912$	Verbal expression	43	$3.78 \pm 0.809$	Numeracy	65	$2.80 \pm 0.949$
Structuring information	22	$4.04 \pm 0.842$	Spatial orientation	44	3.77±0.978	Mathematical reasoning	66	$2.71 \pm 0.948$

Note: Ranks are based on Mean scores only

# **Results**

A total of 201 physicians working in the field of obstetrics and gynecology responded resulting in a 65.5% participation rate. The mean age of participants was  $42.2\pm12.8$  years. Concerning demographic data, 69.2% of participants identified themselves as female and 30.3% as male. One participant identified themself as "other" and was not included in gender comparisons, due to the non-representative nature of this category. The different levels of training were similarly represented, with 50.2% residents or fellows and 49.8% specialist physicians. The majority of participants worked in hospitals (64.7%), while 30.3% were in private practice.

Table 1. represents the ranking and mean scores of the 66 competences according to their importance for daily professional activity. "Resistance to stress" had the highest average for all participants, followed by "Workload management" and "Tactfulness". "Resistance to monotony", "Numeracy", and "Mathematical reasoning" had the lowest average scores.

Means and ranks of the eight competence areas according to variables can be found in Supplement 3. The analysis of the competence areas by gender, level of training, and place of practice did not reveal significant differences.

The competence area "Social sensibility" received the highest ranking with a mean score of  $4.17\pm0.58$ , considering all participants. However, for male physicians and those working in a university hospital, "Psychomotor & multitasking abilities" was ranked highest, with mean scores of  $4.20\pm0.67$  and  $4.18\pm0.73$ , respectively. Overall, the order of importance for the competence areas was: "Social sensibility"  $(4.17\pm0.58)$ , "Psychomotor & multitasking abilities"  $(4.08\pm0.78)$ , "Verbal competencies"  $(3.97\pm0.56)$ , "Personality traits"  $(3.91\pm0.58)$ , "Solutionoriented"  $(3.87\pm0.58)$ , "Social interactive competencies"  $(3.79\pm0.54)$ , "Mental abilities"  $(3.77\pm0.51)$ , and "Resistance capacity"  $(3.73\pm0.60)$ .

# **Discussion**

The acquisition of competencies is strongly dependent on existing abilities and the expression of personality traits in an individual. In this respect, the R-Track questionnaire - like other instruments - assesses both stable characteristics that are prerequisites for the acquisition of competence, as well as skills that increase the likelihood of acquisition. For example, decency is a behavior that must be learned. Nevertheless, pronounced

Tarasi et al. BMC Medical Education (2025) 25:204 Page 4 of 6

agreeableness, a stable personality trait, is a prerequisite for a high degree of decency in social situations.

The competence areas "Social sensibility" and "Psychomotor & multitasking abilities" stand out in our sample of O&G physicians. "Resistance to stress", "Workload management", "Tactfulness", "Logical reasoning", and "Decision-making" were considered the most important skills for the daily professional activity. With no significant differences according to gender, level of training, or place of practice, these results can be generalized to the entire sample.

The identified competence profile could serve as a basis for developing a new method of personalized residency selection for O&G and improve recruitment-based factors other than internship reports, letters of recommendation, medical school grades, or personal interviews. Indeed, personalizing a selection process and focusing on skills deemed important in this medical specialty is essential to reflect the values and needs of this specific program [5].

On the other hand, these results may also enable candidates to compare their personal competence profile with that of the field and assess its fit, data to the few available [11, 17-18].

Testing "Social sensibility" and "Psychomotor & multitasking abilities" among students applying for an O&G residency program requires innovative evaluation methods. Strategies that residency programs may use to assess these qualities include simulated patient encounters, where applicants' communication skills, empathy, and ability to navigate complex social dynamics while providing patient-centered care are tested. Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs) are structured assessments that evaluate these clinical skills, communication, and professionalism in a standardized manner [19].

Another method of evaluation could be the situational Judgment Tests, where applicants evaluate behavioral responses in context-relevant situations. This validated approach shows promise in assessing noncognitive attributes in residency program candidates [20, 21].

Regarding multitasking and psychomotor abilities, we could consider using a simulated multitasking scenario that incorporates various skills important in the medical field, each with different levels of priority and difficulty. In this scenario, participants perform these tasks simultaneously, assigning priorities based on their judgment [22, 23]. For example, applicants could be presented with simulated scenarios involving labor and delivery, requiring them to manage multiple tasks simultaneously, such as monitoring fetal heart rate, communicating with the patient and family, and coordinating care with other healthcare providers.

Another approach for testing multitasking ability could be the use of the Multi-Attribute Task Battery, a

computerized flight simulator designed for aviation tasks, suitable for both pilots and non-pilots. It involves the execution, either individually or simultaneously, of four sub-tasks: system monitoring, tracking, communication, and resource management [24].

By incorporating these assessment methods into the selection process, residency programs can gain a more holistic understanding of applicants' social sensibility and psychomotor/multitasking abilities.

