COST OPTIMAL DESIGN OF SOLAR E-METHANOL PRODUCTION POWERED BY CSP/PV HYBRID POWER PLANTS

Andreas Rosenstiel, Nathalie Monnerie, Martin Roeb, Christian Sattler andreas.rosenstiel@dlr.de 18thASME Energy Sustainability Conference (ES2024) July 15-17, 2024. Anaheim, CA, USA

MOTIVATION AND CONCEPT

Credit: DLR (CC BY-NC-NC-ND)

adalah sa

Motivation: Solar hydrogen potential

- Total solar irradiation potential \sim 6000 times world's primary energy demand (1).
- \triangleright Sunbelt has great potential for the production and export of renewable energy carriers (green H₂ and H₂ derivatives). Focus of work:
- Development of cost-optimized systems for the production of solar fuels with the lowest possible environmental impact.

(1) Quaschning 2019

Motivation: DLR Institute of Future Fuels

Research for global CO₂ neutrality: We develop solutions for cost-efficient hydrogen and fuels production on an industrial scale from the raw materials water, $CO₂$ and nitrogen using renewable energies.

Synlight® Solar Simulator ("Largest artificial sun")

- **EXEDER INSTER Institute of Solar Research**
- Locations: Jülich and Cologne, increase to 120 employees
- **E** Support for structural change in the Rhenish (coal) region
- Contributions to the decarbonization of energy, aviation and transport
- **.** Infrastructure and large-scale facilities for process development

Motivation: Hydrogen derivatives as an energy carrier

- Renewable energy sweet spots with lowest LCOH (levelized cost of hydrogen)
	- o E.g. Chile, Saudi Arabia, Namibia, Australia
- How can we bring the solar energy to places with high energy demand?
- \triangleright Chemical storage of renewable energy with H₂ and H₂ derivatives (e.g. ammonia, methanol)

3) DNV 2022: Hydrogen forecast to 2050

Global production of hydrogen and its derivatives

Andreas Rosenstiel, ASME-ES 2024

5

2) IRENA and METHANOL INSTITUTE 2021

Concept CSP/PV hybrid power plant for electrochemical hydrogen and H² derivatives production

Electricity produced with solar energy

Photovoltaics (PV)

- Low levelized cost of electricity
- Availability depends on solar irradiation

Concentrated Solar Power (CSP)

■ Thermal storage (low cost)

 $H₂$

■ Flexible electricity production (steam cycle)

Electrochemical water splitting (AEL)

➢ Combination of PV and CSP can lead to high electrolyser full load hours with relatively low levelized cost of electricity.

➢ Synergies in hybrid system: E.g. additional electric heater and usage of PV electricity for internal demand of CSP plant

CSP/PV hybrid concept cost-optimal operational strategy

- Different possibilities of CSP/PV system design and operation (CSP/PV ratio)
- Very low PV costs favors fluctuating concepts like b) or even a) for hydrogen production.
- ➢ Expectation: Coupling with hydrogen to X process favors more continuous process designs and increases CSP share.

- a) Overscaled PV-only system: fluctuating H_2 production
- b) CSP/PV hybrid system: fluctuating $H₂$ production with overscaled electrolysis and PV
- c) CSP/PV hybrid system: Continuous $H₂$ production

7

5) Rosenstiel et al (2021)<https://doi.org/10.3390/en14123437>

METHODOLOGY: OPTIMIZATION MODEL

Credit: DLR (CC BY-NC-NC-ND)

CSP/PV hybrid concept and optimization variables

- Which CSP/PV hybrid system design leads to the lowest levelized cost of methanol?
- Techno-economic energy system model with 9 optimization variable
- Cost-optimal sizing of systems components by minimization of product cost function

 $min (LC of MEOH) = f(P_{CSP,Rec}, P_{PV,Peak}, P_{ALL}, P_{Turb}, P_{Heater,el}, C_{TES}, C_{battery,} C_{H2,stor}, P_{MeOH})$

- Stand-alone system.
- CSP and PV yield calculation based on correlations and assumptions of DLR tool Greenius.
- The model includes an operating strategy for best possible utilization of fluctuating electricity.

CSP/PV hybrid power plant for hydrogen production

- Advantages of CSP/PV hybridization for hydrogen production shown in previous study (system boundary hydrogen at 20 bar) (4,5).
- Cost reduction outlook scenario: strongly decreasing PV costs and moderately decreasing CSP costs.
- Shifting of cost optimum of with lower PV costs to lower electrolyser full load hours (more fluctuating production).

Expectation: Coupling with methanol production process will favor more continuous process designs with higher electrolyser full load hours.

Andreas Rosenstiel, ASME-ES 2024

10

(5) Rosenstiel et al (2021)<https://doi.org/10.3390/en14123437>

Methanol production concept

■ $CO₂$ hydrogenation at 230 °C and 80 bar.

Techno-economic process evaluation: methodology

Weather data source: (Meteonorm 8.0) and Greenius (DLR tool) Locations:

- Almeria, Spain, DNI: 1918 kWh/(m²a)
- Tabuk, Saudi-Arabia DNI: 2882 kWh/(m²a)
- Process simulation(1h) steps
- Optimization with MATLAB™ Patternsearch Global Optimization algorithm.
- Standard PV, CSP scenario (today)
- Outlook scenario:
	- PV: -55% (760, 340 USD/kW)
	- CSP approx. -25 %, higher efficiency

ECONOMIC PPROCESS EVALUATION

Credit: DLR (CC BY-NC-NC-ND)

abilitary

Cost-optimized MeOH plant design

Operational profile Economic system optimization methanol production: Regular CSP location: Almeria (Spain)

Operational profile

Economic system optimization methanol production, Excellent CSP location: Tabuk (Saudi-Arabia)

➢ At excellent solar location very continous electrolyser operation.

