Supported by:



on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag

OPERATIONAL DATA QUALITY CONTROL AND INSTRUMENT MONITORING FOR THE SPECTRAL, RADIOMETRIC AND GEOMETRIC DATA PROPERTIES WITHIN THE ENMAP GROUND SEGMENT

1st EnMAP User Workshop October 10 – 11, 2023

M. Bachmann, M. Schneider, B. Gerasch, S. Holzwarth, M. Habermeyer, M. Pato, E. Carmona ... and many others from the whole EnMAP team EnMAP Ground Segment, Processor and Calibration Segment German Aerospace Center (DLR), Earth Observation Center, Oberpfaffenhofen

- Generation of QC-related metadata and flags
 - L2A "Land" product fulfilling CEOS Analysis Ready Data CARD4L requirements
 - Automatically generated for each product
 - Updated in processed products







Generation of QC-related metadata and flags

- L2A "Land" product fulfilling CEOS Analysis Ready Data CARD4L requirements
- Automatically generated for each product
- Updated in processed products
- Available already in archived L0 products:



qualityDetails	
overallQuality	0
overallQualityVNIR	0
overallQualitySWIR	0
productScreeningResultStatus	OK
productScreeningFailedGroups	NONE
instrumentStatus	
statusOK	true
statusVNIR	on
vnirNumberChannelsMissing	0
SWIRAorSWIRBSelected	SWIRA
statusSWIR	on
swirNumberChannelsMissing	0
level1B	
qualityRadiometryVNIR	0
qualityRadiometrySWIR	0
stripingBandingVNIR	0
stripingBandingSWIR	0
saturationCrosstalkVNIR	0
saturationCrosstalkSWIR	0
generalArtifactsVNIR	2
generalArtifactsSWIR	14
deadPixelsVNIR	137
deadPixelsSWIR	1509
defectivePixelsVNIR	2
defectivePixelsSWIR	13
level1C	9104
orbitivumber	ŏ104

- level1C	
orbitNumber	8104
orbitDirection	DESCENDING
resolution	30.0
meanGroundElevation	829.989
orthoTerrain	0
orthoRMSE	6
orthoRMSE_x	3
orthoRMSE_y	5
orthoResidual	8
orthoResidual_x	6

EnMAP GS PCV team, German Aerospace Center (DLR), 10.10.2023

- Generation of QC-related metadata and flags
 - L2A "Land" product fulfilling CEOS Analysis Ready Data CARD4L requirements
 - Automatically generated for each product
 - Updated in processed products
 - Available already in archived L0 products:







- Generation of QC-related metadata and flags
 - L2A "Land" product fulfilling CEOS Analysis Ready Data CARD4L requirements
 - Automatically generated for each product
 - Updated in processed products
 - Available already in archived L0 products:



11 11 11	4	1
)L
	Threshold	Ta
1. General Metadata	meshoid	
1.1 Traceability	n.a.	
1.2 Metadata Machine Readability	ok	<u> </u>
1.3 Data Collection Time	ok	
1.4 Geographical Area	ok	
1.5 Coordinate Reference System	ok	
1.6 Map Projection	ok	
1.7 Geometric Correction Methods	n.a.	
1.8 Geometric Accuracy of the Data	n.a.	
1.9 Instrument	ok	
1.10 Spectral Bands	ok	
1.11 Sensor Calibration	n.a.	
1.12 Radiometric Accuracy	n.a.	
1.13 Algorithms	ok	pa
1.14 Auxiliary Data	ok	
1.15 Processing Chain Provenance	n.a.	
1.16 Data Access	ok	
1.17 Overall Data Quality	n.a.	
2 Per Rivel Metadata		
2.1 Metadata Machine Readability	ok	-
2.2 No Data	ok	+
2.3 Incomplete Testing	ok	<u> </u>
2.4 Saturation	ok	na
2.5 Cloud	ok	P~
2.6 Cloud Shadow	ok	+
2.7 LandWater Mask	n.a.	\vdash
2.8 Spowlce Mask	n.a.	+
2.9 Terrain Shadow Mask	n.a.	<u> </u>
2.10 Terrain Occlusion	n.a.	<u> </u>
2.11 Solar and Viewing Geometry	ok	\square
2.12 Terrain Illumination Correction	n.a.	\square
2.13 Aerosol Optical Depth Parameters	n.a.	
3. Radiometric and Atmospheric		
Corrections		
3.1 Measurement	ok	
3.2 Measurement Uncertainty	n.a.	pa
3.3 Measurement Normalisation	n.a.	
3.4 Directional Atmospheric Scattering	ok	
3.5 Water Vapour Corrections	ok	
3.6 Ozone Corrections	n.a.	
4. Geometric Corrections		
		-



