Knowledge of the variability of the thermosphere – ionosphere (TI) system is essential for many communication and navigation applications, especially during storm conditions, since the ionospheric disturbances will influence the propagation characteristics of radio waves. For this purpose, physics – based and empirical models help to analyze and understand the dynamics of ionospheric storms in the strongly interactive TI system.

One of the state of the art numerical models is the Coupled Thermosphere Ionosphere Plasmasphere electrodynamics (CTIPe) model. Recent developments improved the capabilities of reproducing TI conditions during storms. These capabilities will be demonstrated based on comparison of CTIPe results during the St. Patrick’s Day storm on 17 March 2015 with ground and space based observations. We use SWARM measurements, ionosondes and GNSS based TEC estimations.

Maps of ionosonde’s Total Electron Content (TEC) in near real time derived from GNSS measurements, are a powerful tool for detecting ionospheric storms and monitoring their behavior. TEC can be calculated integrating the electron density $N_e$ along a ray path $d_s$ and measured in TEC units (1 TECU = $10^{16}$ e$^{-}$/m$^{2}$).

CTIPe is a global non linear physics based model that solves the equations of momentum, energy and composition for neutral and ionized atmosphere. It uses as inputs: ACE measurements, TIROS/NOAA auroral precipitation, solar UV, EUV, electric field [Weimer, 2005] model and the WAM for the lower atmosphere.

**Thermosphere: SWARM mass density**

**Ionosphere: GNSS TEC and Ionosonde data**

A significant increase of the neutral mass density ($\rho$) can be identified in the comparison between values derived from SWARM (blue) and CTIPe results (red). It was generated by the storm disturbances reaching a maximum one order of magnitude bigger than quiet values. The good agreement of both, indicates that the model characterized the storm thermospheric conditions very well.

CTIPe will serve as a background model for a new assimilation scheme named Thermosphere Ionosphere Data Assimilation (TIDA). This new scheme is based on an Ensemble Kalman Filter approach as required by the strongly forced nature of the modeled system. The first step has been done assimilating neutral mass density from SWARM.

(1) **CTIPe TEC** differences quiet – storm day show an enhancement of plasma density, with a two lobes structure at mid-low latitudes. IGS TEC exhibits the same enhancement during the main phase of the storm, extending to higher latitudes.

(2) **CTIPe TEC** correlates well with IGS TEC. **Critical frequency** foF2 differs from ionosonde values in the equatorial region and **maximum peak height** hmF2 has better results in the European – African sector.

**Conclusions**

- CTIPe can reproduce the ionospheric disturbances produced by the 2015 St. Patrick day geomagnetic storm as well as its thermospheric drivers.
- Neutral mass density derived from SWARM satellite in comparison with CTIPe results indicates that the thermospheric storm conditions are correctly characterized by the CTIPe model.
- TEC derived from GNSS shows very good agreement with the model. However foF2 critical frequency and maximum high of F2 layer from ionosonde data show latitudinal dependency with the CTIPe model results.
- Next step in the study of TI dynamics during storm conditions will be done using a new assimilation scheme TIDA based on and Ensemble Kalman Filter.
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CTIPe vs IGS TEC for a fixed longitude of 106° and Latitudes over the European region (30°-70°)
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TEC, foF2 and hmF2 for a fixed ionosonde location (Beijing)
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