A Novel Self-Supervised Sun-Induced Fluorescence Retrieval Using Simulated HyPlant and DESIS Data

Jim Buffat¹, Miguel Pato², Kevin Alonso³, Stefan Auer², Emiliano Carmona²

Stefan Maier², Rupert Müller², Patrick Rademske¹, Uwe Rascher¹ and Hanno Scharr⁴

- ¹ Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institute of Bio- and Geosciences, IBG-2: Plant Sciences, Jülich, Germany
- ² German Aerospace Center (DLR), Earth Observation Center, Remote Sensing Technology Institute, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany
- ³ RHEA Group c/o European Space Agency (ESA), Largo Galileo Galilei, Frascati 00044, Italy
- ⁴ Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institute of Advanced Simulations, IAS-8: Data Analytics and Machine Learning, Jülich, Germany

Deep Learning based SIF retrieval

Operationally efficient retrieval of sun-induced fluorescence (SIF) from remote sensing data requires exact atmospheric correction at low computational cost. Incomplete knowledge of the atmospheric state and

Polynomial 4th degree as emulator is sufficient







surface conditions requires the **formulation of the SIF retrieval as a parameter optimization.** In the present contribution we investigate the use of a neural network to perform this optimization step.

We show-case the possibility to **tightly integrate a neural network with the domain knowledge of radiative transfer codes** simulating observations of the airborne **HyPlant** instrument and the ISS-based **DESIS** spectrometer in a spectral window around the **O**₂-**A oxygen absorption band** (740-780 nm).

Data Set

Learning directly from HyPlant acquisitions

- Fully self-supervised network training
- Architectural constraints: Pixel / patchwise prediction
- Physically motivated loss
- Four-stream atmosphere simulation



- Simulation trained network can be applied to DESIS acquisitions
- No reflectance correlation due to data generation setup
- Comparison with quasisimultaneous HyPlant SFM product $(\Delta t = 1h)$



	SFMNN	0.96	$\textbf{0.68} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	11
	iFLD	0.80	0.67 ± 0.08	10
WST 2019 (1500m)	SFM	-0.36*	0.46 ± 0.05	22
(1000m)	SFMNN	0.62	0.19 ± 0.03	22
	iFLD	-0.59	4.81 ± 0.09	22
CIZA 2020 (250)	CEM	0.00	0.26 1.0.04	
CKA 2020 (350m)	SFMN	0.90	0.30 ± 0.04	31
	iFLD	0.55	0.28 ± 0.05	36
CKA 2020 (600m)	SFM SFMNN iFLD	0.83 0.83 0.52	0.42 ± 0.05 0.24 ± 0.05 0.39 ± 0.08	23 23 23
1: FLoX derived SIF measured acquisitions (max. 6 min absolute errors (MAE) are	rements compare time difference) given in mW nm	ed to SFMNN . Pearson cor n ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹ m ⁻²	I, SFM and iFLD SIF p relation <i>r</i> maked with *	redict † have
Spectral Fitti outperform	ng Neu s state-	ral Net of-the	twork (SFN -art SFM	ΛN

S 0.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5

HyPlant SIF_{760 nm} [mW / (nm m² sr)]

Integration of self-supervised approach and emulation

- Supervised training highlights the **simulation data quality** (small domain gap)
- Pixel-wise training limits decomposition capacity of network
- Integrate SFMNN approach in two steps:
 - 1. Replace SFM-type simulation by emulator
 - 2. Semi-supervised training by inclusion of labels

preliminary results

Data Set			MAE	MAE[calib]	
		r^{pear}	$ m mW~nm^{-1}$	$1 { m m}^{-2} { m sr}^{-1}$	Ν
CKA-2020 (600m)	SFM SFMNN iFLD	0.85 0.78 0.53	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.43} \pm \textbf{0.05} \\ \hline 0.90 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.41 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$	0.17 ± 0.02 0.18 ± 0.04 0.24 ± 0.01	18 18 18
SEL-2018 (600m)	SFM SFMNN iFLD	0.91 0.93 0.82	0.53 ± 0.07 0.40 ± 0.03 0.61 ± 0.09	0.11 ± 0.00 0.11 ± 0.00 0.18 ± 0.00	$12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12$

Data set creation and emulator

Parameter		HyPlant DB	
Atmosphere	model	mid-latitude summer	

MAE

r

Conclusions

•	Extensive sampling
	in parameter space
•	Emulation: basic

regression problem

simulated data

	H_2O [cm]	0.3 - 3.0				
	O_3 [DU]	332				
	AOT_{550} []	0.05 - 0.40				
	aerosol model	rural				
	g []	[-1,+1]				
Geometry	TA [°]	0–20				
	SZA [°]	20 - 55				
	RAA [°]	0–180				
	$h_{ m gnd} \ [{ m m}]$	0–300				
	$h_{ m sen} \; [m km]$	0.659 - 0.691 agl				
		1.543 – 1.598 agl				
Surface	$ ho_{740}$ []	0.05 - 0.60				
	${ m d} ho/{ m d}\lambda~{ m [nm^{-1}]}$	0-0.008				
	F_{737}/F_0	0–8				
Sensor	$\delta_\lambda \; [{ m nm}]$	[-0.080, +0.023]				
	$\delta_{ m FWHM} \ [m nm]$	[-0.040, +0.040]				
13 parameters						

We reach state-of-the-art SIF prediction performance on HyPlant acquisitions with a deep learning based, self-supervised approach.

A high quality simulation data set could be generated allowing the supervised training of a well performing DESIS SIF predictor.

A tight integration of the emulator with the principles of selfsupervised approach derived earlier is subject of further work.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the computing time granted through JARA on the supercomputer JURECA[1] at Forschungszentrum Jülich.

[1] Jülich Supercomputing Centre. (2021). JURECA: Data Centric and Booster Modules implementing the Modular Supercomputing Architecture at Jülich Supercomputing Centre Journal of large-scale research facilities, 7, A182. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.17815/jlsrf-7-182</u>

Mitglied der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

j.buffat@fz-juelich.de