Original Article

Novel ceramic matrix composites with tungsten and molybdenum fiber reinforcement

Bernd Mainzer\textsuperscript{a}, Chaorong Lin\textsuperscript{b,c}, Martin Frieß\textsuperscript{a}, Ralf Riedel\textsuperscript{b,c}, Johann Riesch\textsuperscript{d}, Alexander Feichtmayer\textsuperscript{d,e}, Maximilian Fuhr\textsuperscript{d,f}, Jürgen Almanstötter\textsuperscript{g}, Dietmar Koch\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a} Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V., Institut für Bautechnik und Strukturtechnologie, Paffenwaldring 38-40, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany
\textsuperscript{b} Technische Universität München, Fakultät für Maschinenwesen, Boltzmannstr. 15, 85748 Garching, Germany
\textsuperscript{c} Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Department Werkstoffwissenschaften, Faculty of Engineering, Martensstr. 5, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
\textsuperscript{d} OSRAM GmbH, AM Development Metal (AM MFPD PRE-PLM DMET), Mittelstetter Weg 2, 86830 Schwabmünchen, Germany
\textsuperscript{e} Technische Universität Darmstadt, Fachbereich Material- und Geowissenschaften, Fachgebiet Disperse Feststoffe, NPU-TU Darmstadt Joint International Research Laboratory of Ultrahigh Ceramic Matrix Composites, Otto-Berndt-Str. 3, D-64287 Darmstadt, Germany
\textsuperscript{f} Science and Technology on Thermostructural Composite Materials Laboratory, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi’an, 710072, PR China
\textsuperscript{g} Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

Abstract

Ceramic matrix composites usually utilize carbon or ceramic fibers as reinforcements. However, such fibers often expose a low ductility during failure. In this work, we follow the idea of a reinforcement concept of a ceramic matrix reinforced by refractory metal fibers to reach pseudo ductile behavior during failure. Tungsten and molybdenum fibers were chosen as reinforcement in SiCN ceramic matrix composites manufactured by polymer infiltration and pyrolysis process. The composites were investigated with respect to microstructure, flexural- and tensile strength. The single fiber strengths for both tungsten and molybdenum were investigated and compared to the strength of the composites. Tensile strengths of 206 and 156 MPa as well as bending strengths of 427 and 312 MPa were achieved for W/SiCN and Mo/SiCN composites, respectively. The W fiber became brittle across the entire cross section, while the Mo fiber showed a superficial, brittle reaction zone but kept ductile on the inside.

1. Introduction

The application of ceramic matrix composites (CMCs) is highly dependent from the chosen fiber. Carbon fiber reinforced CMCs, such as C/SiC can be used for short time applications such as nozzles or thermal protection systems for aerospace vehicles [1–3]. For long time applications in high temperature environments silicon carbide fibers are utilized in SiC/SiC CMCs. They have found application in jet engines and are of high interest for next generation nuclear reactors [4–8]. Since the damage tolerance of CMCs is highly dependent from the chosen fiber, the utilization of highly ductile metallic fibers should be very attractive, changing the brittle behavior of ceramics towards a damage tolerant pseudo ductile fracture behavior.

Tungsten and molybdenum are refractory metals with high melting points and high corrosion resistance. Tungsten fibers in particular exhibit high tensile strength and a pronounced ductility and therefore recently become more attractive to be used in high performance composites [9]. They are mass-produced especially for the application as filament in high performance automotive headlights by a powder-metallurgical processing route followed by rolling, swaging and wire drawing [10]. This technique allows different fiber diameters and a tailored composition. Recently, Mileiko et al. successfully manufactured molybdenum fiber reinforced oxide matrix composites [11]. Since tungsten and molybdenum exhibit low thermal expansion coefficients (4.5*10\(^{-6}\) K\(^{-1}\) and 4.8*10\(^{-6}\) K\(^{-1}\) at 25°C [12]), such fibers should be of particular interest for the reinforcement of non-oxide ceramics which exhibit low values, too. However, no report can be found about metal fiber reinforced CMCs.

