

1 This version of the article has been accepted for publication after peer review
2 and is subject to Taylor and Francis' terms of use, but is not the Version of
3 Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any
4 corrections. The Version of Record is available online at:

5 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00218464.2023.2245758>

6 **Adhesion properties of the hybrid system made of laser-structured**
7 **aluminium EN AW 6082 and CFRP by co-bonding-pressing process**

8 Shuang Wu^{a*}, Alexander Delp^b, Jonathan Freund^c, Frank Walther^b, Jan
9 Haubrich^c, Miriam Löbbecke^c, Thomas Tröster^a

10 ^a*Chair of Automotive Lightweight Design, Paderborn University, Paderborn, Germany,*

11 ^b*Chair of Materials Test Engineering (WPT), TU-Dortmund University, Dortmund,*

12 *Germany, ^cGerman Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Materials Research, Cologne,*

13 *Germany*

14 Shuang Wu, shuang.wu@upb.de, Chair of Automotive Lightweight Design (LiA),

15 Mersinweg 7, 33100 Paderborn

16

1 Adhesion properties of the hybrid system made of laser-structured 2 aluminium EN AW 6082 and CFRP by co-bonding-pressing process

3 A parameter investigation for manufacturing a hybrid system through the prepreg
4 pressing process was carried out within the scope of this work to achieve optimal
5 adhesion properties. The hybrid specimen comprises an aluminium sheet of alloy
6 EN AW 6082 in T6 condition and a thermoset Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastics
7 prepreg. The prepreg pressing process allows the curing reaction of epoxy resin
8 and the joining process to occur simultaneously to avoid an additional bonding
9 process step. The surface of the aluminium sheet was pretreated in advance using
10 a pulsed Nd:YAG laser to enhance the bonding properties. In the first step, the
11 shear edge tests investigated the adhesion properties achieved with different
12 consolidation (temperature, time and pressure) and laser parameters. Then, 3-point
13 bending tests were carried out to investigate the influence of the consolidation
14 parameters on the mechanical properties of the Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastics-
15 laminate. In this way, the optimal parameter sets for manufacturing hybrid
16 structures were determined.

17 Keywords: prepreg pressing process; laser surface pretreatment; hybrid material,
18 adhesive bonding

19 1. Introduction

20 Vehicle mass has increased significantly in recent years due to increased demands for
21 safety and comfort.^[1] Simultaneously, requirements for climate protection in Europe have
22 become stricter, with Greenhouse Gas emissions to be reduced by 55% by 2030.^[2] Higher
23 mass in vehicles increases energy consumption and thus the emission of greenhouse
24 gases. Therefore, the development of innovative lightweight design approaches is of high
25 importance. Since a reduction in vehicle mass lowers necessary drive power, lightweight
26 construction also plays a significant role in electromobility. For example, smaller and
27 thus lighter batteries or electric motors can be used with the same performance, which
28 further reduces the mass and thus lowers the loading of the structures.^[3]

1 Various lightweight design approaches have been developed to achieve climate
2 and lightweight design goals. Multi-material design plays an essential role in exploiting
3 the advantages of different materials, such as aluminium and Carbon Fibre-Reinforced
4 Plastics (CFRP). This way, reduced weight and improved mechanical properties could be
5 achieved simultaneously.^[1] On the other hand, it must be mentioned that hybrid
6 construction will also place significant demands on the manufacturing and joining
7 process. High differences in the electrochemical potentials between Fibre-Reinforced
8 Plastic (FRP) and aluminium and the exposure to environmental influences renders the
9 corrosion properties of such hybrid materials challenging and require suitable corrosion
10 protection.^[4]

11 Importantly, for reducing the manufacturing times and cost of the hybrid
12 components out of metal and FRP, the joining technology must also be considered an
13 integral part of the processing chain since curing is usually a time- and energy-consuming
14 step. Currently, various techniques can be used to join metal with fibre-reinforced
15 plastics. It can be divided into cold or thermal joining technologies. Bolted joining,
16 riveted joining and adhesive joining are, for example, part of the cold joining
17 technologies.^[5] However, conventional joining technologies such as bolted joints and
18 rivets can only be used at the cost of accepting significant disadvantages such as
19 additional weight, sealing problems, and stress concentration at the holes.^[6] In contrast,
20 adhesive bonding is a beneficial solution that avoids damaging the joining partners.
21 Nevertheless, the adhesive joining normally requires a long curing time and weakens at
22 higher temperatures. Machado^[7] investigated the adhesion properties of
23 CFRP/aluminium joints at different temperatures using statistical and dynamic tensile
24 shear tests. The two materials were bonded together using crash-resistant epoxy-based
25 adhesive. Two aluminium alloys, 5754-H22 and 6060-T6, were used. The CFRP sheet

1 consisted of 14 layers and was produced by press molding at 130°C 1h. Both aluminium
2 joints (alloy 5754 with alloy 6060) and aluminium/CFRP joints (alloy 5754 with CFRP,
3 alloy 6060 with CFRP) were investigated. The results showed that the CFRP/aluminium
4 joints have higher shear stress at failure (ca. 16MPa at 24°C), while the
5 aluminium/aluminium joints have the lowest (ca.12MPa at 24°C). This could be due to
6 the comparatively lower strength of aluminium, as the weakest material dominates for
7 hybrid joints failure. Moreover, it is noticed that with the increase of test temperature, a
8 cohesive failure is seen in the adhesive as the strength of the adhesive deteriorates with
9 the temperature increase to 80°C. To further reduce the weight of hybrid joints, for
10 example, in the conventional rivet joining process. Huang has developed a new joining
11 process.^[8] Hybrid joints made of CFRP prepreg and aluminium were first bonded with an
12 epoxy adhesive. After the bonding process, the hybrid joints were embossed to realize a
13 plastic deformation of the hybrid joint. Thereby, the pressing force and the temperature
14 of the embossing process were investigated. In contrast to conventional joining methods,
15 such as rivet joining with an adhesive, the proposed method does not require additional
16 components. The optimal embossing depth and temperature were 1.8mm and 100°C. In
17 addition, an increase in force at the failure of 89.3% could be achieved.

18 Apart from the cold bonding technologies, many researchers have also
19 investigated different thermal joining processes for hybrid joints, such as laser welding,
20 friction-based welding technologies, ultrasonic welding, induction joining, and in-situ
21 technologies. However, many of these technologies were useable only for
22 thermoplastics.^[5] To solve this problem, Lionetto used PA6 films with different
23 thicknesses in the aluminium (alloy 5754)/CFRP joints and fabricated them by ultrasonic
24 welding.^[9] The CFRP/epoxy laminate was manufactured by vacuum bagging 9 plies of
25 CF/epoxy prepregs stacked, adding the PA6 film as a last ply. The curing process takes

1 at 125°C for one hour. The dependence of the adhesion properties on welding force and
2 welding energy was investigated by tensile shear test. The PA6 film plays an active role
3 in promoting adhesion without damaging the carbon fibres. A film thickness of 100µm
4 is the most appropriate to obtain hybrid joints characterised by a high tensile shear
5 strength. In addition, the welding energy and forces strongly influence the mechanical
6 bonding at the microscopic level. However, the disadvantage is the high temperature
7 during welding (approx. between 350-400°C) which could lead to degradation of the
8 epoxy resin and higher thermal residual stresses in the hybrid joints.

