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Telephone survey on the acceptance of civil drones in Germany (2018)

- **Survey method**
  Computer-assisted telephone interviews (CATI)

- **Implementation:**
  infas GmbH (Bonn, Germany)

- **Number of cases:**
  \( n = 832 \)

- **Average duration per interview:**
  18 minutes

- **Sample:**
  Drawn using a random digital dial design with landline and mobile phones
  (representative for the German population by weighting)
Telephone survey on the acceptance of civil drones in Germany (2018)
General attitude towards civil drones

- rather positive: 53%
- rather negative: 9%
- undecided / answer refused: 38%

Attitude differed between subgroups, e.g. according to:
- Gender
- Age
- Knowledge about drones
- Experience (having already flown a drone oneself)
- Interest in modern technology
Telephone survey on the acceptance of civil drones in Germany (2018)
Envisioned own usage of civil drones

- **…for police and fire service**
  - Totally agree: 7%
  - Rather agree: 9%
  - Rather disagree: 32%
  - Totally disagree: 51%
  - Undecided / answer refused: 0%

- **…for first aid**
  - Totally agree: 12%
  - Rather agree: 10%
  - Rather disagree: 28%
  - Totally disagree: 48%
  - Undecided / answer refused: 0%

- **…for parcel delivery**
  - Totally agree: 43%
  - Rather agree: 24%
  - Rather disagree: 18%
  - Totally disagree: 15%
  - Undecided / answer refused: 0%

- **…for leisure time activities**
  - Totally agree: 43%
  - Rather agree: 28%
  - Rather disagree: 16%
  - Totally disagree: 12%
  - Undecided / answer refused: 0%

- **…as unmanned air taxi**
  - Totally agree: 52%
  - Rather agree: 30%
  - Rather disagree: 9%
  - Totally disagree: 8%
  - Undecided / answer refused: 0%
Telephone survey on the acceptance of civil drones in Germany (2018)
Areas of concern about civil drones

- Crime and misuse: 89%
- Violation of privacy: 84%
- Liability and insurance: 76%
- Damages and injuries: 75%
- Traffic safety: 74%
- Animal welfare: 71%
- Noise: 52%

Displayed = „rather concerned“
Telephone survey on the acceptance of civil drones in Germany (2018)
Areas of concern about civil drones

**But:**

- Noise concerns tended to occur more frequently among those who had already heard a drone ($\chi^2[1] = 3.29, p = .07$)

- Chi-square automatic interaction detection (CHAID):
  Noise concerns explained the general attitude towards civil drones best among all seven assessed concerns ($\chi^2[2] = 38.6, p < .001$)
Sub task ‘acceptance’ of DLR’s ‘HorizonUAM’ project

- Work package: Representative survey
- Work package: Participation & sustainability
- Work package: Comfort & interaction
- Work package: Perception by passers-by
- Work package: Accompanying research

DLR Institutes of Aerospace Medicine & Flight Guidance
Sub task ‘acceptance’ of DLR’s ‘HorizonUAM’ project

Representative survey

**Approach**
- Telephone survey on the acceptance of civil drones in Germany (planned $n = 1000$)
- External market/social research institute → computer-assisted telephone interviews (CATI)
- Focus on noise related aspects, air taxis, and potential changes in opinion (vs. 2018)

**Preparatory workshop**
- Held in 12/2020 with experts in the field of drone acceptance
- Participants from DLR & several German research institutes and city authorities

**Supplementary analyses**
- Of the data from 2018 → conference papers (End et al., 2021, ICBEN; Eißfeldt & End, 2021, Inter-Noise)

**Current status**
- First draft of the questionnaire has been created
Sub task ‘acceptance’ of DLR’s ‘HorizonUAM’ project
Participation & sustainability

Approach (Eißfeldt, 2020, Sustainability)
• Developing a smartphone app with three features:
  – Graphical representation of UAM flight track data
  – (Objective) UAM noise measurements
  – (Subjective) UAM noise assessments
• External IT service provider for programming
• Testing the app at DLR’s National Experimental Test Center for UAS in Cochstedt

Benefit
• Opportunity for adapting flight routes/profiles such that UAM noise can be distributed as fair as possible among residents

Current status
• First draft of app and its functions has been created
Sub task ‘acceptance’ of DLR’s ‘HorizonUAM’ project

Comfort & interaction

**Approach**
- Determining the perspective of passengers experiencing a virtual flight with an air taxi
- Focus on examining wellbeing and interaction depending on presence/absence of pilot on board, different amounts of available information, and flight route rescheduling after take off
- Airport shuttle use case (Hamburg city center → Hamburg airport)

**Technical setup**
- Combination of UAM cabin simulator, mixed reality visual system, and 6DoF motion platform

**Current status**
- Study has been conceptualized (incl. experimental design & flight scenarios)
- Virtual simulation environment is currently being set up
Sub task ‘acceptance’ of DLR’s ‘HorizonUAM’ project
Perception by passers-by

Approach
• Determining the perspective of passers-by experiencing UAVs virtually flying above the city of Braunschweig (incl. an air taxi landing)
• Exp. factors: flight levels, visual density, and presence of UAM sound
(for a similar approach at NLR, see Aalmoes & Sieben, 2021, DICUAM)

Technical setup
• Integration of UAVs into 360° video of an urban scene from Braunschweig presented to participants in VR from the pedestrians’ point of view

Current status
• Data collection has been completed
• Data are currently being analyzed

→ For details and results, see talk by Maria Stolz
Sub task ‘acceptance’ of DLR’s ‘HorizonUAM’ project

Accompanying research

**Approach**

- Carrying out accompanying research with respect to acceptance / human factors at the request of the project partners
- Evaluation of specific concepts developed in the current project by citizens in the context of workshops and online surveys

**Completed work**

- Workshops on cabin design were assisted / held in 12/2020 (user-centered design approach)  (see upcoming conference paper, Stolz et al., 2021, DASC)

**Current status**

- Online survey with respect to cabin designs is currently being conducted
Thank you for your attention!