Among the available data related to personality traits in the different medical specialties, we would highlight the literature review by Borges et al. In their work, obstetricians and gynecologists are described as sensitive, conscientious, organized, persistent, scrupulous, and achievement-oriented. These character traits observed in O&G providers are found in the top third of skills deemed necessary for the practice of the profession in our sample. Only the extroverted nature of obstetricians and gynecologists described in Borges' work, was not considered an important characteristic of our sample [25].

Our results align with those of Zelesniack et al. who defined the competence profile of different medical activities. As for other specialties with a surgical component, the competence area "Psychomotor & multitasking abilities" was judged very important. The competence areas "Personality traits" and "Social interactive" were considered less important in our sample, in contrast to non-surgical specialties such as psychiatry, internal medicine, or pediatrics, for which these competence areas are among the most important [11].

Another point worth mentioning is that the characteristics "Resistance to stress" and "Workload management" ranked as the two most important competences in our sample. This result raises the important issue of high stress and workload among physicians. Burnout among this group of professionals has already been the subject of numerous studies [26]. O&G providers face significant risk of burnout due to the demanding and emotionally challenging nature of their work [27-28]. Indeed, they deal with high-stakes situations such as labor and delivery, and managing complex gynecological conditions and surgeries. The unpredictable nature of obstetric emergencies and the need to make critical decisions quickly can lead to chronic stress. Overnight and weekend shifts can disrupt work-life balance and contribute to fatigue and burnout. They also frequently encounter emotionally charged situations, including pregnancy complications, fetal or neonatal deaths, infertility, miscarriages, and gynecological cancers. Finally, O&G providers are at the highest risk of medical malpractice lawsuits among all medical specialties, given the nature of their specialty and the potential for adverse outcomes in obstetrics and gynecology [29]. Fear of litigation and the need Tarasi et al. BMC Medical Education (2025) 25:204 Page 5 of 6

to practice defensive medicine can increase stress and anxiety.

We believe that focusing on the identification of specific competencies for resident recruitment is crucial for creating a selection process that aligns candidates' natural skills with the actual demands of the O&G specialty. This approach ultimately enhances training effectiveness, reduces the risk of burnout, and supports long-term professional fulfillment, which benefits both doctors and patients.

Adding data to the almost non-existent literature on this topic is the main strength of our study. With a participation rate of 65%, our sample of 201 participants is highly satisfactory. The homogeneity between training levels and practice locations is another strength.

As a weakness, we will note the local nature of this sample, concentrated solely in French-speaking Switzerland, for which the results can only be generalized with caution. Indeed, the day-to-day activities of this branch can vary from region to region, in terms of workload, working hours, available resources and legal protection. We can imagine carrying out the same analyses in other regions where the healthcare system differs, in order to investigate whether this has an impact on the skills profile required. Regarding other biases, the mode of distribution (e.g., email used in our study vs. physical mail) can affect response rates. For example, older doctors might prefer paper forms, while younger doctors might be more likely to respond to an online questionnaire, leading to a potential age-related bias. However, emails are used by all doctors in the French-speaking part of Switzerland, as all patient charts are now exchanged through a secure process using email.

Finally, in today's patient-centered approach to medicine, our study's analysis should also consider the perspectives of patients in our region regarding what they value most. Selecting doctors who align with patients' needs is essential for providing optimal care.

# Conclusion

Among physicians working in O&G, the competence areas "Social sensibility" and "Psychomotor & multitasking abilities" are considered to be the most important for daily professional activity. "Resistance to stress", "Workload management" and "Tactfulness" are the characteristics judged to be the most essential. The identified competence profile could serve as a basis for developing a new method of residency selection in obstetrics and gynecology.

# **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-06806-7.

Supplementary Material 1

#### Acknowledgements

We thank all the physicians who took part in the study. Their involvement was essential.

#### Author contributions

BT participated in project development and handled the literature review as well as the writing of the manuscript. DB participated in project development. VO managed data collection, and the data analysis.

#### **Funding**

Open access funding provided by University of Lausanne This work was supported by the research fund in obstetrics and gynecology of the University Hospital of Lausanne, Switzerland. The funding sources had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, or the interpretation thereof. or in writing the report.

## Data availability

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### **Declarations**

# Ethical approval and consent to participate

The study was carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations (Declaration of Helsinki). This study was approved by the local IRB (Ethical Commission of the Canton of Vaud, Switzerland, Req-2023-01334). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

## Consent for publication

Not applicable.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 11 March 2024 / Accepted: 31 January 2025 Published online: 07 February 2025