2. Experimental

Two different types of metal wires, namely tungsten and molybdenum wires (Osram GmbH, Germany), denoted as fibers in the following, were chosen as fiber reinforcement. Both fibers contain nano-dispersed potassium precipitations, which stabilize the microstructure at high temperatures against recrystallization and grain growth [10]. The tungsten and molybdenum fibers contained 70–80 ppm and 150–200 ppm potassium, respectively. The tungsten fiber had a diameter of 150 μm (type BSD-OG-102045280100), the molybdenum fiber had a diameter of 200 μm (type MOA-B6144601XX42). In the first section tensile test results of the fibers themselves are reported to allow benchmarking of the fiber.
effectiveness in the composite. This was done by the calculation of the fiber strength utilization. The tensile tests were performed with a universal testing machine (TIRA Test 2820) equipped with a 200 N range load cell at room temperature. For the W fibers the displacement was measured by the cross head displacement corrected by the machine stiffness. For the Mo fibers the displacement was measured using a contactless optical measurement system as described in [13]. The fiber ends were embedded into a two component epoxy glue (UHU Plus Endfest 300). The cross-section in the embedded area is enlarged and thus the probability of fracture in this area is reduced. The measuring length for the W fibers was defined by the fiber length between the epoxy embedding which was between 25 and 30 mm. For the Mo fibers it was defined by the setting of the reference points of the optical measurements system to be 30 mm. The tensile tests were performed in a displacement controlled mode with a constant cross-head speed of 5 µm/s. The fracture surface of all samples was investigated by optical microscopy and for selected samples using a scanning electron microscope (FEI Helios NanoLab 600, USA). As a measure for deformation, the reduced diameter was determined for selected fiber types using the SEM images.

Using these fibers, unidirectional (UD) fiber reinforced composites were manufactured by means of polymer infiltration and pyrolysis (PIP). As matrix precursor, a low viscosity polysilazane, namely poly(methylviny)silazane (PSZ10, Clariant SE, Germany), was chosen. The UD preforms were created via filament winding on graphite mandrels. The winding was performed with a winding angle of ±0.38°. A total of 8 PIP cycles were performed with a mixture of the polysilazane and 1 wt.-% of dicumyl peroxide. The pyrolysis was performed at 1300 °C at a pressure of 1 bar in a nitrogen atmosphere. The manufacturing process is explained in more detail elsewhere [14]. Each mandrel yielded in two 125×125 mm² plates which were grinded until a thickness of 3 mm. At the PIP- and fiber/matrix interfaces, oxygen concentrations of approximately 5–10 and 20 at.-% were detected in form of X-ray amorphous SiCNO. It is assumed that this finding is due to the different methods of displacement measurement the comparability of the fracture strain values is restricted. This is a typical problem in the testing of thin wire as can be seen in the variation of fracture strain between 1% and 3% [15,16] and this paper which all tested the nominal same wire.

The two types of fibers were successfully wound on graphite mandrels. In this process a damaging of the fibers was not detected. After 8 PIP cycles, the resulting fiber volume content was 30 and 24% for W/SiCN and Mo/SiCN, respectively. The open porosity of the W/SiCN was 6.9%, that of its molybdenum counterpart 10.1%. The surface of the W/SiCN appeared smoother, probably due to the thinner fiber diameter. The mechanical properties were determined by means of tensile tests and four-point bending. In Fig. 2b and c, the corresponding stress-strain curves are shown. Depending on the chosen fiber, considerable different mechanical properties were achieved. It is notable that the composites show a strong variation in strength and fracture strain. In comparison, the W/SiCN composites revealed a brittle fracture behavior with low fracture strain.

