

PyranoCam: A Simple Measurement System for all Components of Solar Irradiance in any Plane

Niklas Blum^{1*}, Bijan Nouri¹, Stefan Wilbert¹, Yann Fabel¹, Jonas Stührenberg², Luis F. Zarzalejo³

¹German Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Solar Research, Almería, Spain

²German Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Networked Energy Systems, Oldenburg, Germany

³CIEMAT Energy Department – Renewable Energy Division, Madrid, Spain

*niklas.blum@dlr.de




Figure 1

Motivation

Accurate, robust and cost-efficient measurements of global, direct and diffuse irradiance (Figure 1, left) in various planes are of great interest for solar energy applications. A wide range of cost-effective and robust measurement systems are available on the market.

These measurement techniques exhibit at least one of the shortcomings: need for intensive maintenance, high acquisition cost, low accuracy or restrictions to single planes (e.g. one pyranometer per plane).

We developed the novel PyranoCam system. We expect it to be comparably robust and inexpensive. In this work we demonstrate PyranoCam's benefit by an experimental evaluation at six sites on 4 continents.

Overview of the PyranoCam method

The PyranoCam measurement system (Figure 1, right) uses a thermopile pyranometer (ISO 9060:2018 class A) and an all-sky imager (ASI) to measure GHI, DHI, DNI and GTI (for any arbitrary plane) [1]. The PyranoCam method can be divided into two main sections, as depicted in the flowchart (Figure 2).

- Physical model [1]
- Machine-learning-based corrections [2]

Approach of our study

PyranoCam is benchmarked against commercial systems (Rotating Shadowband Irradiometer (RSI), Delta-T SPN1, EKO MS-90) at 2 sites with distinct climates (Table 1). Each sensor is calibrated at the respective site.

- Analysis of distinct atmospheric conditions on the measurement accuracy of each sensor
- Validation of PyranoCam at four additional sites (Table 1)
- Benchmark focuses on DHI and DNI
- The superior performance of PyranoCam for GTI against transposition based models was already shown in [1]
 - Transposition models based on DNI and DHI measured by ISO 9060:2018 class A pyrheliometer and shaded pyranometer were outperformed
- High-quality reference measurements provided by sun trackers equipped with sun sensor and ISO 9060:2018 Class A pyrheliometers (DNI) and shaded pyranometers (DHI)




Figure 2

Parameter	Tabernas	Oldenburg	Benguerir	Golden	Patras	Perth
Latitude	37°N	53°N	32°N	40°N	38°N	32°S
Special characteristics	High clouds, wide range of turbidities	High cloud coverage	Very turbid	High in mountains, frequent snow		
Köppen climate class	Cold desert climate	Temperate oceanic climate	Hot semi-arid climate	Warm-summer humid continental climate	Hot-summer mediterranean climate	Hot-summer mediterranean climate
Mean DHI; DNI (dataset)	144 W/m ² ; 541 W/m ²	135 W/m ² ; 269 W/m ²	537 W/m ² ; 165 W/m ²	157 W/m ² ; 481 W/m ²	137 W/m ² ; 503 W/m ²	134 W/m ² ; 421 W/m ²

Results

- Benchmark in Tabernas and Oldenburg on 11 months data set
- Used error metrics:
 - Root mean square deviation (RMSD)
 - Mean absolute deviation (MAD)
 - Bias
- RSI and PyranoCam show a similar performance and both clearly outperform the remaining radiometers at both sites
- SPN1 and MS-90 show significant variations between the sites
 - Indicating impacts of the prevailing atmospheric conditions
- The influence of atmospheric conditions on the observed relative deviations is evaluated by spearman coefficient of correlation (ρ)
 - Atmospheric parameters have strong influence on the accuracies of MS-90 and SPN1

- Atmospheric parameters have rather small influence on deviations of RSI and PyranoCam

Summary & Outlook

- PyranoCam is operational
- Benchmark showed best performance in terms of DNI and DHI for PyranoCam and RSI
- PyranoCam's accuracy was additionally confirmed at four independent sites
- For GTI, PyranoCam outperforms transposition models
- The PyranoCam hardware can be used to derive cloud coverage/classification and short-term deterministic and probabilistic forecasts of the solar irradiance [4, 5]

Table 2

Sensor	Strongest influence on measured irradiance (DNI, DHI)	
	DNI (Situations with DNI > 100 W/m ²)	DHI (All-sky conditions)
RSI	Sun azimuth angle, $\rho=0.44$	Reference DHI, $\rho=0.42$
PyranoCam	Sun elevation angle, $\rho=0.32$	Reference DNI, $\rho=0.41$
MS-90	Reference DNI, $\rho=0.73$	Reference DNI, $\rho=0.86$
SPN1	Circumsolar contribution to DNI, $\rho=0.80$	Circumsolar horizontal irradiance, $\rho=0.63$





Figure 3



References

- [1] Blum, N. B., et al., (2022). Measurement of diffuse and plane of array irradiance by a combination of a pyranometer and an all-sky imager. Solar Energy, 232, 232-247.
- [2] Broda, R., (2022). Development of Machine Learning Based Correction for Cloud Camera Based Solar Radiation Measurement. Master thesis. RWTH Aachen.
- [3] Demain, C., et al., (2013). Evaluation of different models to estimate the global solar radiation on inclined surfaces. Renewable energy, 50, 710-721.
- [4] Fabel, et al., (2022). Applying self-supervised learning for semantic cloud segmentation of all-sky images. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 15(3), 797-809.
- [5] Nouri, B., et al., (2023). Probabilistic solar nowcasting based on all-sky imagers. Solar Energy, 253, 285-307.

Supported by:

