

## Non-Linear Dimensionality Reduction With a Variational Encoder Decoder (VED) to Understand Convective Processes in Climate Models

Gunnar Behrens<sup>1,2</sup>, Tom Beucler<sup>3</sup>, Pierre Gentine<sup>2,4</sup>, Fernando Iglesias-Suarez<sup>1</sup>, Michael Pritchard<sup>5</sup> and Veronika Eyring<sup>1,6</sup>

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany
 Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA
 Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
 Earth Institute and Data Science Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA
 Department of Earth System Science, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA
 University of Bremen, Institute of Environmental Physics (IUP), Bremen, Germany



AGU 2022 / Deep Learning for Climate Science and Weather Prediction

12/12/2022



#### **Convective Processes are complex, can we decode them?**







#### **Convective Processes are complex, can we decode them?**

Large-scale climate variables



Schneider et al. (2017)







DLR

#### **Convective Processes are complex, can we decode them? YES!**



Rasp et al. 2018; Yuval et al. 2020; Brenowitz and Bretherton 2018; Mooers et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2022



#### Convective Processes are complex, can we encode them?





#### **1. Variational Encoder Decoder (VED) architecture**

SP

 VED mirroring superparametrisation (SP, Grabowski (2001)) of Community Atmosphere Model (CAM, Collins et al. (2006)) Version 3 with aquaplanet setup



• **VED**: Encoder + Decoder, Input variables: CAM, Output variables: CAM + SP

### **2. Realistic reproduction of Convective Processes**

- Compare against Artificial Neural Net (ANN) of Rasp et al. (2018) for R<sup>2</sup> of dT/dt on 700 hPa
- Good reproduction of VED in mid latitudes and near InterTropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ)
- Weaker performance around Subtropical Highs (~ 20° N/S)
- Slightly decreased performance with respect to ANN (5 nodes in latent space vs. 256 nodes per layer)

Lower Tropospheric Temperature Tendencies





#### **3. Physically Meaningful Latent Space**

- 2D Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
   compression of VED
   latent space:
- Compute conditional averages of convection related variables
- Discriminate geographic origin of samples in latent space of VED
- enhanced interpretability compared to traditional input PCA







#### **4. Convective Processes Predictability**

- Example: Latent Node 4
- Translation along one latent <sup>Z</sup> dimension, while keeping the others fixed to their median values
- Feed resulting z<sub>translation</sub> array into
  Decoder

vertical profile or 2D variable  $z_{translation Node 4} = [median(z_1), median(z_2), median(z_3), perc(z_4), median(z_5)]$ 



**Increasing percentiles** 



#### **4. Convective Processes Predictability**

- Example: Latent Node 4
- Translation along one latent <sup>Z</sup>
  dimension, while keeping the
  others fixed to their median values

Feed resulting z<sub>translation</sub> array into
 Decoder



 $z_{translation Node 4} = [median(z_1), median(z_2), median(z_3), perc(z_4), median(z_5)]$ 



#### **4. Convective Processes Predictability**

- Example: Latent Node 4
- Translation along one latent <sup>Z</sup>
  dimension, while keeping the
  others fixed to their median values

Feed resulting z<sub>translation</sub> array into
 Decoder

 $z_{translation Node 4} = [median(z_1), median(z_2), median(z_3), perc(z_4), median(z_5)]$ 

6







- Example: Latent Node 4
- Translation along one latent dimension, while keeping the others fixed to their median values
- Feed resulting z<sub>translation</sub> array into
  Decoder







convection

#### 4. Conv. Processes Predict./ Node 4: Mid latitude storm tracks

- **Example: Latent Node 4**
- Translation along one latent dimension, while keeping the others fixed to their median values
- Feed resulting z<sub>translation</sub> array into Decoder





































DLR



Outlook

- VED for cross-validation of existing Convective Regimes based on observations or simulations
- VED for identification of clusters / regimes based on GCM runs for Dynamics, Convection and other complex processes
- VED for development of regime-oriented parametrisations in Earth System Models











# Thank you for your attention!





#### References

**Behrens, G.,** Beucler, T., Gentine, P., Iglesias-Suarez, F., Pritchard, M., & Eyring, V. (2022). Non-Linear Dimensionality Reduction With a Variational Encoder Decoder to Understand Convective Processes in Climate Models. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 14(8), e2022MS003130.

**Beucler, T.,** Rasp, S., Pritchard, M., & Gentine, P. (2019). Achieving conservation of energy in neural network emulators for climate modeling. arXiv(1), 2-5.

**Brenowitz, N. D.,** & Bretherton, C. S. (2018). Prognostic Validation of a Neural Network United Physics Parameterization. Geophysical Research Letters, 45 (12), 6289-6298

**Clare, M. C. A.,** Sonnewald, M., Lguensat, R., Deshayes, J., & Balaji, V. (2022). Explainable artificial intelligence for Bayesian Neural Networks: Toward trustworthy predictions of ocean dynamics. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 14, e2022MS003162. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022MS003162

**Collins, W. D.,** Rasch, P. J., Boville, B. A., Hack, J. J., McCaa, J. R., Williamson, D. L., . . . Zhang, M. (2006). The dynamical simulation of the Community Atmosphere Model version 3 (CAM3). Journal of Climate, 19 (11), 2162-2183

**Grabowski, W. W.** (2001). Coupling cloud processes with the large-scale dynamics using the clouds-resolving convection parameterization (CRCP). Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 58 (9), 978-997

Huaman, L., & Schumacher, C. (2018). Assessing the vertical latent heating structure of the east Pacific ITCZ using the CloudSat CPR and TRMM PR. Journal of Climate, 31(7), 2563-2577.





**Mooers, G.,** Pritchard, M., Beucler, T., Ott, J., Yacalis, G., Baldi, P. & Gentine, P. (2021). Assessing the Potential of Deep Learning for Emulating Cloud Superparameterization in Climate Models With Real-Geography Boundary Conditions. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems.

**Mooers, G.,** Pritchard, M., Beucler, T., Srivastava, P., Mangipudi, H., Peng, L., ... & Mandt, S. (2022). Comparing Storm Resolving Models and Climates via Unsupervised Machine Learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.11843*.

**Rasp, S.,** Pritchard, M. S., & Gentine, P. (2018). Deep learning to represent sub grid processes in climate models. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 115 (39), 9684-9689

**Schneider, T.,** Teixeira, J., Bretherton, C. S., Brient, F., Pressel, K. G., Schär, C., & Siebesma, A. P. (2017). Climate goals and computing the future of clouds. Nature Climate Change, 7(1), 3-5.

**Wang, X.,** Han, Y., Xue, W., Yang, G., and Zhang, G. J.: Stable climate simulations using a realistic general circulation model with neural network parameterizations for atmospheric moist physics and radiation processes, Geosci. Model Dev., 15, 3923–3940, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-3923-2022, 2022.

**Yuval, J., & O'Gorman, P. A.** (2020). Stable machine-learning parameterization of sub grid processes for climate modeling at a range of resolutions. Nature Communications, 11 (1), 1-10.