Geometric Data Properties



EnMAP GS PCV team, German Aerospace Center (DLR), 10.10.2023

Geometric Processing

- Extraction/interpolation of orbit and attitude data
- Extraction of DEM from DEM database (Copernicus GLO-30)
- Extraction of reference image from database (custom built Sentinel-2 database)
- Matching of EnMAP image to reference image and improvement of sensor model
- RPC generation
- RMSE calculation
- Orthorectification of image and merging of VNIR and SWIR images





Geometric Performance

٠

٠

٠

٠

٠

٠





Overlap ~88

Overlap ~72.2%



RMSE X [m] RMSE Y [m]

EnMAP GS PCV team. Ge

Geometric Performance







Lucinda Jetty, Australia (CIR)

Spectral Data Properties

Spectral Pre- to Post-Launch Changes



1st datatake "Istanbul" Color composite for SWIR: red: 2176

nm, green: 1633 nm, blue: 1213 nm

Spectral Stability Estimation using all Earth Datatakes



Figure 7-18 VNIR estimated spectral shift at 760 nm w.r.t the nominal band center, and relative spectral stability expressed at 1 sigma (Q2 2023, 6434 tiles



Approach:

fit of normalized TOA_rad to range of simulated spectrally shifted atm. absorption features of O2 @760 nm, CO2 @ ~2050 nm

Result:

Overall good agreement with OBCA and interactive analysis

Figures:

Examples for EnMAP VNIR @ 760 nm and EnMAP SWIR @ 2050 nm expressed as stdev @ 1 sigma



4th mission quarterly report – https://www.enmap.org/mission/



EnMAP – Las Vegas Lights at Night





EnMAP top-left: CIR day top-right: broad-band RGB night right: night-time image spectra (noise-surpressed)



6g.q000

669000



Actual TOA_rad EnMAP (solid) Vs. SpecLib by C. Elvidge Example: HPS – high pressure sodium lamp

First Nighttime Light Spectra by Satellite—By EnMAP

by 😩 Martin Bachmann 🖂 🕲 and 😩 Tobias Storch * 🖂 🧶

German Aerospace Center (DLR), Earth Observation Center (EOC), Münchener Str. 20, 82234 Weßling, Germany * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Remote Sens. 2023, 15(16), 4025; https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15164025

Radiometric Data Properties

and Data Artefacts

Desert Playa, Peru (SWIR, PC-Transfo.)



Striping / Fixed Pattern Noise





De-striping processor – L1C product



Blue line: typical EnMAP FPN values; orange line: typical Sentinel-2 FPN values; dashed blue: EnMAP RNU requirement (0.5%); dashed red: Sentinel-2 FPN requirement (0.2%)

Figure 6-21 Fixed Pattern Noise (FPN) analysis using methodology proposed for Sentinel-2

- Cross-track striping residual < 0.3% for most bands
- After user feedback, de-striping processor (scene-based) included

1st mission quarterly report – https://www.enmap.org/mission/

Striping / Fixed Pattern Noise



Blue line: typical EnMAP FPN values; orange line: typical Sentinel-2 FPN values; dashed blue: EnMAP RNU requirement (0.5%); dashed red: Sentinel-2 FPN requirement (0.2%)

Figure 6-21 Fixed Pattern Noise (FPN) analysis using methodology proposed for Sentinel-2



Figure 6-26 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) highlighting along-track striping

SWIR compressor μ-vibrations harmonics (@ 44 Hz, frame rate 230 Hz => 5.2 pix) magnitude well within requirements

1st mission quarterly report – https://www.enmap.org/mission/

Radiometric Offset of VNIR-SWIR Overlap

- Non-linearity effects, esp. at low radiance levels identified as root cause
- Improvements for CAL under investigation
- Geometric co-registration is <u>not</u> the root cause, as jump occurs also for spatially homogeneous areas.