9 Furthermore, laser pretreatment is particularly suitable for cleaning metal surfaces
10 and achieving sufficient adhesion strength because of its easy handling and high
11 geometrical freedom, which is also used in this work. The increased adhesion can be
12 attributed to surface enlargement and increased mechanical interlocking between metal
13 and adhesive.^[10,11] Recently, various studies have dealt with the influence of laser
14 pretreatment on the adhesion strength of FRP/metal joints. For example, Zinn et al.^[12]
15 investigated the influence of laser pretreatment on the adhesion properties of CFRP/steel
16 hybrid composites produced by vacuum-assisted resin transfer molding (VRTM). In this
17 process, the shear stress at failure was almost doubled. Furthermore, Heckert et al.^[13] used
18 laser pretreatment to create macro- to nanostructures on the aluminium alloy EN AW
19 6082 surface. GFRP was joined subsequently to pretreated EN AW 6082 by laser-based
20 heat conduction joining. Again, a strong increase in bond strength has been observed. In
21 addition to laser pretreatment on metal surfaces, the surface of CFRP can also be laser
22 pretreated. For example, Schanz pretreated the aluminium and the CFRP surfaces with
23 different laser systems (near-infrared- and ultraviolet laser).^[14] Before laser pretreatment,
24 CFRP/epoxy laminate made of 8 prepreg layers was produced by pressing at 180°C, 7
25 bar for 2h. Finally, the two materials were bonded with three different adhesives and the

1 dependence of the adhesion properties on the energy density of the laser system was
2 investigated. It was confirmed that a lower shear stress at failure can be seen with all three
3 adhesives when the energy density is increased. Furthermore, from other literature, it is
4 also known that the aging resistance of pure metal materials such as aluminium, steel and
5 metal/FRP composites can be improved by laser pretreatment.^[15]

6 Much of the research presented above works with a 2-step process, i.e., the FRP
7 is first produced and then joined to metal by, for example, an additional bonding or
8 welding process. Furthermore, the curing process of FRP or adhesive is also associated
9 with a long time, such as in^[7,9,14]. The manufacturing and the joining process of such
10 hybrid material can be combined in one step to further reduce the processing or cycle
11 times and the energy footprint. For this purpose, the prepreg pressing process is
12 particularly suitable for manufacturing hybrid components. The prepreg pressing process
13 is related to the sheet metal forming process, and a high degree of automation is
14 possible.^[16]

15 In order to achieve the objectives mentioned above, the advantages of laser
16 pretreatment and the prepreg pressing process, a parameter investigation is carried out in
17 this work about the adhesion properties of the hybrid composite. This study aims to
18 achieve an energy-efficient manufacturing process in which the joining and curing
19 processes occur in a single step. The plate-shaped hybrid composite comprises a laser-
20 structured aluminium sheet of alloy EN AW 6082 in T6 condition and CFRP prepreg.
21 Prepregs are pre-impregnated continuous fibres with high viscosity matrix, characterized
22 by their easy handling, good mechanical properties, and closely tolerable fibre-matrix
23 ratio. Due to the reactive matrix, they often have to be stored below -18°C.^[17] The carbon
24 fibres of the prepreg used are embedded in a thermoset matrix of epoxy resin. A surface
25 pretreatment using a pulsed Nd:YAG laser is performed on the aluminium sheet before

1 manufacturing to improve the adhesion, corrosion and aging properties. The additional
2 bonding process is avoided, as the epoxy resin serves directly as an adhesive, reducing
3 the process times significantly. The present work focuses on the manufacturing process
4 and the resulting quasistatic mechanical properties of the hybrid composite.

5 **2. Materials and Methods**

6 EN AW 6082 aluminium alloy sheets (in T6 condition) and CFRP prepreg
7 SIGRAPREG®C U230-0/NF-E320/39% from SGL Carbon were used to produce the
8 specimens. The resin used in the prepreg was epoxy. The CFRP prepreg was supplied on
9 a roll, and both sides were provided with a release film.

10 **2.1 *Manufacturing parameters***

11 The curing behaviour of epoxy resin can vary depending on the temperature and time. In
12 addition, the product properties are also influenced by the degree of cure. As a rule, the
13 curing time decreases with increased temperature. Preliminary tests at the Chair of
14 Automotive Lightweight Design at Paderborn University have identified some promising
15 parameter sets. The results are based on a hybrid composite of the same CFRP prepreg
16 and a steel material, S235JR. The results show that a sufficient crosslinking reaction has
17 occurred after 90s at a temperature of 180°C. The optimum temperature range lies
18 between 150°C and 180°C.^[18] In order to determine the optimum set of parameters for
19 the manufacturing process for hybrid composites made of CFRP prepreg and the laser-
20 structured aluminium sheet, the test matrix is extended within the scope of this research
21 work. For this purpose, pressing pressures of 0.3MPa, 0.5MPa and 0.8MPa with
22 temperatures of 150°C, 160°C and 180°C were investigated. The pressing time varies
23 depending on the temperature. Each parameter combination was combined with 3 laser
24 parameters (L1, L2, L3; Table 1 and Figure 1) to determine interaction. Laser

1 pretreatment with pulsed Nd:YAG-CleanLaser-CL20 (Clean Lasersysteme GmbH,
2 Herzogenrath, Germany) was performed at the Institute of Materials Research, German
3 Aerospace Center in Cologne. The wavelength is 1064nm, and the spot size is 65µm. For
4 all 3 laser parameters, the laser spots overlap in both directions according to the degree
5 of overlap specified in Table 1. The laser traverses the complete path five times
6 consecutively for laser parameter 1 (L1 in Table 1).

7 **Please place Table 1 here**

8 **Please place Figure 1 here**

9 **2.2 Specimen manufacturing**

10 The specimens were produced by the prepreg pressing method. For this purpose, 6 layers
11 of unidirectional CFRP prepreg were cut according to the specimen size and then stacked
12 on top of each other (all at 0°). Then this multilayer composite can be placed on the
13 pretreated aluminium sheet. Due to the tackiness of the CFRP prepreg, easy handling is
14 guaranteed.

15 **Please place Figure 2 here**

16 The geometry of the specimen plate varies depending on the test methodology used later.
17 For the shear edge tests (see section 2.3.1), the specimen plates were manufactured in a
18 size of 70x70 mm² (Figure 2) and for 3-point bending tests (see section 2.3.2) in 150x150
19 mm². A hydraulic press PS200 of the company Vogt was used to produce the specimen
20 plate, consisting of two pressure plates.

21 **Please place Figure 3 here**

22 Figure 3 shows the pressing tool used to manufacture the specimen plates. For the tooling
23 (die and punch) to be better heated and automatically closed and opened, two adapter

1 plates were manufactured, which were then attached to the press plates of the press. The
2 die (for the shear edge and the bending specimens) was then mounted onto the adapter
3 plate. (Figure 3) In the next step, the heating temperature, the pressing pressure and the
4 pressing time were set. A screw-in thermocouple from TC Direct, Germany, controls the
5 mold temperature. Once the tool reaches the target temperature, manual insertion of the
6 prepared CFRP-aluminium hybrid composite (Figure 2) occurs. Finally, the pressing
7 program is initiated. The lower press plate automatically moves upward until the target
8 pressing pressure is reached. Then the pressing process and the curing of the epoxy resin
9 occur simultaneously. Both the consolidation temperature and pressure are regulated
10 during the pressing process. After the set pressing time, the press plate opens again, and
11 the specimen plates can be removed. All specimen plates were post-cured in a convection
12 oven at 180°C for 30min after manufacturing. Finally, specimens are produced from the
13 plates by waterjet cutting.

14 **2.3 Test methodology**

15 *2.3.1 Shear edge test*

16 The adhesion properties between the two components of the metal-CFRP hybrid
17 composite with varying laser and consolidation parameters were investigated. There are
18 different ways to determine the adhesive strength of the metal-CFRP hybrid composite,
19 for example, the short beam test according to the DIN EN ISO 14130 standard and the
20 tensile shear test according to the DIN EN 1465 standard. However, the two methods also
21 have some disadvantages. While failure can occur in the short beam method due to
22 bending stress instead of shear, specimen preparation in tensile shear testing is
23 comparatively more complex.^[19] Thus, in the context of this work, following the literature
24 by K. A. Weidenmann^[19,20], the shear edge method is used to characterize the adhesion

1 properties. Moreover, since stress concentration could occur during the test, the measured
2 shear stress is not the true shear strength. Thus, the adhesion properties will be described
3 within the scope of this work using “effective shear strength” in the following. The
4 experimental setup is shown in Figure 4.

