

## **A comparison of multiple Rosetta data sets and 3D model calculations of 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko coma around equinox (May 2015).**

**Raphael Marschall** (1), Ladislav Rezac (2), David Kappel (3,4), Chin-Chia Su (5), Selina-Barbara Gerig (6), Martin Rubin (6), Olga Pinzon (6), David Marshall (2), Ying Liao (9), Clémence Herny (6), Gabriele Arnold (4), Chariton Christou (8), S Kokou Dadzie (8), Olivier Groussin (7), Paul Hartogh (2), Laurent Jorda (7), Ekkehard Kührt (4), Stefano Mottola (4), Olivier Mousis (7), Frank Preusker (4), Frank Scholten (4), Panagiotis Theologou (6), Jong-Shinn Wu (5), Kathrin Altwegg (6), Rafael Rodrigo (10), Nicolas Thomas (6)

(1) Southwest Research Institute, 1050 Walnut St, Suite 300 Boulder, CO 80302, USA, marschall@boulder.swri.edu, (2) MPS, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 3, 37077 Göttingen, Germany, (3) Institute of Physics and Astronomy, University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany, (4) DLR-PF, Rutherfordstraße 2, D-12489 Berlin, Germany, (5) Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, 1001 Ta-Hsueh Road, Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan, (6) Physikalisches Institut, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland, (7) Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille, UMR 7326, 13388 Marseille, France, (8) Heriot-Watt University, Institute of Mechanical Process and Energy Engineering, EH14 4AS Edinburgh, Scotland, (9) Macau University of Science and Technology, (10) International Space Science Institute, Hallerstrasse 6, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

### **Abstract**

Numerical models are powerful tools for understanding the connection between the emitted gas and dust from the surface of comets and the subsequent expansion into space where remote sensing instruments can perform measurements. We will present such a predictive model which can provide synthetic measurements for multiple instruments (ROSINA, MIRO, VIRTIS, and OSIRIS) on board ESA's Rosetta mission to comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko (hereafter 67P). We will demonstrate why a multi instrument approach is essential and how models can be used to constrain the gas and dust source distribution on the surface and the dynamics within the first few kilometres of the surface.

### **1 Introduction & problem**

From August 2014 to September 2016 ESA's Rosetta spacecraft escorted comet 67P on its journey into the inner solar system and out again. The mission provided, via various instruments for dust and gas measurements, unprecedented data on the nature of cometary activity. The determination of the activity distribution on the surface of a comet is a key goal of Rosetta to investigate the interaction of the comet with the Sun.

As the cometary ice sublimates the gas expands

into space and fills the near-nucleus environment. Individual sources of activity have been observed on the surface but it remains uncertain where the bulk of the mass is lost and how the processes that are involved work in detail. There are several reasons for this. First, optical imaging experiments use the dust coma as a proxy for the gas activity. Because the optical depth of the dust is orders of magnitude below unity in all but a few cases, it is not possible to trace dust filaments back to the source against the backdrop of the illuminated surface. Second, remote sensing instruments detecting gas emission (i.e. infrared and sub-mm spectrometers) may suffer from limited spatial and temporal resolution. In addition, the spectral lines may be optically thick and the line-of-sight direction usually cuts through the inhomogeneous coma (in density or temperature) which further complicates their interpretation considerably. However, as we will show, with good a-priori estimates of coma structures spectral lines can be accurately inverted to provide constraints of the gas coma down to a few hundreds of meters above the surface (e.g. MIRO). The in-situ instruments (e.g. ROSINA or GIADA) must consider possible biases due to the spacecraft position relative to the nucleus and respective illumination conditions on the surface. For instance, the frequent use of terminator orbits by Rosetta introduced a significant problem because the measured local densities were at points remote from

what we assume to be the main direction of outflow, namely near the sunward direction. In addition, the possible inhomogeneities of the outgassing at the surface cannot be detected due to the fact that the rapid gas expansion smoothens the coma. Therefore, measurements taken tens of kilometers above the nucleus surface are rather insensitive to emission inhomogeneities at the surface and provide only ambiguous results.

## 2 Multi-instrument approach

The difficulties described above show the need for predictive models that can reproduce multiple measurements in one self-consistent framework. The starting point of all our models is the SPG shape model SHAP7 [1]. We then use a Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC) [2] code to model the expanding rarefied gas, and a test particle code [3, 4, 5] to simulate the dynamics of dust particles of different sizes. Each program provides us with the physical properties of the gas/dust coma within 10 km from the nucleus centre. This allows the generation of synthetic measurements of multiple Rosetta instruments and comparison to the actual measurements. We will present results from our study of diverse Rosetta data sets (including OSIRIS, VIRTIS, MIRO, and ROSINA), constraining the gas emission into the coma, and establish whether the data enable us to reach appropriate conclusions on the activity distribution on the nucleus surface and the dynamics withing the first few kilometres above the surface. Finally, we will explore the limits of dust-to-gas mass ratios compatible with the data. We focus here on the time around May 2015 (equinox). While this period is a few months prior to perihelion, the spacecraft was close to the comet, providing a relatively high spatial resolution of the remote sensing observations such that, in principle, they can be more easily linked with the in-situ measurements.

On the one hand, models can be used to constrain certain properties of the activity such as the emission distribution on the surface. On the other hand, they provide strong constraints on the limits of the interpretations of some of the available datasets. Due to the use of physical models we can test a variety of initial conditions that result in identical predicted measurements. We will present such limitations rooted in the physical dynamical processes of the expanding gas and dust flows from the surface.

## Acknowledgements

The team from the University of Bern is supported through the Swiss National Science Foundation, and through the NCCR PlanetS. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 686709 (MiARD project, [www.miard.eu](http://www.miard.eu)). This work was supported by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) under contract number 16.0008-2. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official view of the Swiss Government. OSIRIS was built by a consortium of the Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung in Göttingen, Germany; CISAS-University of Padova, Italy; the LAM, France; the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, Granada, Spain; the Research and Scientific Support Department of the European Space Agency, Noordwijk, The Netherlands; the Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aeroespacial, Madrid, Spain; the Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain; the Department of Physics and Astronomy of Uppsala University, Sweden; and the Institut für Datentechnik und Kommunikationsnetze der Technischen Universität Braunschweig, Germany. The support of the national funding agencies of Germany (DLR), France (CNES), Italy (ASI), Spain (MEC), Sweden (SNSB), and the ESA Technical Directorate is gratefully acknowledged. Work on ROSINA at the University of Bern was funded by the State of Bern, the Swiss National Science Foundation, and the ESA PRODEX Programme. VIRTIS was built by a consortium, which includes Italy, France, and Germany, under the scientific responsibility of the Istituto di Astrofisica e Planetologia Spaziali of INAF, Italy, which also led the scientific operations. The VIRTIS instrument development, led by the prime contractor Selex-ES (Florence, Italy), has been funded and managed by ASI, with contributions from Observatoire de Meudon financed by CNES, and from DLR. The authors acknowledge the entire MIRO team contributing to the data calibration and archiving, and the PI, Mark Hofstadter. We also acknowledge DFG-grant KA 3757/2-1.

## References

- [1] Preusker, F., Scholten, F., Matz, K.-D., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 607, L1.
- [2] Su, C.-C. 2013 PhD thesis, National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan.
- [3] Marschall, R., Su, C. C., Liao, Y., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 589, A90.
- [4] Marschall, R., Mottola, S., Su, C. C., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 605, A112.
- [5] Marschall, R., Rezac, L., Kappel, D., et al. 2019, *Icarus*, 328, 104-126.