But co-registration errors can add on top of the radiometric effect.

17







Figure 7-27 Illustration of VNIR-SWIR overlapping region using L1C data.

4th mission quarterly report – https://www.enmap.org/mission/



- Estimated SNR (30% reflectance, 30° sun incidence angle, 21 km visibility, target 500 m above sea level)
 - 620:1 @ 495 nm (requirement: >500:1 for VNIR, low gain)
 - 230:1 @ 2200 nm (requirement: >150:1 for SWIR, high gain)



- Estimated SNR (30% reflectance, 30° sun incidence angle, 21 km visibility, target 500 m above sea level)
 - 620:1 @ 495 nm (requirement: >500:1 for VNIR, low gain)
 - 230:1 @ 2200 nm (requirement: >150:1 for SWIR, high gain)
- Dead pixels (in orbit, total):
 - VNIR: 137 (0.2%)
 - SWIR: 1784 (1.2%)

From lab + update using OBCA: # 0 dead (no recovery possible) # 1 border pixel # 2 hot # 3 cold # 4 flickering # 5 stuck # 6 readout noise defect #7 linearity defect # 8 PRNU defect # 9 DSNU defect From scene: #10 low radiance #11 high radiance #12 maximum radiance value #13 anomalous pixels

19

1st mission quarterly report – https://www.enmap.org/mission/



- Estimated SNR (30% reflectance, 30° sun incidence angle, 21 km visibility, target 500 m above sea level)
 - 620:1 @ 495 nm (requirement: >5
 - 230:1 @ 2200 nm (requirement: >
- Dead pixels (in orbit, total):
 - VNIR: 137 (0.2%)
 - SWIR: 1784 (1.2%)
- Saturation level: see plot



EnMAP GS PCV team, German Aerospace Center (DLR), 10.10.2023

1st mission quarterly report – https://www.enmap.org/mission/



- Estimated SNR (30% reflectance, 30° sun incidence angle, 21 km visibility, target 500 m above sea level)
 - 620:1 @ 495 nm (requirement: >500:1 for VNIR, low gain)
 - 230:1 @ 2200 nm (requirement: >150:1 for SWIR, high gain)
- Dead pixels (in orbit, total):
 - VNIR: 137 (0.2%)
 - SWIR: 1784 (1.2%)
- Saturation level

21



Figure 6-23 Fringing of the VNIR, Principal Component-transformed data

Fringing in VNIR (CMOS detector) as expected

Instrument Monitoring







Changes in Instrument & Data Products

 SWIR band configuration changed on July 5, 2023, as requested by users & EnSAG

Important:

when addressing by band number (and not by wavelengths), then SWIR bands #45 to #75 (full cube bands #136 to #167) are shifted by one band between periods before / after 05.07.2023





L1B TOA_rad & L2A BOA_ref Validation

Independent validation lead by GFZ
> see presentation by Max Brell

Additionally within the GS @ DLR:

- Routine EnMAP validation of TOA_rad & BOA_ref over RadCalNet and PICS
- Airborne & field campaigns at Panzerwiese site





Thank you for your attention

 For performance on the processing chain, data quality, external validation results, see Mission Quarterly Reports at: <u>https://www.enmap.org/mission/</u>



remote sensing

of the EnMAP CARD4L-SR Data Product

Analysis-Ready Data from Hyperspectral Sensors-The Design

Martin Bachmann 1.*0, Kevin Alonso 20, Emiliano Carmona 2, Birgit Gerasch 2, Martin Habermeyer 1,

Nicole Pinnel 10, Raquel de los Reyes 20, Mathias Schneider 2, Peter Schwind 2 and Tobias Storch 20

Stefanie Holzwarth 100, Harald Krawczyk 2, Maximilian Langheinrich 200, David Marshall 1, Miguel Pato 200,

 For full description in QC-related metadata and flags <u>https://www.enmap.org/data/doc/EN-PCV-ICD-2009-</u> <u>2_HSI_Product_Specification_Level1_Level2.pdf</u>

and

For any questions on data quality, please use the official contact form at <u>https://www.enmap.org/contact/</u> Supported by:



MDPI

on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag