

Evaluation of the tropical upper tropospheric cloudiness simulated by the storm resolving models (SRMs) from DYAMOND project

Karol Corko, Ulrike Burkhardt, Florian Ewald, Martin Köhler

With deep convection mainly resolved, how well is the tropical UT cloudiness simulated?



- > DYAMOND models improved compared to ICON-NWP
- > TIWP underestimated in very low precipitation areas even relative to passive remote sensing!
- Large deficiency of TIWP in SRMs comes from weaker and/or aged convective systems while strong and young convective systems are simulated well also in NWP

How different are NWP models compared to high-resolution

Is lack of TIWP in models due to dynamics or cloud scheme?

 $\overline{CWP} =$ CWP_w dw S. Bony et al., 2004

CWP – Cloud water path

 $PDF_{w} - PDF$ of vertical velocity w (at 500 hPa);

CWP_w – Cloud water path for given vertical velocity

Daily mean

Monthly mean

> Higher probability for weaker convection compared to high-resolution DYAMOND models

> Compared to high-resolution: smaller TIWP at lower convective strength / aged systems

Conclusions and outlook

Conclusions:

Deep convection is mainly resolved in DYAMOND models (resolution < 5km): Cloud scale dynamics is much improved in the high-resolution simulations (B. Stevens et al.,

- 2020)
- When analysing IWP dependent on convective strength:
- > Improved TIWP when compared to ICON-NWP in particular at lower convective strength
- \succ SRMs still exhibit large spread in simulated cloud properties.
- Largest deficiency of TIWP in models comes from weaker and/or aged convective systems
- > The spread likely results from uncertainty in cloud microphysics too fast conversion into precipitating hydrometeors?
- > Connection between the strength of the convection and the ice water path is very stable (not shown here)

Outlook:

- > Double moment microphyisics may lead to improvements in simulated convective life cycles.
- > New data sets, such as those coming from Earthcare or initiatives learning from lidar, radar and in-situ measurements, may help advance the field in the near future

Literature:

Stevens, B., et al. (2020) The added value of large-eddy and storm-resolving models for simulating clouds and precipitation. Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan, Series II. https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2020-021

Bony, S., Dufresne, JL., Le Treut, H. et al. On dynamic and thermodynamic components of cloud changes. Climate Dynamics 22, 71–86 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-003-0369-6

Funding: This work is funded by the research project "The changing monsoon circulation in global storm-resolving simulations – Monsoon" of the BMBF (German Federal Ministry of Education and Research) (grant no. 01LP1927C)

E-mail: karol.corko@dlr.de; ulrike.burkhardt@dlr.de