# Rail surface defect detection and severity analysis using CNNs on camera and axle box acceleration data

Kanwal Jahan, Alexander Lähns, <u>Benjamin Baasch</u>, Judith Heusel, Michael Roth German Aerospace Center (DLR) - Institute of Transportation Systems









#### **Motivation**

- Infrastructure accounts for approximately one-third of the railway's operating costs.
- Significant amount is related to labor-intensive maintenance.



 Ad hoc interventions are needed when faults occur → costly and disruptive  Inspection is carried out by dedicated inspection cars or by track workers (foot patrols)





 Manual assessment of inspection data is time-consuming and prone to human errors.









- Reduction of maintenance costs through digitalization and automation
- LCC reduction through condition-based maintenance of railway assets and continuous improvement of components/maintenance schedules
- Operational reliability increase (less service disruption)
- Using vehicle-borne sensor data for fast and seamless infrastructure monitoring (use of in-service vehicles where possible)

Automatic anomaly detection and diagnosis algorithms to allow discovery of issues in a faster way



### **Rail Surface Defects**

- Head checks
  - Initially small and fine cracks
  - Grow down into the rail
- Squats:
  - horizontal crack below the rail surface
  - produce surface depression and widening of the running band

Both types of defects can lead to spalling or rail break.



R. Lewis and U. Olofsson, Eds., *Wheel-rail interface handbook*. Boca Raton, Oxford: CRC Press; Woodhead Pub., 2009.



- Corrugation:
  - Quasi-periodic irregularity that appears on the running surface of rails



# SIM - Switch Inspection & Measurement Wagon (Eurailscout)



- Multiple line-scan cameras provide multi-view video stream of the rails.
- Three-component (x, y, z) axle box accelerometers (ABA) on each side of the axle measure the dynamic vehicletrack interaction.













#### **Multi-Sensor Classification Approach**



# Annotated Rail Surface Defects



- a) Head check
- b) Stud
- c) Corrugation
- d) Others
- e) Heavy Squat
- f) Medium Squat
- g) Mild Squat





## **ABA Classifier**



- Goal: Classification of defects' severity level [intact, low, medium, high]
- Pre-processing:
  - Time series is divided in windows of 1,000 samples.
  - Labels are extracted from image bounding boxes and assigned to each of the windows.
  - Data that contain welds and joints are excluded.





# Fully convolutional network for ABA based detection and severity classification

- Two (or more) consecutive Networks
  - 1. Binary classification for defect detection
  - 2. Severity level classification of detected defects





# **ABA Classifier - Defect Detection Results**



Score	Intact	Defect
<b>Recall</b> TP/(TP+FN)	76 %	84 %
<b>Precision</b> TP/(TP+FP)	82 %	78 %
<b>FDR</b> FP/(FP+TP)	18 %	22 %
<b>F1-Score</b> 2TP/(2TP+FP+FN)	79 %	81 %

- 84 % of labelled defects detected
- 18 % of the detected defects are false alarms







Score	Intact	Low	Medium	High
<b>Recall</b> TP/(TP+FN)	76 %	69 %	82 %	55 %
<b>Precision</b> TP/(TP+FP)	82 %	74 %	64 %	21 %
<b>FDR</b> FP/(FP+TP)	18 %	26 %	36 %	79 %
<b>F1-Score</b> 2TP/(2TP +FP+FN)	79 %	71 %	72 %	31 %

82 % detected defects are classified correctly in terms of severity level







### **Architecture of RetinaNet**





- ResNet-50 as bottom-up pathway
- Feature Pyramid Network used for top-down pathway
- Transfer Learning  $\rightarrow$  Weights pretrained on COCO data set



### **Classification Results for Squats**

- 71 % of labelled squats detected
- 24 % of squat detections are false alarms
- Some false alarms indicate misslabelled true defects.













# **Classification Results for Corrugation**



- The width-to-height ratio of all the labels is brought to 1:2 for the image classifier.
- 67 % of labelled corrugation detected
- High number of missed corrugation is related to subdivision of instances into multiple labels





No false alarms encountered





- Independent CNN-based classifiers for image and ABA data have been trained and tested on manually labelled data.
- Considering the small amount of labelled data, a good performance for both classifier could be achieved.
- Enhancing the available labeled data and performing iterative training of the network is expected to improve performance significantly.
- Detection of overlooked defects shows potential of using neural networks to produce sudo labels instead of only relying on manual data inspection.
- In the future, a single network fusing both data sets shall be trained and evaluated.