5 **Please place Figure 4 here**

6 The device is installed in a universal testing machine, Criterion® 45, from the company
7 MTS system. The specimen has a width (b in Eq. 1) of 25mm and a height (h in Eq. 1) of
8 12.5mm. The aluminium part (3) of the specimen is inserted into the sample holder (1)
9 and clamped between the sample holder (1) and the upper pressing plate (7) by turning
10 the screw (6). Several sheet holders with different depths in horizontal direction have
11 been manufactured so that samples with varying thicknesses of the metal sheet (within
12 the scope of this work, 2mm) can be tested. Since the height of each sample may also
13 differ minimally, the height of the pressure plate (7) can also be adjusted by turning the
14 screw (6). The CFRP part (2) of the specimen is not clamped but only supported by the
15 clamping screw (4) to avoid buckling of the specimen during the test. However, this
16 clamping screw does not apply a significant force to the sample and can also be varied
17 horizontally by turning the screw. This way, the shear plane itself can be defined. (as
18 shown in Figure 4b) Thus, this testing procedure offers more flexibility than other testing
19 methods. During testing, the lower part of the device moves upwards, creating a shear
20 load at the interface. The test speed was chosen at 5mm min⁻¹. Before testing, the
21 dimension of each specimen was measured using a calliper. Then, the effective shear
22 strength (τ in Eq. 1) was determined analogously to the tensile shear test using the
23 following formula, where F represents the fracture load:

24
$$\tau = \frac{F}{b \times h} \quad (\text{Eq.1})$$

1 2.3.2 3-point bending test

2 Apart from the adhesion properties at the boundary layer, the mechanical properties of
3 the manufactured CFRP laminate are also essential criteria for determining the best set of
4 parameters. Therefore, the laminate properties were investigated using the 3-point
5 bending test, performed according to the DIN EN ISO 14125 standard. The specimens
6 with a size of 100x15mm² were taken from the specimen plate produced by the prepreg
7 pressing process by waterjet cutting. The bending device is installed in the universal
8 testing machine of MTS Criterion®45. According to the standard, the support width is
9 80mm. The radius of the compression fin is 5mm, while the radius of both supports is
10 2mm. (Figure 5).

11 **Please place Figure 5 here**

12 The test speed is calculated according to the formula specified in the standard to 5mm
13 min⁻¹. Finally, the bending strength was calculated with the following formula: b is the
14 width, h is the thickness of the specimen, and F represents the fracture load.

15
$$\sigma = \frac{3F}{2bh^2} \quad (\text{Eq.2})$$

16 2.3.3 Differential scanning calorimetry

17 Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed on CFRP prepreg to determine
18 the degree of cure of the specimens. According to ISO 11357-1, DSC involves two test
19 procedures in which the caloric effects of a specimen are assessed in comparison to a
20 reference material:

- 21 • Heat-Flux DSC
- 22 • Power differential DSC

1 In this study, the isothermal Heat-Flux DSC, according to ISO 11357, was used. The
2 measuring cell in Heat-Flux DSC is an oven in which the specimen and reference are
3 heated or cooled simultaneously according to a predetermined temperature program. The
4 temperature of both measuring points, located on a heat-conducting metal disc, is
5 measured continuously. Then, the heat flow change is obtained from the temperature
6 difference. Before DSC, the CFRP prepreg was cut into pieces with a mass of around 10
7 mg according to the standard DIN EN ISO 11357-5. The mass was determined using a
8 precision balance XP205 from the company Mettler-Toledo. The CFRP prepreg was then
9 positioned in the centre of an aluminium crucible. After that, the crucible was sealed.
10 Until the measurement, the CFRP prepreg was frozen at -18°C to avoid further curing.
11 The DSC measuring instrument DSC 214 Polyma from Netzsch was used. During the
12 measurement, the measuring cell is first heated to the desired temperature (heating rate
13 10K min⁻¹), followed by specimen insertion. After that, the isothermal measurement can
14 be started. The holding time is 40min. Finally, the measuring cell is cooled down again
15 to room temperature with a cooling rate of 50K min⁻¹. The enthalpy change at different
16 holding times can be calculated by integrating the DSC curve. In this way, the degree of
17 cure can also be determined.

18 *2.3.4 Characterisation of the material properties of CFRP*

19 In the prepreg pressing process, the CFRP prepreg is pressed together with the aluminium
20 sheet, and thus the plastic matrix is also partially pressed out. Therefore, the fibre mass-,
21 as well as the fibre volume fraction, differs for the respective set of parameters, affecting
22 both the mechanical properties of the CFRP laminates and the adhesion properties. For
23 this reason, the fibre content of the specimens was determined by measuring the mass
24 difference of the specimens after resin extraction by wet chemical methods using sulfuric
25 acid. The measurement was carried out according to EN 2564 procedure A. After

1 extraction, the fibres are placed in a glass filter crucible and dried at 120°C for at least
2 45min. Finally, the specimens are cooled, and the fibre mass fraction (W_f in Eq. 3) can
3 be determined using Eq. 3, where m_3 is the total mass of the glass filter crucible and test
4 specimen after resin extraction, m_2 is the mass of the glass filter crucible, and m_1 is the
5 initial mass of the specimen.

$$6 \quad W_f = 100 \times \frac{(m_3 - m_2)}{m_1} \quad (\text{Eq.3})$$

$$7 \quad V_f = \frac{W_f \times \rho_r}{W_f \times \rho_r + (1 - W_f) \times \rho_f} \quad (\text{Eq.4})$$

8 Subsequently, the material density can then be used to convert the fibre volume fraction
9 from the fibre mass fraction using Eq. 4. Here, V_f represents the fibre volume fraction,
10 W_f denotes the fibre mass fraction, ρ_f and ρ_r refer to the fibre and matrix density values,
11 respectively. In addition, the density of the cured CFRP laminate was also specified
12 according to the DIN EN ISO 1183 standard to evaluate the state of consolidation.

13 **3. Results and discussion**

14 **3.1 Results of shear edge test**

15 In order to investigate the adhesion properties of hybrid samples made of laser-pretreated
16 aluminium and CFRP, shear edge tests were first conducted. The test plan of the shear
17 edge test is shown in Table 2. The parameter selection was based on preliminary
18 experiments at the chair (as mentioned in section 2.1). In order to make the process more
19 efficient, the production time in the pressing process should be as short as possible while
20 ensuring that the samples are sufficiently pre-cured. Post-curing in the oven (180°C,
21 30min within this work) ensures that the samples are fully cured. Generally, a sufficient
22 curing state could be achieved at a higher temperature with a shorter process time, as the

1 chemical reaction is faster. Therefore, temperatures with different pressing times (150°C,
2 5min, 180°C, 2min) were selected in this work. In addition, a parameter set of 160°C,
3 20min was also selected, which was expected to be 100% cured according to the
4 datasheet. In this way, how the pre-curing degree after the pressing process affects the
5 adhesion properties at the respective laser parameter can be investigated. In addition to
6 the pressing time and temperature, various pressing pressures (0.3MPa, 0.5MPa and
7 0.8MPa) were also investigated to examine their influence on the adhesion properties.
8 Finally, a total of 27 parameter combinations were obtained. Five test specimens were
9 tested for each combination. In the following sections, the specimen designation is
10 arranged in this order: Temperature, Pressure, Time, and Laser parameters. For example,
11 180_0.3_2_L1 represents a specimen cured at 180°C and a pressure of 0.3MPa for 2
12 minutes and structured with laser parameter set L1. Preliminary tests were also carried
13 out with aluminium sheets without laser pretreatment, in which the aluminium sheets
14 were only degreased with acetone. However, the effective shear strength of these test
15 specimens is very low and is around 2 - 5 MPa.

16 ***Please place Table 2 here***

17 The results of the shear edge tests are shown in Figure 6. From the data, it is difficult to
18 make a statement about the dependence of the effective shear strength on the laser
19 parameter and the curing parameter. A strong dependence of the effective shear strength
20 on pressure and temperature could only be seen for L3. No clear tendency can be found
21 for other laser parameters.

22 ***Please place Figure 6 here***

23 The results were then analysed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). The ANOVA
24 analysis indicates whether there are statistically significant differences between the mean
25 values of the individual groups tested.^[21] The SPSS Statistics software from IBM was

1 used to analyze the results. The measurement data were checked for normal distribution
2 and variance homogeneity as a prerequisite. In the first step, the influences of pressure
3 and laser parameters were considered. An interaction effect diagram is shown in Figure
4 7.

5 **Please place Figure 7 here**

6 The data points in Figure 7 show the group mean values at the respective laser parameter
7 and pressing pressure used for specimen preparation. The error bars represent the 95%
8 confidence interval, which indicates that the true mean values of a population are within
9 the range of 95%. For statistical evaluation, the significance level was calculated, the limit
10 of which is set at 0.05 in most cases. If the calculated value is less than 0.05, significant
11 differences between the two groups can be found.^[21]

12 The effective shear strength between the individual pressures was compared for
13 each laser parameter, and their significance values were calculated. The value is greater
14 than 0.05 for both L1 and L2 while less than 0.001 for L3, which means that the effective
15 shear strength strongly depends on the pressure when the aluminium surface is pretreated
16 with L3. These results can also be seen in Figure 6 and Figure 7. For L3, the midpoints at
17 0.3MPa and 0.8MPa are significantly lower than those at 0.5MPa. For all other
18 parameters, no significant differences can be found between the pressures and the laser
19 parameters because the error bars overlap. Thus, from the analysis, it can be concluded
20 that the effective shear strength is lowest for L3 pretreated surfaces when the pressing
21 pressure is 0.8MPa.

22 In the next step, the effect of the pressing temperature on the effective shear
23 strength was examined (Figure 8). For L1 and L2, there is no significant difference
24 between the group means at different temperatures because the error bars overlap.
25 However, the low mean effective shear strength of the L3 pretreated specimen at elevated

1 temperatures, considered for all pressures and variations of curing parameters, presents
2 another trend.

3 ***Please place Figure 8 here***

4 The lower effective shear strength of L3 at increased temperature and pressure is mainly
5 attributed to the effective shear strength of the specimens consolidated with the parameter
6 set 180_0,8_2 (180°C, 0,8MPa, 2min, Figure 6), which have the lowest effective shear
7 strength of all specimens. The height measurements of the microstructures with the
8 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) (Figure 9) indicate that the laser parameter L3
9 seems to generate the lowest microstructures. The resin probably cannot be absorbed by
10 the structuring at high pressure. In addition, the resin viscosity is also lower at elevated
11 temperatures and can thus flow better.^[22,23] That could result in an increased squeeze out
12 of the thermoset matrix in the boundary area during consolidation, leading to a higher
13 fibre matrix ratio. A loss of the thermoset matrix is revealed by the characterization of
14 the fibre volume fraction (using the wet chemical method according to EN 2564). At
15 0.3MPa pressing pressure, the fibre volume fraction is lowest at 43% and rises at 0.5MPa
16 to 47% and 0.8MPa to 67%, indicating that less thermoset matrix remains available for
17 the bond line. Thus, the layer thickness of the epoxy resin on the boundary layer is low,
18 which can lead to poor adhesion.

19 ***Please place Figure 9 here***

20 Some studies have researched the dependence of bond strength on adhesive layer
21 thickness. For example, Yang et al.^[24] investigated aluminium samples bonded with two
22 types of adhesives: epoxy and silicone rubber, with the smallest investigated adhesive
23 layer thickness of 0.02mm and the largest of 1mm. The results showed that the bond
24 strength deteriorated with increasing adhesive layer thickness up to a limit of 0.4mm (with
25 an epoxy adhesive).

1 However, in this work, no additional adhesive was used. In contrast to the above
2 publications, the epoxy resin system directly serves as the adhesive. Furthermore,
3 compared to the investigated adhesive thickness in the publications above, the thickness
4 of the epoxy resin layer at the interface in this work is minimal (Figure 10), so the effect
5 mentioned above does not yet occur. Thus, for the investigation with the scope of this
6 work, a sufficient amount of resin must be at the boundary layer to ensure a sufficient
7 bonding between the metal and CFRP. Nevertheless, the resulting effective shear strength
8 of specimens pretreated with L1, which generates the highest surface structures, should
9 be the highest. This is not the case for most of the consolidated specimens in this study.

10 Figure 10 shows the microscopy sections of the interface region of EN AW 6082-
11 CFRP specimen (consolidation parameter: 150_0.3_5) after the surface pretreatment with
12 the laser parameters L1 to L3. From this, it can be seen that at L1, both the epoxy resin
13 and the carbon fibres penetrate more into the surface structuring than at the other two
14 laser parameters. This μm -sized waviness at the interface could lead to the notch effect
15 and stress increase in the CFRP prepreg, which tends to fail at this point. That could be
16 why the effective shear strength at L1 is not always the highest, although the surface
17 structuring is highest at L1.

18 ***Please place Figure 10 here***

19 ***3.2 Fracture surface analysis of the shear edge specimens***

20 The fracture surfaces are shown in Figure 11 based on the 150_0.3_5 specimen. All other
21 specimens show similar fracture surfaces. It can be seen from Figure 11 that a completely
22 cohesive fracture of the CFRP prepreg occurs for the L1 pretreated specimen since there
23 is still a significant amount of residual CFRP on the aluminium surface. While the
24 residual of CFRP-prepreg on the aluminium surface pretreated with L2 and L3 is
25 significantly lower. Upon comparing Figure 9 with Figure 10, the same conclusion as in

1 the previous section can be drawn. The μm -sized waviness in the CFRP laminate could
2 lead to stress concentration, resulting in earlier failure than the connection at the boundary
3 layer. It is possible that the adhesive strength of the samples produced with L1 already
4 exceeds the interlaminar shear strength of the CFRP laminate, which is why the CFRP
5 laminates fail first. Therefore, further investigations need to be conducted to determine
6 the interlaminar shear strength of pure CFRP laminate at the respective process
7 parameter.

8 ***Please place Figure 11 here***

9 The fracture surfaces were then analysed by SEM. The red circle in Figure 11 shows the
10 position where the SEM images were taken. From the SEM images (Figure 12), it can be
11 seen that on the fracture surface of the specimen pretreated with L2 and L3, besides the
12 fractured CFRP prepreg, there are some bright spots (in red circle). These spots could be
13 either the metal surface or due to a stronger charge of the epoxy layer during the SEM
14 analysis. Therefore, Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis (EDX) was
15 performed on these locations to determine the material composition. Here, point 1 and
16 point 4 in Figure 13 c) and d) are exactly the bright spots in Figure 13 (a) and (b). Points
17 2 and 3 are the area next to them.

18 ***Please place Figure 12 here***

19 ***Please place Figure 13 here***

20 Figure 14 shows the EDX spectrum of the single point in Figure 13 (c) and (d). It can be
21 seen that there is still an epoxy layer on the fractured surface at points 2 and 3 since carbon
22 represents an important material element of the epoxy resin, and the nitrogen could come
23 from the amino groups of the hardener system. However, in opposition to that, an extreme
24 aluminium peak can be detected at points 1 and 4. Therefore, these bright spots may be
25 the micro- or nanostructure of the laser pretreatment, and adhesion fracture could occur

1 at these locations.

2 ***Please place Figure 14 here***

3 Usually, cohesive fracture of the thermoset matrix occurs if the surface pretreatment is
4 suitable to allow enhanced adhesive bonding between the two joining parts. In this case,
5 the maximum transmissible force is achieved.^[25] Correlating the fracture surfaces with
6 the surface texturing (Figure 9 and Figure 10), it can be concluded that the effect of
7 mechanical interlocking on the micro-scale could be greatest for L1 pretreated specimens.
8 However, the bond strength of hybrid composites is not only dependent on mechanical
9 adhesion. From the previous shear edge results, it can be determined that the layer
10 thickness of the epoxy resin, or the stress distribution at the interface, also influences it.
11 In addition, other factors also influence the adhesion properties, such as the presence and
12 degree of nanostructures, which could affect the wetting and infiltration of the thermoset
13 matrix. The surface enlargement on the nano-scale and microstructure (such as crater
14 depth) could jointly influence the adhesion properties of hybrid samples and compensate
15 for each other, as derived in the work of Freund et al.^[26] Thus, the relatively lower height
16 of the microstructure in L2 could be compensated by a larger surface enlargement on the
17 nano-scale, resulting in the adhesion strength of the hybrid samples pretreated with laser
18 parameter 1 and 2 being at a similar level. On the other hand, it must be mentioned that
19 the values of the effective shear strength of L1 could also be influenced by the
20 interlaminar failure of the CFRP laminate (as mentioned before). Better adhesion could
21 be achieved with laser parameter 1 if the CFRP had a higher interlaminar shear strength,
22 which should be investigated in future work.

