

summer to winter pole causes downwelling and therefore adiabatic warming in the lower mesosphere.



Fr 2024/12/13

13:40-17:30

SA53B-2746

### Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt

# The October Effect in the Neutral Atmosphere Simulated by **Different General Circulation Models**

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Solar-Terrestrial Physics, German Aerospace Center, Neustrelitz, Germany

## **Neutral October Effect in GCMs**



- No asymmetric warming in the lower mesosphere in autumn
- No neutral October Effect in MERRA-2 before 2005
- Asymmetric warming in lower mesosphere in fall
- Neutral October Effect in MERRA-2 after 2004 possibly due to the assimilation of MLS data in the mesosphere
- Asymmetric warming in lower mesosphere in fall
- Neutral October Effect in CMAM30
- Too strong and symmetric warming in late summer and autumn
- No neutral October Effect in SD-WACCM-X
- Weak asymmetric warming in the lower mesosphere in autumn
- Weak neutral October Effect in ERA5
- Late and weak asymmetric warming
- in the lower mesosphere in autumn • Weak neutral October Effect in GAIA
- $\Rightarrow$  Commonly used GCMs have problems reproducing the neutral October Effect in the lower mesosphere in autumn in polar latitudes
- $\Rightarrow$  Data assimilation can help to overcome this issue

**Open Questions:** 

- 1) What causes the neutral October Effect?
- 2) What causes the differences in the commonly used GCMs?

#### **References:**

- France, J. A., V. L. Harvey, C. E. Randall, M. H. Hitchman, and M. J. Schwartz (2012), A climatology of stratopause temperature and height in the polar vortex and anticyclones, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D06116, doi: 10.1029/2011JD016893 McFarlane, N. (1987). The effect of orographically excited gravity wave drag on the general circulation of the lower stratosphere and
- troposphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 44(14), 1775–1800.

https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1987)044<1775:teooeg>2.0.co;2 McLandress, C., J. F. Scinocca, T. G. Shepherd, M. C. Reader, and G. L. Manney, 2013: Dynamical Control of the Mesosphere by Orographic and Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag during the Extended Northern Winters of 2006 and 2009. J. Atmos. Sci., 70, 2152–2169, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-12-0297.1

Lott, F., and M. J. Miller, 1997: A new subgrid-scale orographic parameterization: Its formulation and testing. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 123, 101–127, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49712353704

Scinocca, J. F., and McFarlane, N. A. (2000). The parametrization of drag induced by stratified flow over anisotropic orography. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 126(568), 2353–2393. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49712656802 Wendt, V., H. Schneider, D. Banys, M. Hansen, M. Clilverd and T. Raita (2024): Why Does the October Effect Not Occur at Night? Geophysical Research Letters (51), 1-9 (2024) https://doi. org/10.1029/2023GL107445

#### Acknowledgments: We thank Erich Becker for helpful discussions. We also thank the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/NASA for providing access to the Aura/MLS level 2 retrieval products.

### Vivien Wendt<sup>1</sup> and Helen Schneider<sup>1</sup>



• In autumn the wind reversal from summer easterlies to winter westerlies occurs not simultaneously at all altitudes -> about 15 days later at 75km than at 50km

• When the absolute orographic GWD begins to increase, the temperature starts to increase strongly and the stratopause makes a jump

• Orographic GWD is responsible for the jump in stratopause height -> winter stratopause is maintained by GW-driven diabatic descent (France, JGR, 2012)

- When the zonal wind is westerly at all altitudes, the SPW phase slowly begins to shift
- SPW phase jumps when the zonal wind reaches winter level
- Peak of temperature change when SPW activity starts to increase (amplitude and EP-flux)



#### **Orographic GWD Schemes:**

- MERRA-2: McFarlane (1987)
- CMAM30: Scinocca and McFarlane (2000)
- WACCM-X: McFarlane (1987)
- ERA5: Lott and Miller (1996)
- GAIA: McFarlane (1987)

2) The differences in the neutral October Effect of the various GCMs may be due to the different orographic GWD schemes.

## Data Analysis using CMAM30

DoY 240:

- ū @ 50km > 0 m/s (b)
- w\* < 0 (i)
- DoY 245:
- orographic GWD < 0 (h)
- v\* > 0 (i)
- DoY 253:
- ū @ 50km > 15 m/s (b)
- DoY 257:
- $\bar{u} > 0$  m/s in whole middle atmosphere (latest @ 75km; b)
- DoY 260:
- Start of phase change of SPW (e)
- Start of temperature increase (a)
- Start of stratopause height jump (c)
- DoY 270:
- First level of Stratopause height is reached (c)
- DoY 280:
- Zonal winds reach winter level (b)
- Start of a significant increase in SPW amplitude (d)
- Non-orographic GWD reaches winter level (g)
- EPFD gets negative (f)
- SPW phase jump (e)
- DoY 287:
- SPW phase jump ends (e)
- Start of further stratopause height
- increase to winter level (c)
- DoY > 300:
- SPW phase reaches winter level (e)
- Temperature reaches winter level (a)