It was detected that there is a strong correlation between the strength as well as the Young’s modulus of the composite and the type of fiber. The results are summarized in Table 2. The highest values were achieved with the tungsten fibers. The W/SiCN composite exhibited an average tensile strength of 206 MPa, while that of the Mo/SiCN composite was analyzed to 156 MPa. The bending strength was 427 and 312 MPa, respectively. The Mo/SiCN had lower Young’s moduli than the W/SiCN. These values are consistent with the values of the single fibers. The mechanical properties of a pure PSZ10 derived SiCN ceramic has not been investigated so far. Nevertheless, another polyvinylsilazane derived SiCN has been investigated by Nishimura et al. They received a bending strength of 118 MPa and a Young’s modulus of 105 GPa [18]. In consequence, the matrix can be seen as a reason why the strengths and Young’s moduli of the composites are much lower than the values of the pure fibers. Apart from this, the two composites displayed a completely different fracture behavior. The average tensile fracture strain of Mo/SiCN was 0.16%, the bending fracture strain was 2.02%. The fracture strain of W/SiCN was considerably lower, especially in the bending tests. The stress-strain curves of W/SiCN are comparable to unreinforced, monolithic ceramics [19]. In comparison, the Mo/SiCN displayed a pronounced pseudo ductile behavior, comparable to that of the single fiber tensile tests. After linear elastic loading, strain hardening follows.

In order to explain the mechanical properties of the two composites, the microstructures were investigated by means of SEM, EDS and XRD (Fig. 3 and 4). The SiCN matrix of both composites was well densified with a good attachment towards the fibers. EDS mappings showed that the interfaces between the single PIP cycles as well as between fibers and matrix exhibit oxygen, while the rest of the SiCN matrix is rather free of oxygen. At the PIP- and fiber/matrix interfaces, oxygen concentrations of approximately 5–10 and 20 at.-% were detected in form of X-ray amorphous SiCNO. It is assumed that this finding is due to the handling of the CMCs in air. The pyrolysis temperature of the polysilazane matrix at 1300 °C was probably too low to prevent the SiCN surfaces sufficiently from hydrolysis [14]. The fractured surfaces of W/SiCN displayed a brittle fracture behavior without fiber pullout or necking. This is in good agreement to the mechanical tests. At the W/SiCN interface, the formation of an additional phase was detected, which was confirmed as WC by EDS and XRD (Fig. 3f). As the matrix contains carbon, a reaction of the matrix with the fiber is possible. This could have occurred either by diffusion of carbon or by the formation of volatile species during pyrolysis of the polysilazane, such as CH₄ and a subsequent reaction with the fiber surface. Besides these formed small grains of WC, no further phases were detected. The SiCN matrix was X-ray amorphous at the chosen pyrolysis temperature. Higher
temperatures (beyond 1400 °C) would lead to the formation of Si$_3$N$_4$, SiC and excess carbon [20].

The failure mechanism of the tungsten fibers is pure brittle fracture, as it can be clearly seen in Fig. 3a, b and c. The reason for this behavior in our composite can be derived from investigations, which show that oxygen and carbon contents above 0.02 at.-% increase the ductile-to-brittle transition temperature (DBTT) of tungsten significantly above room temperature [21]. A similar effect of both elements was observed for W fibers at which carbon seems to be more detrimental. In the W fiber the carbon amounts needed for embrittlement are in the range of several 10 ppm [22]. In the fibers, carbon lead to embrittlement due to the formation of carbides both on the grain boundaries and within the grains, detectable in TEM studies [23]. The carbides at the surface of the wire are a clear indication that sufficient carbon was available but the carbides are not the primary reason for the embrittlement. The carbides throughout the wire leading to the embrittlement are so small that they are not visible both in SEM and EDS analysis.

Fractured surfaces and polished cross sections of the Mo/SiCN composite are presented in Fig. 4. In contrast to W/SiCN the Mo/SiCN exhibited fiber pullout. It was detected, that the Mo fibers had a shell-like reaction zone on the surface. The fiber pullout of the Mo fibers occurred at the shell/matrix interface. Fig. 4b shows a gap between reacted shell and unreacted core due to necking of the unreacted Mo fiber. This necking is comparable to that of as-received fibers (Fig. 1). The W/SiCN in comparison exhibited no such effects. High magnification images of the center of both fibers in the composites (Figs. 3c and 4c) clearly reveal that the center of the W fiber shows a brittle fracture behavior, while the Mo fiber shows a ductile behavior. The fracture surface of Mo is similar to that of the as-received fibers.

