# Data science for understanding physics – modelling detectability of ship wake components using machine learning

Björn Tings\*, Karl Kortum

German Aerospace Center (DLR), Remote Sensing Technology Institute Bremen \*bjoern.tings@dlr.de



### Method

In order to investigate the detectability of a wake component w, a normalized figure of merit for wake detectability is required. In this study, the indicator of detectability, i.e. wake component length, is normalized between a minimum and maximum length boundary to obtain the so called Detectable Length Metric (DLM). A SVR model  $f_w$  for mapping DLM<sub>w</sub> to the influencing parameters  $x_i$  is trained:  $DLM_w = f_w(x_1; x_2; x_3; x_4; x_5; x_6; x_7; x_8; x_9)$ 

In Figure 2 b) an example of  $f_w$  for turbulent wakes is

Figure 1 (top left): Motivation for this study is provided by a campaign in 2014 with Polish Coast Guard and Federal Police Sea. The wakes generated by vessels with movement parallel to Range direction (horizontal) are worse detectable than wake generated by vessels with movement parallel to Azimuth direction (vertical).

#### Introduction & Motivation

Ship wakes consists of multiple components, which are detectable in acquisitions from satelliteborne Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) sensors. Their detectability varies in dependency to influencing parameters, which can be categorized into the types: environmental parameters, image acquisition settings and ship properties. An example of varying detectability of ship wakes and a schematic representation of detectable wake components are presented in Figure 1. In this study, machine learning (i.e. Support Vector Regression (SVR)) is used to model the dependency between nine influencing parameters and the detectability of individual wake components.

Origin point 🛛 🕂 🤤 🗉 Centerline Divergent waves Kelvin wake arm Transversewa Ship-generated internal waves

Table 1: Summary of SAR datasets for SAR missions TerraSAR-X (TSX), CosmoSkymed (CSK), Sentinel-1 (S1) and RADARSAT-2 (RS2)

	TSX	CSK	<b>S1</b>	RS2
Frequency band	X	X	С	С
Acquisitions modes	SL, SM	HI	IW	MF, F, S
Amount of products	1097	11	31	53
Amount of wake samples	2881	94	618	407

 
 Table 2: List of nine influencing parameters investigated
with respect to their influence on the detectability of individual wake components.

Description Nr i, Influencing Parameter Name

visualized by the gray hyperplane. By sampling the whole nine-dimensional feature space of by discretized values for  $x_i$ and ingesting  $f_w$  using each discretized tuple, DLM<sub>w</sub> values for each possible detection condition are calculated. After colourcoding  $DLM_w$  heatmaps can be generated as shown in Figure 3. Those heatmaps give insight into the dependency between the influencing parameters and the detectability of a wake component.





**Figure 3: Heatmaps for detectability of turbulent wakes** 

By contrasting detectability models generated for different sensors, the overall performance of different SAR missions with respect to the task of wake detection can be compared. The contrasting of several models is visualized in Figure 2 c), where multiple models for two sensors are visualized by hyperplanes with two shades of gray (light gray for TSX and dark gray for CSK).

# Data

SAR data from four satellite SAR missions is used. The SAR datasets with in total 4000 wake samples are summarized in Table 1. The nine influencing parameters affecting the detectability of each of the wake samples are described in Table 2. The length of each wake component is measured, based on a manual retracing of the wake component's outlines. The plots in Figure 2 a) provide an exemplary view into the feature space spanned by two of the influencing parameters with length of a wake component, which is indicating the respective wake component's detectability.



	$(x_i)$	
1	AIS-Vessel-	Velocity of the vessel derived from AIS
	Velocity	
	( <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> )	
2	AIS-Length	Length of the vessel derived from AIS
	( <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> )	
3	AIS-CoG	Course over ground (CoG) derived from
	$(x_3)$	AIS relative to the radar looking direction
4	Incidence-Angle	Incidence angle of the radar
	( <i>x</i> <sub>4</sub> )	
5	SAR-Wind-Speed	Wind speed estimated from SAR
	( <i>x</i> <sub>5</sub> )	backscatter of ocean background
6	SAR-Significant-	Significant wave height estimated from
	Wave-Height	SAR backscatter of ocean background
	( <i>x</i> <sub>6</sub> )	
7	SAR-Wave-Length	Wavelength estimated from from SAR
	$(x_7)$	backscatter of ocean background
8	AIS-CoG-SAR-	Absolute angular difference between AIS-
	Wave-Direction	CoG and wave direction estimated from
	$(x_8)$	SAR backscatter of ocean background
9	AIS-CoG-WRF-	Absolute angular difference between AIS-
	Wind-Direction	CoG and wind direction estimated by the
	$(x_9)$	Weather Research and Forecasting
		Model (WRF)



## Conclusion

ake's

length

2000

000

Incidence-Angle

The statements on wake detectability derived from the detectability models are in agreement with literature on wake recognition using simulations and/or physical contemplation. Further can be concluded from the available data that X-band SAR sensors are better suited for detection of Kelvin wake arms and V-narrow wakes than C-band SAR sensors. Not only the output of machine learning models is of scientific value. Also the model composition itself can be investigated for gaining knowledge of physical relationships.





Figure 2 a): Feature space with all wake samples of TSX

dataset for two influencing parameters and wake length

Figure 2 b): Gray hyperplane visualizing detectability model Figure 2 c): Comparison of models build for five data for turbulent wakes based on displayed wake samples subsets each for TSX (light gray) and CSK (dark gray)

DLR

Acknowledgments: Data provided by the European Space Agency. Produced using COSMO-SkyMed satellite image © ASI (2018 - 2019), provided by e-GEOS under ESA's TPM scheme. RADARSAT is an official mark of the Canadian Space Agency.

#### **References:**

B. Tings, "Non-Linear Modeling of Detectability of Ship Wake Components in Dependency to Influencing Parameters Using Spaceborne X-Band SAR," Remote Sensing, vol. 13, no. 2, p. 165, 2021. B. Tings, A. Pleskachevsky and S. Wiehle, "Comparison of detectability of ship wake components between C-Band and X-Band synthetic aperture radar sensors operating under different slant ranges," ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, vol. 196, pp. 306-324, 2023.