23 Moreover, the inherent cohesive strength of the adhesive, here the thermoset resin,
24 also significantly influences the bonding properties. If the inherent strength of the
25 polymer matrix is insufficient, the load can also not be transferred effectively from the

1 metal component to the fibres. When the surface pretreatment has optimally improved
2 the adhesive joint, the interfacial strength of the adhesive layer is the decisive factor for
3 the overall bond strength. Furthermore, the stiffness of the joint components also affects
4 the adhesion properties.^[27] Therefore, the mechanical properties of the CFRP laminate
5 were also investigated by a 3-point bending test. (section 3.4)

6 **3.3 Degree of cure**

7 The degree of cure of the resin system can be determined from the datasheet, but factors
8 such as the storage time of the prepreg can affect the degree of cure. Therefore, prior to
9 the 3-point bending test, DSC analysis was performed at the three temperatures (150°C,
10 160°C, and 180°C) to precisely determine the degree of cure as this can have an impact
11 on the quality of the laminate. The temperature programs of the isothermal measurement
12 (Heating_150°C, Heating_160°C, Heating_180°C) and the DSC curves (DSC_150°C,
13 DSC_160°C, DSC_180°C) of individual temperature are shown in Figure 15. The same
14 holding time, as well as the heating rate, have been used for all measurements.

15 ***Please place Figure 15 here***

16 The strong endothermic process at the beginning of each measurement is due to the rapid
17 heating of the specimen. Subsequently, all the curves return to the exothermic range, and
18 the curing reaction starts after a short time at all temperatures, with the reaction starting
19 earliest at 180°C. The curing reaction is almost complete after about 10min since no
20 further heat is released.

21 Although the DSC curve exhibits a distinct trajectory at each respective
22 temperature, the total reaction enthalpy at all three temperatures after 20 minutes is nearly
23 identical. At 150°C, it is approximately 128 J/g, whereas at 160°C and 180°C, it is around
24 121 J/g. The slight difference may be attributed to the prepreg being partially cured prior

1 to testing. Generally, the prepreg is stored in a refrigerator at -18°C . However, the resin
2 and hardener system can react at room temperature during delivery or test preparation.
3 On the other hand, complete curing is generally impossible since an exact stoichiometric
4 ratio between resin and hardener can never be practically achieved. Furthermore, the
5 mobility of the molecular chains decreases as the degree of cure increases, so complete
6 reformation is no longer possible, as described in^[28,29]. Therefore, the following “100%”
7 curing degree represents only a nearly complete curing reaction. The curing degree-time
8 diagram at the selected temperature is shown in Figure 16. The DSC analysis indicates
9 that the CFRP prepreg is 100% cured after 20min at all three temperatures, while at 180°C
10 after 2min, only about 66% curing can be achieved, and at 150°C after 5min, 78%.

11 ***Please place Figure 16 here***

12 ***3.4 Results 3-point bending test***

13 The test plan of the 3-point bending test is presented in Table 3. For each test series, five
14 specimens were tested. Moreover, the curing level after the pressing process of each
15 parameter set is also indicated in Table 3.

16 ***Please place Table 3 here***

17 The results of the shear edge tests indicate that 0.8MPa pressure and 180°C temperature
18 could lead to poorer adhesion at L3. Furthermore, thermal distortion in the hybrid
19 composite is also greater at higher temperature. Thus, these two parameters are no longer
20 considered. Instead, two more parameter combinations were examined, namely
21 150_0.3_20 (150°C , 0.3MPa, 20min) and 150_0.5_20 (150°C , 0.5MPa, 20min).
22 According to the DSC measurement, the degree of cure here is also 100%, the same as at
23 160°C , 20min. The aim is to lower the temperature and thus reduce the thermal residual
24 stresses in the hybrid composite. In addition, it can be determined whether the laminate

1 properties still depend on the temperature, although the degree of cure is the same.

2 ***Please place Figure 17 here***

3 Figure 17 shows the results of the 3-point bending tests. Compared to the shear edge test,
4 there is a clear tendency for the bending strength to be higher at 0.5MPa than at 0.3MPa
5 for all curing parameters. In order to find out whether these differences between the
6 different parameters (here, degree of cure and pressure) are statistically significant, the
7 bending test results were also evaluated with ANOVA analysis, and their effect diagrams
8 are shown in Figure 18 a) and b).

9 ***Please place Figure 18 here***

10 The ANOVA analysis (Figure 18a) underlines that a significantly higher bending strength
11 is obtained for specimens manufactured at 0.5MPa pressure than 0.3MPa (significance
12 value of 0.004). The density measurement also indicated that the sample density for the
13 parameter combination 160_0.3_20 was 1.5g/cm³, while that for 160_0.5_20 was
14 1.53g/cm³. It can be inferred from this observation that a better consolidation state can be
15 achieved with higher pressure. Because air and volatiles dissolved and trapped in the
16 matrix could be removed better at higher pressure.^[30,31] Apart from the pressure factor,
17 the degree of cure was investigated (Figure 18b). It can be determined that the bending
18 strength is strongly dependent on the degree of cure after the pressing process: at 78%
19 curing, the group mean value of the bending strength is only 1122 MPa, while for 100%
20 at 150°C consolidation temperature, it is 1223 MPa and 100% at 160°C it is 1257 MPa.
21 By having as complete a curing rim as possible, all the reactive groups of the resin and
22 hardener can form crosslinking points. Therefore, better stiffness and strength values can
23 also be achieved.^[32] Furthermore, the bending strength does not differ significantly at a
24 100% curing level, although the temperature is different.

1 3.5 Discussion and comparison with other studies

2 In this study, hybrid specimens out of a laser-pretreated aluminium sheet of alloy EN AW
3 6082 in T6 condition and CFRP prepreg were produced using the prepreg pressing
4 process, and their adhesive properties were examined using the novel shear edge test. In
5 summary, it can be determined that the adhesive properties of such hybrid specimens can
6 be significantly improved by laser pretreatment. Zinn et al.^[33] also examined the adhesive
7 properties of hybrid specimens out of a laser-pretreated aluminium sheet (EN AW 6082)
8 and CFRP using VRTM. The best effective shear strength of 31MPa was achieved, which
9 was also tested with the shear edge method. Although the effective shear strength is
10 higher than the value in this study, the manufacturing time of VRTM is relatively longer.
11 The process takes a total of 45 minutes. In addition, a preheating of the epoxy resin system
12 was required, which makes the process inefficient compared to the prepreg pressing
13 process.

14 Additionally, it should be mentioned that the test specimens have different layer
15 configurations. While the test specimens in this study have a unidirectional layer structure
16 and the force introduction direction is vertical to the fibre direction (Figure 4b), the CFRP
17 laminate in the study of Zinn et al.^[33] has a layer configuration of $0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ/90^\circ$.
18 Therefore, the force introduction direction parallels the fibre direction in the first CFRP
19 layer, which could also affect the failure behaviour. The results from Zinn et al. also
20 showed that cohesive failure between the 0° and 90° layer mainly occurs in the laser-
21 pretreated samples, which could be attributed to the lower stiffness of the 90° layer. The
22 same applies to the results obtained in this study. Due to the comparatively less loadable
23 90° fibre direction (oriented perpendicular to the direction of force) at the interface, the
24 samples with laser parameter 1 failed cohesively, which was not the case for L2 and L3,
25 although the manufacturing parameters and the layer structure were the same. It can be

1 concluded that the adhesive properties of L1 probably exceed the interlaminar shear
2 strength of the pure CFRP laminate, which should be further investigated in the future,
3 e.g., by applying a shear edge test to pure CFRP laminates.

4 In addition, Lohr et al. also investigated the adhesion properties of hybrid joints
5 using the shear edge test. It is a sandwich structure with steel face layers and a core of
6 polylactide. The steel sheets were both laser-pretreated and nano-coated to improve
7 adhesion. The sandwich structure was manufactured using the injection molding process.
8 The results of the shear edge test showed that the effective shear strength of the nano-
9 coated samples is generally little affected by the process parameters, such as the steel
10 sheet temperature at injection. The maximum effective shear strength was reached at
11 about 20MPa. In contrast, the effective shear strength of laser-pretreated samples was
12 higher (25MPa) but showed more dependence on the process parameter.^[34] In the context
13 of this work, the laser parameters also partly show a strong dependence on the
14 manufacturing process. This is especially true for L3, which is sensitive to temperature
15 and pressure compared to the other parameters. The effective shear strength at 180 °C
16 and 0.8 MPa was only about 6 MPa, while the other parameter combinations show a much
17 higher value. Furthermore, a maximum effective shear strength of 20.81 MPa was
18 achieved in this work. (180°C, 0.3 MPa, 2min with laser parameter 2), which is
19 comparable to the results of other research.