The shell was investigated in more detail by EDS. Accordingly, the shell is comprised of molybdenum based phases. The diffractogram of Mo/SiCN (Fig. 4f) shows a composition of metallic molybdenum as well as Mo$_2$C and Mo$_5$Si$_3$. Since the matrix consists of carbon and silicon, it is likely that the matrix is the source for the analyzed degradation. The matrix itself is X-ray amorphous, similar to the W/SiCN composite.

In contrast to tungsten, already small amounts ( > 20 ppm) of additional carbon are known to remarkably improve the ductility of molybdenum [24]. This is in accordance to our observation of a pronounced ductility during necking of the Mo fiber core at room temperature. We assume that the formation of brittle molybdenum carbide and silicide is the reason for the shown variation of the mechanical properties of Mo/SiCN.

Although Mo and W are both refractory metals with similar chemistry, they exhibited a different reactivity with the SiCN matrix. The pyrolysis temperature was at 1300 °C. In order to investigate the effect of temperature on the reactivity, W/SiCN was pyrolyzed at 1500 °C. This resulted in the formation of W$_2$C, like the Mo$_2$C in the Mo/SiCN composite, and α-Si$_3$N$_4$. Tungsten silicides were not detected. In the case of Mo/SiCN, pyrolyzed at 1500 °C, besides Mo$_2$C, Mo$_5$Si$_3$ and Mo$_3$Si no α-Si$_3$N$_4$ was detected. These findings will be described in detail in a further publication.

Thermodynamics were calculated using the HSC Chemistry code [25]. Within this framework the possible reactions of W and Mo with SiC and Si$_3$N$_4$ at pyrolysis temperatures were evaluated using the standard Gibbs energy minimization method. From these calculations, we conclude that molybdenum prefers the formation of molybdenum silicide Mo$_5$Si$_3$ besides Mo$_2$C, while tungsten prefers the formation of tungsten carbide WC (Fig. 5).

Since the tungsten fibers exhibited only a minor reactivity to the SiCN matrix, a protective tungsten coating on molybdenum fibers could help to achieve Mo/SiCN composites with fully ductile fibers and improved mechanical properties. On the other hand, protective coatings on the tungsten fiber could prevent the embrittlement and enable W/SiCN composites with fully ductile fibers. A possible coating could be Er$_2$O$_3$ which was successfully applied in tungsten fiber-reinforced tungsten composites [26].

---

Fig. 1. SEM images of both fibers: a) necking of the tungsten fiber [13]; b) necking of the molybdenum fiber; c) polished cross section of the tungsten fiber; d) polished cross section of the molybdenum fiber.
Fig. 2d shows representative tensile stress-strain curves of W/SiCN and Mo/SiCN in comparison to carbon fiber and silicon carbide fiber reinforced SiCN composites. The applied carbon fibers were T800H from Toray Industries and XN90 from Nippon Graphite Fiber. The silicon carbide fibers were Tyranno ZMI and Tyranno SA3, both from Ube Industries. The manufacturing process of the composites was identical [14]. No fiber coatings were applied. The stress-strain curves show, that the C and SiC fiber reinforced composites exhibit practically no ductile behavior until failure. The strength of the XN90/SiCN and especially the SA3/SiCN is higher than the metallic counterparts. Nevertheless, this might be a consequence of the rather low fiber volume contents of the metallic fibers in the composites. For a better comparison of the performance of the different fibers, the fiber strength utilization (FSU) was calculated (Table 3). The formula includes the composite tensile strength $σ_{\text{comp}}$, the single fiber tensile strength $σ_{\text{fiber}}$ and the fiber volume content (FVC) and is calculated as follows:

$$ \text{FSU} = \frac{σ_{\text{comp}}}{σ_{\text{fiber}} \times \text{FVC}} $$ (1)