Cost-optimized MeOH plant design

Plant design Economic system optimization methanol production: Regular CSP location: Almeria (Spain)

Plant design Economic system optimization methanol production, Excellent CSP location: Tabuk (Saudi-Arabia)

Share of electricity provision for E-Methanol production based on CSP/PV hybrid power plants

- Regular CSP site: PV supplies most of the electricity throughout the year.
- At very good CSP sites, 2/3 of the electricity is supplied by the steam turbine.

Methanol production price

Methanol production price depends on:

- Hydrogen price which is a function of CAPEX, LCOE and electrolyser full load hours
- \bullet CO₂ price

- **Estimated cost of e-methanol today**
- **Estimated cost of e-methanol in 2050**

Notes: Assuming USD 50/t synthesis cost for e-methanol once the raw material H₂ and CO₂ are provided. Estimated cost of e-methanol today and in 2050 can be found in Table 24.

2) IRENA and METHANOL INSTITUTE 2021 2024 and METHANOL INSTITUTE July 2024

Fossil-based Methanol market price in the range of 300 to 600 USD/t.

Methanol production price

- The methanol production costs with the CSP/PV hybrid concept are significantly lower than with the pure PV concept (up to 19 %).
- The production costs for 2030 appear very promising compared to the current methanol market price.

18 Andreas Rosenstiel, ASME-ES 2024 **Price assumption generic CO₂ source: 73 USD/t in 2020 (point source), 87 USD/t in 2030 (point** source+DAC)

ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS

Vol.

Credit: DLR (CC BY-NC-NC-ND)

DLR

adalah sa

Environmental process evaluation: Global warming potential (GWP100) of PV and CSP electricity provision

- Analysis for 3 locations
- Assumption lifetime 20 a
- \triangleright CSP GWP potential up to 56% lower at good solar locations.

CSP evaluation based on publication of Gasa et al 2021 (9) and Gasa et al 2022 (10)

Critical raw material demand for solar electrochemical hydrogen production (only electricity)

- Analysis for plant site with high solar irradiation potential (Tabuk, Saudi-Arabia)
- **Production of PV electricity** requires significantly more critical and strategic raw materials than CSP electricity provision.

 $\ell_{\rm Pl}$

<u>misister</u>

Credit: DLR (CC BY-NC-NC-ND)

 V_{DIR}

Summary and Outlook

- CSP/PV hybrid power plants with thermal energy storage are a promising approach for the production of renewable fuels with solar energy.
- Lower PV system costs favor plant concepts with fluctuating hydrogen production (lower electrolyser FLH).
- In good solar locations, cost-optimized continuous operating concepts (>8000 electrolyser FLH possible) with a high proportion of CSP electricity production (2/3).
- Environmental aspects such as life cycle emissions and the need for critical raw materials show further advantages of incorporating CSP.

Next steps:

- Further sensitivity studies
	- ➢ Electrolyser, PV, CSP system costs +/- 50 %
- Include environmental system evaluation based on a LCA analysis
	- \triangleright Plant design to minimize CO₂ abatement costs

References

- (1) Quaschning 2019, Renewable Energy and Climate Change
- (2) IRENA AND METHANOL INSTITUTE (2021), Innovation Outlook : Renewable Methanol, International Renewable Energy Agency, Abu Dhabi.
- (3) DNV: Hydrogen forecast to 2050.
- (4) DLR 2020, Wasserstoff als ein Fundament der Energiewende, https://www.dlr.de/content/de/downloads/publikationen/broschueren/2020/wasserstoffstudie-teil-1.pdf? blob=publicationFile&v=3
- (5) Rosenstiel, A., et al., *Electrochemical Hydrogen Production Powered by PV/CSP Hybrid Power Plants: A Modelling Approach for Cost Optimal System Design.* Energies, 2021. **14**(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14123437>
- (6) Jung, C., et al., *Ottokraftstoffe aus erneuerbarem Methanol.* 2020. **92**(1-2): p. 100-115.DOI: 10.1002/cite.201900108
- (7) Schemme, S., *Techno-ökonomische Bewertung von Verfahren zur Herstellung von Kraftstoffen aus H2 und CO2*, Doktorarbeit RWTH Aachen, 2020.
- (8) Dersch, J., et al., *LCOE reduction potential of parabolic trough and solar tower technology in G20 countries until 2030*, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0028883>
- (9) Gasa G. et al., *Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of a Concentrating Solar Power (CSP) Plant in Tower Configuration with and without Thermal*

*Energy Storage (TES),*2021. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13073672

(10) Gasa G. et al., Life cycle assessment (LCA) of a concentrating solar power (CSP) plant in tower configuration with different storage capacity in molten salts, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2022.105219

- (11) Umweltbundesamt 2021. Abschlussbericht CLIMATE CHANGE 35/2021.
- (12) IEA 2020. International Energy Agency (IEA) PVPS Task 12, Report T12-19:2020.

Thank you for your attention!

Acknowledgements: The authors of this work gratefully acknowledge the funding of the projects SolareKraftstoffe (Grant agreement Nr. 03EIV221), MENA-Fuels (Grant agreement Nr. 03EIV181A-C), TUNol (Grant agreement Nr. 03EE5123E) by the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy, on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag. Furthermore, financial support from DLR's basic funding for the project "NeoFuels" is gratefully acknowledged.

Gefördert durch:

Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Klimaschutz