20 Moreover, to enhance the corrosive properties of hybrid joints, Stoll et al.^[35] have
21 used an elastomer interlayer in a Fibre-Metal-Laminate (FML), which has CFRP as face
22 layers and an aluminium sheet of alloy 2024 as a core. The samples produced with an
23 elastomer layer show almost the same effective shear strength after 96h storage in the salt
24 spray chamber (approx. 8-10MPa). In contrast, the adhesion properties of the reference
25 samples (FML without elastomer interlayer) deteriorated significantly. However, the

1 initial effective shear strength of the interlayered samples (approx. 8MPa) is lower than
2 the FML samples (close to 20MPa). Thus, laser pretreatment could also be used as an
3 alternative method to achieve good adhesion both in the initial state and after aging. The
4 results from preliminary tests with aluminium/epoxy joints with the same laser parameter
5 and materials have indicated that the samples pretreated with the selected laser parameters
6 (laser parameters 1-3) show a significantly lower decrease in the effective shear strength
7 (2-4%) after ageing.^[26] Therefore, in the future, further corrosion tests could be carried
8 out on the CFRP/Al samples to further investigate the influence of laser pretreatment on
9 the corrosion properties of hybrid joints.

10 Furthermore, Li et al.^[36] investigated the adhesion properties of hybrid samples
11 made of aluminium and CFRP. In doing so, the surface of CFRP was pretreated using an
12 infrared fibre laser, and various groove parameters (depth, spacing) were examined.
13 Instead of using the epoxy resin in the CFRP directly as an adhesive (as in this study), a
14 two-component epoxy structural adhesive was used. The samples were cured in an oven
15 at 80°C for 90 minutes and then at room temperature for 7 days to cure the adhesive. The
16 effective shear strength was then tested using the tensile shear test. Both the depth and
17 spacing affected the effective shear strength, with a maximum of about 11.6MPa
18 achieved.

19 In comparison, the hybrid samples produced in this study with a more efficient
20 manufacturing process achieved significantly better adhesion properties. However, it
21 must be noted that the test method in Li et al.^[36] was the tensile shear test. A lot of
22 literature has compared, for example, the tensile shear-, short beam- and the shear edge
23 test. For the tensile shear test, bending moments and peel stress will appear, and the stress
24 concentration at the free edge is very high.^[37] For example, Zinn et al. have investigated
25 the adhesion properties of hybrid specimens made of steel and CFRP prepreg with

1 different test methods. The effective shear strength determined by the tensile shear
2 method^[12] (about 20MPa) is significantly lower than the results of the shear edge
3 method^[33] (about 45MPa), even though the manufacturing process, materials, interface
4 layer, and laser parameters were the same. Moreover, the short beam test could only
5 deliver the apparent shear stress, and the failure behaviour strongly influences the results.
6 In addition, large stress concentration is also found at load nose and support. Compared
7 to the tensile shear- and short beam test, the stress distribution in the shear edge test is
8 more uniform, and a nearly 100% shear load is created in the boundary layer.^[38,39] That
9 is also why this method was chosen in this work. But it should be mentioned that even
10 though the tensile/peel stresses are much smaller than the tensile shear test, small stress
11 concentration can still be found on the free edge. These stress concentrations could lead
12 to an earlier failure of the CFRP laminate, which is the situation of the samples produced
13 with laser parameters 1 and 2. Thus, it could be concluded that the adhesion properties of
14 L1 and L2 manufactured samples could be better than that of L3 so that the effect of stress
15 concentration and the peel stress at the free edge is stronger, which leads to the cohesive
16 failure of the CFRP laminate. Moreover, the defects in the CFRP laminate, such as pores,
17 could also lead to a stress concentration during the test.

18 Apart from the test method, the stress concentration at the free end is also stronger
19 with a thicker adhesive layer.^[40] However, for the hybrid joint in this study, no other
20 adhesive film was used; the epoxy resin of the CFRP acts directly as an adhesive, and the
21 thickness of the adhesive layer is very low, so the stress concentration at the free edge of
22 the specimen will not be intense. Thus, it can be concluded that the shear edge method
23 used in this study can provide reliable results for determining the shear behaviour of
24 hybrid specimens.

1 **4. Summary and outlook**

2 In this study, a parameter investigation was carried out to manufacture a hybrid composite
3 intrinsically by prepreg pressing and prior laser surface treatment of the metal. The hybrid
4 composite consists of an aluminium sheet (EN AW 6082 T6) and CFRP prepreg with
5 epoxy resin as a matrix system. Furthermore, the shear edge method and 3-point bending
6 test were used to characterize the adhesion and mechanical properties. Finally, the results
7 were evaluated by the ANOVA method. The main findings can be summarized as
8 follows:

- 9 • The most energy-efficient processing route within the scope of this study that
10 leads to optimal properties of the hybrid material was found to occur at a
11 temperature of 150°C and a pressure of 0.5MPa in a 20min cycle, achieving a
12 curing degree of 100%. These manufacturing conditions provided a compromise
13 in terms of the mechanical strength of the CFRP, adhesive strength of the hybrid
14 material, thermal residual stress, and energy- and cost efficiency. Further
15 investigations with other process times, such as 10 or 15 minutes, can be
16 conducted to make the process more efficient.
- 17 • The effective shear strength of the specimens pretreated with L3 is significantly
18 worse at elevated temperature and pressure. The reason for this could be the lower
19 height of the micro-structures on the aluminium surfaces. The adhesive strength
20 of L1 is not significantly higher than that of L2, although the microstructure of L1
21 is significantly higher. This could be due to the fact that the nanostructure and
22 surface enlargement on the nano-scale in L2 is greater than that in L1 so that
23 relatively lower mechanical adhesion in L2 can be compensated for accordingly.
- 24 • The pressure increase results in a lower fibre volume fraction: at 0.8MPa, it is
25 67%, 47% at 0.5MPa and 42% at 0.3MPa, indicating a strong resin loss at higher

1 pressure. Within the scope of this work, the epoxy resin serves directly as an
2 adhesive in the prepreg pressing process. Thus, if the amount of resin is low, the
3 bonding effect is influenced adversely.

- 4 • The results from 3-point bending tests show that the bending strength was higher
5 at 0.5MPa than at 0.3MPa, both for specimens manufactured with curing degrees
6 of 78% and 100%. The density measurement also showed that the specimen
7 density at 0.5MPa (1,53g/cm³) is greater than at 0.3MPa (1,5g/cm³). Therefore, a
8 better consolidation state of the CFRP laminate and better removal of air and
9 volatiles dissolved in the matrix can be achieved at higher pressure.

10 While these studies underline the feasibility of manufacturing aluminium-CFRP hybrid
11 materials in a single forming and consolidation step, further investigations of the bonding
12 properties under different mechanical and environmental loads will be needed. In
13 particular, galvanic corrosion properties, as well as fatigue behaviour, will need to be
14 studied. Furthermore, hybrid components with complex geometry can also be
15 manufactured with prepreg pressing process. How this forming process then affects the
16 joint properties and surface structuring remains an open question, and further studies will
17 be necessary to answer this question.

18 **Acknowledgements**

19 The research project is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German
20 Research Foundation) – Project number 426499947 - “Energy-efficient manufacturing
21 and mechanism-based corrosion fatigue characterization of laser-structured hybrid
22 structures“ (HA 5600/5-1, TR 373/9-1, WA 1672/65-1).