The FSU values for the W and Mo based composites are calculated to be 24.7 and 39.5%, respectively. These values indicate a rather good performance in comparison to the other fiber reinforced SiCN composites. C/SiCN composites with T800H and XN90 fibers and the SiC/SiCN composites with ZMI and SA3 fibers resulted in FSU values of 8.9, 20.0, 12.6 and 44.2%, respectively [14]. Since the fiber volume contents of W/SiCN and Mo/SiCN were comparably low, it is very likely that similar contents would significantly increase the composite strength.
4. Summary and conclusion

In the present work, unidirectional tungsten and molybdenum fiber reinforced SiCN CMCs were manufactured by means of polymer infiltration and pyrolysis technique. Single fiber tensile tests revealed an average ultimate strength of 2780 MPa for the tungsten fibers compared to 1647 MPa for molybdenum. The processability of both fibers as reinforcement was good. After 8 PIP cycles porosities of ≤ 10% have been achieved. The W/SiCN composite reached an average tensile strength of 206 MPa and a bending strength of 427 MPa. SEM investigations showed that the fiber survived the manufacturing, nevertheless a possible inward diffusion of carbon resulted in an increase of the ductile-to brittle transition temperature and thereby in a brittle fracture behavior. The Mo/SiCN composite exhibited a lower tensile strength of 156 MPa and a bending strength of 312 MPa. In contrast to W/SiCN, Mo/SiCN showed a ductile fracture behavior with higher fracture strain rates. Although the Mo fiber was partly converted on the outside to Mo2C and Mo5Si3 by the PIP process, the inside kept metallic and ductile. Regarding the fiber strength utilization within the SiCN matrix, both composites performed excellently. For future activities, the metal fibers should be equipped with a coating that prevents from reactions with the SiCN matrix. Based on the presented results we conclude that both, W and Mo fibers can be considered as alternatives to the currently used C and SiC fibers, especially for applications, where the composite weight is not a critical factor. Potential applications for such composites could be in first wall components of future fusion reactors or in parts of stationary gas turbines where both the high strength and the pseudo ductile behavior would be extremely beneficial.
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Fig. 3. SEM images of the W/SiCN composite (fiber rich details): a–c) fractured surfaces, c) shows a higher magnification of the fractured surface of the center of the W fiber, d and e) polished cross sections and EDS analysis; f) diffractogram of a W/SiCN specimen.
Fig. 4. SEM images of the Mo/SiCN composite (fiber rich details): a–c) fractured surfaces, c) shows a higher magnification of the fractured surface of the center of the Mo fiber, d and e) polished cross sections and EDS analysis; f) diffractogram of a Mo/SiCN specimen.

Fig. 5. Thermodynamic calculations of a) W with SiC and Si₃N₄ and b) Mo with SiC and Si₃N₄ (Ellingham diagrams). All reactions with sections ΔG < 0 (below red horizontal line) are viable. The lowest line determines the favorite reaction at a given temperature (here 1300 °C, dashed blue vertical line), which determines for a) 3W + 3SiC + 2N₂ = 3WC + Si₃N₄ and b) 16Mo + 3SiC + Si₃N₄ = 3Mo₄C + 2Mo₅Si₃ + 2N₂ (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite type</th>
<th>W/SCN</th>
<th>Mo/SCN</th>
<th>ZMI/SCN</th>
<th>SA3/SCN</th>
<th>T800H/SCN</th>
<th>XN90/SCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>σfibre (MPa)</td>
<td>2780</td>
<td>1647</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>5490</td>
<td>3430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σcomp (MPa)</td>
<td>206 ± 27</td>
<td>156 ± 50</td>
<td>206 ± 79</td>
<td>478 ± 85</td>
<td>224 ± 40</td>
<td>288 ± 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (%)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSU (%)</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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