## Interaction constraints for laser-based removal of space debris

Stefan Scharring, Raoul-Amadeus Lorbeer, Jürgen Kästel, Kevin Bergmann, Wolfgang Riede

Institute of Technical Physics, Stuttgart German Aerospace Center

HiLase Workshop on Laser Space Applications Prague, September 25, 2019

# Knowledge for Tomorrow

 $\Delta T$ 

## **Overview**

### Motivation

Review on interaction constraints:

- 1. Astrodynamics
- 2. Fluence regime
- 3. Momentum uncertainty
- 4. Thermo-mechanical "side-effects"
- 5. Destination orbit uncertainty
- 6. Hit rate
- 7. Beam broadening
- 8. Weather conditions
- 9. Laser safety

Conclusion and Outlook





## **Motivation: Space debris threats**

### Objects > 10 cm

- Fragments, Rocket bodies, Defective satellites
- s/c destruction ( $\rightarrow$  Kessler syndrome)
- Monitoring & obstacle avoidance possible
- $\geq$  5 cm: 15,000 catalogued *and published* TLE



Active satellites and debris objects > 10 cm in Earth orbit

### Objects between 1 cm and 10 cm

#### main ROI for laser-based removal

- s/c wall penetration ( $\rightarrow$  loss of functionality)
- Difficult to detect
- 500,000 1,000,000 objects (estimated)



Impact of aluminum sphere in aluminum block @ 6.8 km/s



 Requirements:
 Analysis of laser-target conjunction geometry and timespan

### **Constraint #1: Astrodynamics Constraints**

### Target deceleration for atmospheric burn-up



In-track / radial momentum transfer

## **Constraint #2: Laser fluence in ablative momentum coupling**

Main requirement: Laser **fluence** at the target surface  $\Delta v = \eta_c \cdot c_m \cdot \Phi \cdot A_{cs}/m$ 

C. Phipps, Acta Astronaut. 93: 418 (2014)

Key dependency:  $c_m(\Phi) \approx \frac{\Phi - \Phi_0}{a + (\Phi - \Phi_0)} \cdot b \cdot 12.46 \cdot A^{7/16} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\lambda \cdot \Phi}\right)^c$ 

S. Scharring et al., Opt. Eng. 58(1): 011004 (2018) following C. Phipps et al., J. Propul. Power 26: 609 (2010)

Data for $\lambda = 1064 \ nm$	Туре	τ [ns]	$\Phi_0$ $\left[J/cm^2\right]$	c <sub>m,max</sub> [mNs/kJ]	$ \Phi_{opt}(c_{m,max}) \\ [J/cm^2] $
Stainless steel	Exp.	5	1.7	30	4.8
Copper	Exp.	5	2.6	18	36
Aluminum	Exp.	5	2.2	24	8.4
Aluminum	Exp.	8	1.5	13	6.5
Aluminum	Mod.	1	1.1	24	3.5
Aluminum	Mod.	10	3.0	18	10.4

- Typical fluence ( $\tau = 5 \dots 10 \text{ ns}, \lambda = 1064 \text{ nm}$ ):  $\approx 5 10 \text{ J/cm}^2$
- Threshold fluence:  $\Phi_0 \propto \sqrt{\tau}$ , dependencies:  $\lambda, \tau$ , material



Experimental data from: B.C. D'Souza, Development of Impulse Measurement Techniques for the Investigation of Transient Forces du Laser-Induced Ablation, PhD Thesis, University of Southern California (2007)



- High laser pulse energy
- Small laser spot size

#### **Requirements**:

- Material reconnaissance
- Shape information
- Knowledge of orientation

## **Constraint #3. Momentum uncertainty**

### Laser-matter interaction code

#### EXPEDIT

EXamination Program for irrEgularly shapeD debrls Targets

$$\vec{p} = \sum_{j} \vec{p_{j}} = \sum_{j} -c_{m}(\Phi_{L}, \vartheta) \cdot \Phi_{L}(\vec{r}) \cdot \cos \vartheta_{j}(\vec{r}) d\hat{n}_{j}(\vec{r})$$
  
S. Scharring et al., Opt. Eng. **58**(1): 011004 (2018)

**Laser:**  $\Phi = \Phi(\vec{r})$  **Matter:** *Finite surface elements (obj files)* **Interaction:**  $c_m(\Phi), \eta_{res}(\Phi)$ 

### Simulation setup

- Laser specs:  $E_L = 25 kJ$ ,  $\tau = 10 ns$ ,  $\lambda = 1064 nm$
- Spot:  $\phi = 0.67 m$ ,  $\langle \Phi \rangle = 7.2 J/cm^2$
- Beam Discretization: 0.1 mm resolution
- Monte Carlo simulation:
  - Random target orientation
  - 2000 sample shots / target
  - Beam center = Target CMS