23 **Disclosure statement**

24 The authors report that there are no competing interests to declare.

1 **References**

- 2 [1] Bader, B., Türck, E., Vietor, T. Multi Material Design. A current overview of
3 the used potential in automotive industries. *Technologies for economical and*
4 *functional lightweight design* **2019**. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-58206-0.
- 5 [2] Presno, M. J.; Landajo, M.; González, P. F. GHG emissions in the EU-28. A
6 multilevel club convergence study of the Emission Trading System and Effort
7 Sharing Decision mechanisms. *Sustainable Production and Consumption* **2021**,
8 27, 998-1009. DOI: 10.1016/j.spc.2021.02.032.
- 9 [3] Schuhmann, D.; Rockinger, C.; Merkel, M.; Harrison, D.K. A Study on Additive
10 Manufacturing for Electromobility. *World Electric Vehicle Journal* **2022**, 154.
11 13(8), 154. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/wevj13080154>.
- 12 [4] Ireland, R.; Arronche, L.; Saponara, V. L. Electrochemical investigation of
13 galvanic corrosion between aluminum 7075 and glass fibre/epoxy composites
14 modified with carbon nanotubes. *Composites Part B: Engineering* **2012**, 43,
15 183–194. DOI: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2011.08.001.
- 16 [5] Sandeep, R.; Natarajan, A. Advances in joining technologies for the innovation
17 of 21 st century lightweight aluminium-CFRP hybrid structures. *Proceedings of*
18 *the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part E: Journal of Process Mechanical*
19 *Engineering* **2022**, 236, 1239–1255. DOI:
20 <https://doi.org/10.1177/09544089211073027>.
- 21 [6] Pramanik, A.; Basak, A. K.; Dong, Y.; Sarker, P. K.; Uddin, M. S.; Littlefair,
22 G.; Dixit, A. R.; Chattopadhyaya, S. Joining of carbon fibre reinforced polymer
23 (CFRP) composites and aluminium alloys – A review. *Composites Part A:*
24 *Applied Science and Manufacturing* **2017**, 101, 1–29. DOI:
25 10.1016/j.compositesa.2017.06.007.
- 26 [7] Machado, J.J.M-; Nunes, P.D.P.; Marques, E.A.S.; da Silva, L.F.M. Adhesive
27 joints using aluminium and CFRP substrates tested at low and high temperatures
28 under quasi-static and impact conditions for the automotive industry.
29 *Composites Part B: Engineering* **2019**, 158, 102–116. DOI:
30 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.09.067>.
- 31 [8] Huang, Z.; Sugiyama, S.; Yanagimoto, J. Hybrid joining process for carbon fiber
32 reinforced thermosetting plastic and metallic thin sheets by chemical bonding

- 1 and plastic deformation. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* **2013**, 213,
2 1864–1874. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2013.04.015>.
- 3 [9] Lionetto, F.; Mele, C.; Leo, P.; D'Ostuni, S.; Balle, F.; Maffezzoli, A. Ultrasonic
4 spot welding of carbon fiber reinforced epoxy composites to aluminum:
5 mechanical and electrochemical characterization. *Composites Part B:
6 Engineering* **2018**, 134–142. DOI:
7 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.02.026>.
- 8 [10] Li, Y.; Meng, S.; Gong, Q.; Huang, Y.; Gan, J.; Zhao, M.; Liu, B.; Liu, L.; Zou,
9 G.; Zhuang, D. Experimental and Theoretical Investigation of Laser
10 Pretreatment on Strengthening the Heterojunction between Carbon Fiber-
11 Reinforced Plastic and Aluminum Alloy. *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*
12 *Mater* **2019**, 11, 22005–22014. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b04080>.
- 13 [11] Wunderling, C.; Mayr, L.; Meyer, S. P.; Zaeh, M. F. Laser-based surface pre-
14 treatment for metal-plastic hybrids using a new process strategy. *Journal of
15 Materials Processing Technology* **2020**, 282, 116675. DOI:
16 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2020.116675>.
- 17 [12] Zinn, C.; Bobbert, M.; Dammann, C.; Wang, Z.; Tröster, T.; Mahnken, R.;
18 Meschut, G.; Schaper, M. Shear strength and failure behaviour of laser nano-
19 structured and conventionally pre-treated interfaces in intrinsically manufactured
20 CFRP-steel hybrids. *Composites Part B: Engineering* **2018**, 151, 173–185. DOI:
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.05.030>.
- 22 [13] Heckert, André; Zaeh, Michael F. Laser Surface Pre-treatment of Aluminium for
23 Hybrid Joints with Glass Fibre Reinforced Thermoplastics. *Physics Procedia*
24 **2014**, 56, 1171–1181. DOI: [10.1016/j.phpro.2014.08.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2014.08.032).
- 25 [14] Schanz, J.; Meinhard, D.; Dostal, I.; Riegel, H.; de Silva, A.K.M.; Harrison,
26 D.K.; Knoblauch, V. Comprehensive study on the influence of different
27 pretreatment methods and structural adhesives on the shear strength of hybrid
28 CFRP/aluminum joints. *The Journal of Adhesion* **2022**, 98, 1772–1800.
29 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00218464.2021.1938004>.
- 30 [15] Rechner, Rico; Jansen, Irene; Beyer, Eckhard. Influence on the strength and
31 aging resistance of aluminium joints by laser pre-treatment and surface
32 modification. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives* **2010**, 30, 595–
33 601. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijadhadh.2010.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijadhadh.2010.05.009).

- 1 [16] Lauter, C; Niewel, J; Troester, T. Quasistatic and crash tests of steel-CFRP
2 hybrid pillar structures for automotive applications. *International Journal of*
3 *Automotive Composites* **2014**, 1, 52-66. DOI:
4 <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJAUTO.2014.064127>.
- 5 [17] Lengsfeld, R.; Wolff-Fabris, F.; Krämer, J.; Lacalle, J.; Altstädt, V.
6 *Faserverbundwerkstoffe: Prepregs und ihre Verarbeitung*; pp 14. Hanser:
7 München, Germany, 2015.
- 8 [18] Lauter, C; Reuter, C; Wu, S; Troester, T. Large-Scale Production of High-
9 Performance Fiber-Metal-Laminates By Prepreg-Press-Technology.
10 *International Journal of Mechanical, Aerospace, Industrial, Mechatronic and*
11 *Manufacturing Engineering* **2016**, 10, 875-880. DOI:
12 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1124481>.
- 13 [19] Weidenmann, Kay André; Baumgärtner, Lisa; Haspel, Benedikt. The Edge
14 Shear Test - An Alternative Testing Method for the Determination of the
15 Interlaminar Shear Strength in Composite Materials. *Materials Science Forum*
16 **2015**, 825-826, 806–813. DOI: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.825-826.806.
- 17 [20] Weidenmann, K.A; Haspel, B; Baumgärtner, L. Device and method for
18 determining the shearing resistance and the shearing modulus of composite
19 materials. EP3073244B1, October 03, 2018.
- 20 [21] Larson, M.G. Analysis of variance. *Circulation* **2008**, 117, 115–121.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.654335>.
- 22 [22] Kiuna, N.; Lawrence, C.J.; Fontana, Q.P.V. ; Lee, P.D.; Selerland, T.; Spelt,
23 P.D.M. A model for resin viscosity during cure in the resin transfer moulding
24 process. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* **2002**, 33,
25 1497–1503. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X\(02\)00177-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X(02)00177-X).
- 26 [23] Ra, S.H.; Lee, H. Nano Sized Patterning on the Thermoset Materials Using
27 Thermal Curing Nano-Imprinting Technology. *Solid State Phenomena* **2007**,
28 121-123, 681–684. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.121-](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.121-123.681)
29 123.681.
- 30 [24] Yang, S; Xu, W; Liang, L; Wang, T; Wei, Y. An experimental study on the
31 dependence of the strength of adhesively bonded joints with thickness and
32 mechanical properties of the adhesives. *Journal of Adhesion Science and*
33 *Technology* **2014**, 28, 1055–1071. DOI:
34 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01694243.2014.884753>.