# Reference

- Tidwell J, Yudien M, Rutledge H, Terhune KP, LaFemina J, Aarons CB. Reshaping Residency Recruitment: achieving alignment between applicants and programs in surgery. J Surg Educ. 2022;79(3):643–54.
- 2. Vaughan LA, Quick JA. Evidence-based selection of Surgical residents. Surg Clin North Am. 2021;101(4):667–77.
- Kenny S, McInnes M, Singh V. Associations between residency selection strategies and doctor performance: a meta-analysis. Med Educ. 2013;47(8):790–800.
- Schaverien MV. Selection for Surgical training: an evidence-based review. J Surg Educ. 2016;73(4):721–9.
- Naides Al, Ayyala HS, Lee ES. How do we choose? A review of Residency Application Scoring systems. J Surg Educ. 2021;78(5):1461–8.
- Bell JG, Kanellitsas I, Shaffer L. Selection of obstetrics and gynecology residents on the basis of medical school performance. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002;186(5):1091–4.
- Patel H, Yakkanti R, Bellam K, Agyeman K, Aiyer A. Innovation in Resident Selection: life without step 1. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2022;9:23821205221084936.
- Stohl HE, Hueppchen NA, Bienstock JL. Can medical school performance predict residency performance? Resident selection and predictors of successful performance in obstetrics and gynecology. J Grad Med Educ. 2010;2(3):322–6.
- EASA [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2024 Mar 8]. CRM Training Implementation. Available from: https://www.easa.europa.eu/en/document-library/general-publications/crm-training-implementation
- 10. Fürstenberg S, Schick K, Deppermann J, Prediger S, Berberat PO, Kadmon M, et al. Competencies for first year residents physicians' views from

Tarasi et al. BMC Medical Education (2025) 25:204 Page 6 of 6

- medical schools with different undergraduate curricula. BMC Med Educ. 2017;17(1):154.
- Zelesniack E, Oubaid V, Harendza S. Defining competence profiles of different medical specialties with the requirement-tracking questionnaire - a pilot study to provide a framework for medial students' choice of postgraduate training. BMC Med Educ. 2021;21(1):46.
- Bexelius TS, Olsson C, Järnbert-Pettersson H, Parmskog M, Ponzer S, Dahlin M. Association between personality traits and future choice of specialisation among Swedish doctors: a cross-sectional study. Postgrad Med J. 2016;92(1090):441–6.
- Mullola S, Hakulinen C, Presseau J, Gimeno Ruiz de Porras D, Jokela M, Hintsa T, et al. Personality traits and career choices among physicians in Finland: employment sector, clinical patient contact, specialty and change of specialty. BMC Med Educ. 2018;18:52.
- Xiao Y, Dong M, Shi C, Zeng W, Shao Z, Xie H, et al. Person-environment fit and medical professionals' job satisfaction, turnover intention, and professional efficacy: a cross-sectional study in Shanghai. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(4):e0250693.
- Fleishman E, Reilly M. Fleishman Job Analysis Survey. Administrator guide. Potomac: MD Management Research Institute; 1995.
- 16. Oubaid V. Der Faktor Mensch. Berlin: MWV-; 2019.
- Jebram L, Prediger S, Oubaid V, Harendza S. Matching of advanced undergraduate medical students' competence profiles with the required competence profiles of their specialty of choice for postgraduate training. BMC Med Educ. 2023;23(1):647.
- Zelesniack E, Oubaid V, Harendza S. Final-year medical students' competence profiles according to the modified requirement tracking questionnaire. BMC Med Educ. 2021;21(1):319.
- Khan KZ, Ramachandran S, Gaunt K, Pushkar P. The Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE): AMEE Guide 81. Part I: an historical and theoretical perspective. Med Teach. 2013;35(9):e1437–1446.
- Mielke I, Breil SM, Amelung D, Espe L, Knorr M. Assessing distinguishable social skills in medical admission: does construct-driven development solve validity issues of situational judgment tests? BMC Med Educ. 2022;22(1):293.

- Cullen MJ, Zhang C, Marcus-Blank B, Braman JP, Tiryaki E, Konia M, et al. Improving our ability to Predict Resident Applicant performance: Validity evidence for a situational Judgment Test. Teach Learn Med. 2020;32(5):508–21.
- 22. Adams TN, Rho JC. Multitasking simulation: Present application and future directions. Med Teach. 2017;39(2):120–2.
- Huang WC, Hsu SC, Yang CH, Lin CW, Suk FM, Hu KC, et al. A novel approach: simulating multiple simultaneous encounters to assess multitasking ability in emergency medicine. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(9):e0257887.
- Pontiggia A, Gomez-Merino D, Quiquempoix M, Beauchamps V, Boffet A, Fabries P, et al. MATB for assessing different mental workload levels. Front Physiol. 2024;15:1408242.
- Borges N, Savickas M. Personality and Medical Specialty Choice: A literature review and integration. J Career Assess - J CAREER Assess. 2002;10:362–80.
- Rotenstein LS, Torre M, Ramos MA, Rosales RC, Guille C, Sen S, et al. Prevalence of Burnout among Physicians: a systematic review. JAMA. 2018;320(11):1131–50.
- Smith RP. Burnout in obstetricians and gynecologists. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2019;62(3):405–12.
- Smith RP, Rayburn WF. Burnout in Obstetricians-Gynecologists: its Prevalence, Identification, Prevention, and reversal. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 2021;48(1):231–45.
- Ghaith S, Campbell RL, Pollock JR, Torbenson VE, Lindor RA. Medical malpractice lawsuits involving trainees in Obstetrics and Gynecology in the USA.
  Healthc Basel Switz. 2022;10(7):1328.

#### Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.