### Targets

- 100, randomly generated
- Flake-like ellipsoids
- Material: aluminium
- Size:  $L_c \in [0.01 \ m; 0.1 \ m]$



Targets (green) generated following crash test analysis (black) in: T. Hanada et al., Adv. Space Res. **44**(5): 558 – 567 (2009)

### Velocity Increment $\Delta v$



→ Consideration of large momentum scatter necessary
 → Collision analysis for conceivable trajectories required



**Constraint #4: Thermo-mechanical "side effects"** 

### Structural integrity risks

- Residual heat in laser ablation:
  - target melting (flat, large  $\rightarrow$  sphere, small)
- Fragmentation risks:
  - Low heat conductivity  $\rightarrow$  thermal stress
  - Frequent, rapid heating cycles  $\rightarrow$  aging effects
  - Strong shock and rarefaction waves



Molten aluminum target after repetitive laser irradiation



Target: Al plate 2 x 2 x 0.1 cm,  $\varepsilon = 0.09$ ,  $d_{spot} = 70 \ cm$ Initial target temperature:  $T_0 = 327.8 (239.4) K$  (dusk/dawn) Circular orbit, 800 km altitude Irradiation range: 30° - 100° elevation (3 minutes) Monte Carlo study, up to 1000 samples each Arbitrary target orientation, 0.42 µrad hit precision

S. Scharring et al., Removal of Small-Sized Space Debris by Laser-Ablative

**Requirements:** 

Material reconnaissance Pulse number limitation

Multi-pass irradiation

Circular orbit, 800 km altitude

Irradiation range: 30° - 100° elevation (3 minutes) *Monte Carlo study, up to 1000 samples each* Arbitrary target orientation, 0.42 µrad hit precision

Orbit propagation with ODEM software, A/m = 0.1

## **Constraint #5. Predictive collision avoidance**

#### Collateral damage prevention for active missions

Multi-pass irradiation

- $\rightarrow$  need for long-term safe debris maneuvering
- $\rightarrow$  information on impact of  $\Delta v$  on orbit uncertainty needed





ODEM software used with friendly permission by DLR – Institute of Space Operations and Astronaut Training

# Requirements:Prior collision analysis

Clearance for conceivable destination trajectories

- Requirements:target finetracking
- laser quide star
- tip/tilt correction

### Constraint #6: Hit rate, affected by...



Simulations on thermo-mechanical coupling

Target: Al plate  $2 \times 2 \times 0.1$  cm,  $d_{spot} = 70$  cm Monte Carlo study, 10,000 samples each

Arbitrary target orientation, 0.42 µrad hit precision

Transmitter:  $D_{Telescope} = 8 m$ , Str = 0.4

Laser specs:  $E_L = 20 kJ$ ,  $M^2 = 2$ ,  $\lambda = 1064 nm$ ,  $\tau = 10 ns$ 

#### ... debris tracking accuracy,



Zenith angle [deg]



### ... and laser/transmitter pointing stability

## **Constraint #7: Beam broadening**

### Spot size







- site weather analysis
- network redundancies

### **Constraint #8: Weather conditions**

#### **Cloud cover: % Laser time fraction**

### Extinction by aerosols and molecules



#### Requirements:

predictive avoidance of unintentional irradiation

## **Constraint #9: Laser safety**



## **Summary: Interaction-related Requirements**

- 1. Space Situational Awareness:
  - 1. Analysis of laser-target conjunction geometry and timespan
  - 2. Material reconnaissance, shape information, knowledge of orientation
  - 3. Prior collision analysis, trajectory corridor clearance
- 2. Laser and Transmitter:
  - 1. High laser pulse energy
  - 2. Laser guide star operation, tip/tilt correction
  - 3. Adaptive optics
- 3. Operation:
  - 1. Multi-pass irradiation
  - 2. Weather-related site analysis and station redundancy
  - 3. Predictive irradiation avoidance (ground/air/space)
- 4. Nevertheless: Presently the sole solution for the management and removal of debris fragments



## a long way to go, but ...

## ... small steps count: Collision avoidance

### ... with a single high energy laser pulse



Laser: E = 80 J,  $\tau$  = 10 ns,  $\lambda$  = 1064 nm Spot fluence, size:  $\phi$  = 3 ... 4 cm,  $\phi_{max} \approx 10 J/cm^2$ Target dimensions:  $A_{cs} \approx 1 ... 4 cm^2$ ,  $m \approx 1 ... 3 g$ Velocity increment:  $\Delta v_{exp} = 0.25 ... 2.8 m/s$ 



R.-A. Lorbeer et al., Sci. Rep. 8: 8453 (2018) https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-26336-1



# ... or even by photon pressure with COTS cw lasers

Current research @DLR-TP: ESA study SSA P3-SST-XV – Laser Ranging Systems Evolution Study (LARAMOTIONS)



## Thank you for your kind attention



DLR