- 1 [25] Singh, Y.; Kumar, J.; Singh, I.; Rakesh, P.K. Joining behavior of natural fiber
2 reinforced polymer composites. *Joining Processes for Dissimilar and Advanced*
3 *Materials*; Woodhead Publishing, pp. 33–63, 2022.
- 4 [26] Freund, J; Löbbbecke, M; Delp, A; Walther, F; Wu, S; Tröster, T; Haubrich, J.
5 Relationship between laser-generated micro- and nanostructures and the long-
6 term stability of bonded epoxy-aluminum joints. *The Journal of Adhesion* **2023**,
7 1–31. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00218464.2023.2223475>.
- 8 [27] Reis, P.N.B.; Ferreira, J.A.M.; Antunes, F. Effect of adherend's rigidity on the
9 shear strength of single lap adhesive joints. *International Journal of Adhesion*
10 *and Adhesives* **2011**, 31, 193–201. DOI:
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijadhadh.2010.12.003>.
- 12 [28] Lang, M.; Hirner, S.; Wiesbrock, F.; Fuchs, P. A Review on Modeling Cure
13 Kinetics and Mechanisms of Photopolymerization. *Polymers* 2022, 14(10),
14 2074. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14102074>.
- 15 [29] Woehrmann, M.; Toepper, M. Polymerization of Thin Film Polymers, in: A. de
16 Souza Gomes (Ed.), *New Polymers for Special Applications*, 2012.
17 DOI:10.5772/48205.
- 18 [30] Grunenfelder, L.K.; Nutt, S.R. Void formation in composite prepregs – Effect of
19 dissolved moisture. *Composites Science and Technology* **2010** 70, 2304–2309.
20 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2010.09.009>.
- 21 [31] Liu, L; Zhang, B.-M.; Wang, D.-F.; Wu, Z.-J. Effects of cure cycles on void
22 content and mechanical properties of composite laminates. *Composite Structures*
23 **2006**, 303–309. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2005.02.001>.
- 24 [32] Aldridge, M.; Wineman, A.; Waas, A.; Kieffer, J. In Situ Analysis of the
25 Relationship between Cure Kinetics and the Mechanical Modulus of an Epoxy
26 Resin. *Macromolecules* **2014**, 47, 8368–8376. DOI:
27 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ma501441c>.
- 28 [33] Weidenmann, K.A.; Pottmeyer, F; Wang, Z; Troester, T; Meiners, D; Zinn, C;
29 Schaper, M. Gonzalez, J.S. Shear edge tests: a benchmark in investigating the
30 influence of different surface pretreatment methods on the shear stress of
31 intrinsically manufactured metal-CFRP hybrids. *International Journal of*
32 *Automotive Composites* **2016**, 2, 244. DOI:
33 <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJAUTO.2016.10005303>.

- 1 [34] Lohr, C.; Muth, M.; Dreher, R.; Zinn, C.; Elsner, P.; Weidenmann, K.A.
2 Polymer-Steel-Sandwich-Structures: Influence of Process Parameters on the
3 Composite Strength. *Key Engineering Materials* **2019**, 809, 266–273. DOI:
4 <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.809.266>.
- 5 [35] Stoll, M.M.; Sessner, V.; Kramar, M.; Technau, J.; Weidenmann, K.A. The
6 effect of an elastomer interlayer thickness variation on the mechanical properties
7 of Fiber-Metal-Laminates. *Composite Structures* **2019**, 219, 90–96. DOI:
8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.03.042>.
- 9 [36] Li, H; Zhu, Y; Meng, X; Li, S; Du, W; Qin, X. Effect of laser generated
10 microgrooves geometric parameters on the shear strength of CFRP-Aluminium
11 alloy adhesive joints. *The Journal of Adhesion* **2023**, 99, 1744–1767. DOI:
12 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00218464.2022.2158731>.
- 13 [37] Redmann, A; Damodaran, V; Tischer, F; Prabhakar, P; Osswald, T.A.
14 Evaluation of Single-Lap and Block Shear Test Methods in Adhesively Bonded
15 Composite Joints. *Journal of Composite Science* **2021**, 5, 27. DOI:
16 <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs5010027>.
- 17 [38] Behnisch, F; Rosenberg, P; Weidenmann, K. A; Henning, F. Investigation of the
18 matrix influence on the laminate properties of epoxy- and polyurethane-based
19 CFRPs manufactured with HP-RTM-process. *AIP conference Proceedings* **2017**.
20 DOI: 10.1063/1.5016789.
- 21 [39] Rosselli, F; Santare, M.H. Comparison of the short beam shear (SBS) and
22 interlaminar shear device (ISD) tests. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and*
23 *Manufacturing* **1997**, 28, 587–594. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X(97)00009-2)
24 [835X\(97\)00009-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X(97)00009-2).
- 25 [40] Davies, P; Sohier, L; Cognard, J.-Y.; Bourmaud, A; Choqueuse, D; Rinnert, E;
26 Créac’hacdec, R. Influence of adhesive bond line thickness on joint strength.
27 *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives* **2009**, 29, 724–736. DOI:
28 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijadhadh.2009.03.002>.

29

30

31

32

1 Tables

2 Table.1: Parameter of laser pretreatment

Parameter	Frequency [kHz]	Power [W]	Overlap Laserspots [%]	Number of crossing
L1	60	20	10	5
L2	40	20	50	1
L3	60	15	50	1

3 Table 2: Experimental plan of shear edge tests

Test series	Laser parameters	Temperature [°C]	Pressure [MPa]	Time [min]
1	L1/L2/L3	180	0.3	2
2	L1/L2/L3	180	0.5	2
3	L1/L2/L3	180	0.8	2
4	L1/L2/L3	150	0.3	5
5	L1/L2/L3	150	0.5	5
6	L1/L2/L3	150	0.8	5
7	L1/L2/L3	160	0.3	20
8	L1/L2/L3	160	0.5	20
9	L1/L2/L3	160	0.8	20

4 Table.3: Experimental plan of 3-point bending tests

Test series	Temperature [°C]	Pressure [MPa]	Time [min]	Degree of cure [%]
1	150	0.3	5	78
2	150	0.5	5	78
3	150	0.3	20	100
4	150	0.5	20	100
5	160	0.3	20	100
6	160	0.5	20	100

1 **Figure captions**

2 Figure 1: Scanning electron microscope (SEM) recordings of the surface structure of
3 laser parameters, a) L1, b) L2 and c) L3

4 Figure 2: Geometry of the specimen plate for shear edge test

5 Figure 3: Pressing tool used for the manufacturing of the specimen plate. 1: Adapter
6 plate, 2: Die, 3: Sealing frame, 4: Punch, 5: M8 screw, 6: Thermocouple

7 Figure 4: a) Testing device for shear edge test. 1: specimen holder aluminium side, 2:
8 CFRP part, 3: aluminium part (2mm), 4: clamping screw CFRP part, 5: shear edge
9 (shown in transparent), 6: clamping screw aluminium part, 7: upper pressing plate
10 (shown in transparent); b) shows the force introduction direction

11 Figure.5: 3-point bending test setup, 1: Pressure pin, 2: Support, 3: Specimen

12 Figure. 6: Results of shear edge test. The red error bars describe deviations between
13 each of the specimens of a set of the test series

14 Figure.7: Interaction diagram of pressure and laser parameters

15 Figure. 8: Interaction diagram of temperature and laser parameters

16 Figure.9: Cross-section SEM images of wetting and bonding of the resin to the
17 aluminium surfaces after structuring with laser parameters (a) L1, (b) L2 and (c) L3

18 Figure.10: Illustration of the boundary layer connection of specimen 150_0.3_5 as well
19 as the layer thickness at L1 by means of light microscopy, surface pretreated with (a)
20 L1, (b) L2 and (c) L3

21 Figure 11: Fracture surfaces after shear edge testing of specimen 150_0.3_5, surface
22 pretreated with (a) L1, (b) L2 and (c) L3

23 Figure.12: SEM images of the fracture surface after shear edge testing of specimen
24 150_0.3_5, surface pretreated with (a) L1, (b) L2 and (c) L3

25 Figure.13: Measuring points of the EDX analysis for the 150_0.3_5 specimen pretreated
26 with L2 (a), (c) and L3 (b), (d).

- 1 Figure 14: EDX spectrum of the measuring points
- 2 Figure.15: Heat flux-time curve of DSC-analysis of CFRP prepreg with different
- 3 temperature programs
- 4 Figure.16: Degree of cure at different temperatures
- 5 Figure.17: Results of 3-point bending test
- 6 Figure.18: Interaction diagram of 3-point bending test, a) factor pressure, b) factor
- 7 degree of cure