# Functional connectivity changes after 28 days of head-down tilt bedrest

Jorge Manuel<sup>\*1</sup>, Alex Hoff<sup>1</sup>, Anja Bach<sup>1</sup>, Edwin Mulder<sup>1</sup>, Jens Jordan<sup>1,2</sup>, Jens Tank<sup>1</sup>, Darius A. Gerlach<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Aerospace Medicine, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Cologne, Germany <sup>2</sup>Chair of Aerospace Medicine, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany \*Jorge.ManuelSanchez@dlr.de

# Introduction

Both, returning astronauts and bedridden patients, orthostatic intolerance. Since cardiovascular deconditio explain the severity of the symptoms, we hypothes neural changes. We tested this hypothesis using fur resonance imaging (fMRI) during an orthostatic challeng down tilt bedrest.

This study was part of the NASA 30 days bedrest study investigated countermeasures for preventing space neuro-ocular syndrome.

# Methods

Ethical approval

- North Rhine medical board
- Location
- German Aerospace Center, Cologne, Germany **Demographics**
- 47 healthy individuals (20 women; 34.7 ± 8.8 years; 23 Study design
- 30 days of strict 6° head-down tilt bedrest
- Intervention groups
  - Lower body negative pressure (LBNP) (6 h/d; n = 1
  - Sitting (6 h/d; n = 11)
  - Supine cycling (1 h/d) followed by venous thigh n = 12)
  - Control (n = 12)

fMRI acquisition

- 3 T Siemens Biograph mMR; 32-channel head coil
- Functional sequence: 2 mm isotropic,  $T_R = 1.18$ simultaneous multi-slice factor 6; partial Fourier 7/8
- LBNP conditions: without, -10, and -30 mmHg
- Time points: baseline, day 28 of bedrest, 4 days into Image preprocessing
- Motion and distortion correction
- Grand mean scaling
- High pass filter (0.01 Hz)
- Manual ICA-denoising
- Normalization to study template

Analysis

- Hypothalamic region identification
- Masked independent component analysis of the hyperbolic structure in the second structure is second structure.
- Functional connectivity (temporal correlation) change Dual regression to hypothalamus, medulla oblo
  - brain
  - ANOVA (time and LBNP condition) followed by post-hoc two-sample t-tests
  - Multiple comparison correction: family-wise-error and Bonferroni

### **Abbreviations**

Anterior hypothalamic area
Dorsomedial hypothalamic nucleus
Lateral hypothalamic area
Mamillary bodies

PVN

Medial preoptic nucleus Perifornical nucleus PeVN Periventricular hypothalamic nucleus Paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus

	Results		
often experience oning alone cannot sized contributory nctional magnetic ge following head- (SANS_CM), which eflight associated	<ul> <li>Intrinsic (within a region bedrest compared to bate it is to be bedrest compared to bate it. TuMM, PeF (Figure 1) [10]</li> <li>MM, LH (Figure 1) [10]</li> <li>LH, TuMM (Figure 1) [10]</li> <li>LH, TuMM (Figure 1) [10]</li> <li>Functional connectivity</li> <li>MPO, SON, LH → VN</li> <li>AH, VMH, DMH, LH,</li> <li>LH → visual cortex (Figure 1)</li> </ul>	finsic (within a region) functional connective drest compared to baseline LH, TuMM, PeF (Figure 1a) [control > sitting] MM, LH (Figure 1b) [control > sitting] LH, TuMM (Figure 1c) fictional connectivity increases between region MPO, SON, LH → VMH, PeVN, AH, DMH (Figure 1H, VMH, DMH, LH, PeF → LH, PVN (Figure 1H) LH → visual cortex (Figure 1f) [LBNP > sitting]	
	Independent component	Overall functional connectivity change	
	R I J J M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	R         y=-4           40           10 mm	
23.6 ± 2.6 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	b R <u>10 mm</u>	R       y=-7       80         I<	
occlusion (6 h/d;	r	<b>R</b> <b>y=-6</b> 40 (initial of the second of t	
3 s; T <sub>E</sub> = 32 ms; recovery	d R <u>10 mm</u>	R         y=-1         80           10 mm         -40	
	e R I I I mm	R       y=1         40         y=1	
hypothalamus es ongata and whole	f <u>10 mm</u>	Image: space of the space of	
st-hoc two-sample	0.5 MM probability 1.0 Figure 1 Functional connectivity in	) 0 t value 7	

Figure 1. Functional connectivity increases at day 28 of bedrest compared to baseline. The left column shows the independent components from the hypothalamic segmentation, whereas the middle column depicts their significant functional connectivity changes over all interventions. The contribution of the different interventions is depicted in the right column.

SON Supraoptic nucleus TuMM Tuberomamillary nucleus VMH Ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus ity increases at day 28 of

gions at day 28 of bedrest igure 1d) 1e) [control > sitting] ng]







Figure 2. Functional connectivity decreases at day 28 of bedrest compared to baseline. Column distribution is identical to Figure 1.

- Functional connectivity decreases at day 28 compared to baseline
  - AH, VMH, DMH, LH, PeF  $\rightarrow$  LH (Figure 2a)
  - MM  $\rightarrow$  visual cortex, pre- and postcentral gyrus (Figure 2b)
- We did not observe functional connectivity changes at day 28 of bedrest between the hypothalamus and the medulla oblongata, or between the medulla oblongata and the rest of the brain.
- There was no interaction between countermeasures and bedrest.
- Orthostatic tolerance decreased in all groups (see poster #23).

## Discussion

- We observed intrinsic functional connectivity increases, i.e within the independent component, in the LH, MM, TuMM and PeF at day 28. Intrinsic connectivity increases can be interpreted as increased activity.
- Sitting seems to be the strongest countermeasure, showing reduced functional connectivity changes compared to the control group.
- The lateral hypothalamic area (LH) seems to be strongly affected by prolonged bedrest as it shows connectivity changes to itself, as well as to different hypothalamic and cortical regions.
- The LH has been shown to regulate both, sympathetic and parasympathetic outflow [1].

# Conclusion

Prolonged head-down tilt bedrest was associated with functional connectivity changes from hypothalamic regions, especially the Lateral hypothalamic area. We speculate that neuroplasticity may contribute to changes in cardiovascular regulation and orthostatic intolerance after real or simulated weightlessness.

References

[1] Dampney. The Hypothalamus and Autonomic Regulation: An Overview. In: Central regulation of autonomic Functions (2nd Edition). Oxford University press 2011.

