The ML-CIRRUS experiment deployed the new research aircraft HALO together with satellites and models to gain new insights into nucleation, life cycle, predictability, and climate impact of natural cirrus and anthropogenic contrail cirrus.
Cirrus clouds are composed of ice particles and cover about 30% of the midlatitude troposphere (Lee et al. 2009). Midlatitude cirrus clouds influence climate by increasing the solar albedo (cooling) and trapping thermal infrared radiation (warming). As a net effect, cirrus clouds are expected to contribute to average warming of Earth’s climate, with detailed dependency on cirrus properties and atmospheric and Earth surface parameters (Liu 1986).

Midlatitude cirrus are induced and affected by various weather systems in a region with strong meridional gradients, convective processes, and by natural and anthropogenic aerosol sources. Also, cirrus may feed back onto dynamics. In sum, this complexity explains why cirrus processes are still only crudely represented in today’s weather predictions (Bauer et al. 2015) and why clouds including cirrus pose major uncertainties on climate sensitivity (Stevens and Bony 2013). In addition, measuring cirrus properties poses a challenge to the present day’s cloud instruments, in particular as the upper troposphere–lower stratosphere (UTLS) region is difficult to access.

Midlatitude cirrus clouds can be influenced by the dense air traffic in this region (IPCC 1999). Currently, aircraft-induced condensation trails or contrail cirrus are considered to be responsible for the major part of the cirrus-cloud enhancement (IPCC 2013), and growth rates in aviation (Lee et al. 2009) suggest future increases. Still, large uncertainties remain in our understanding of the microphysical properties of contrail cirrus, their occurrence, and climate effects (Heymsfield et al. 2010), which limit our ability to identify and implement proper mitigation measures to reduce the climate impact from aviation. These challenges in atmospheric research motivate the objectives of the Midlatitude Cirrus Experiment (ML-CIRRUS), which are to:

1. Investigate distributions of microphysical and radiative properties of cirrus clouds and of humidity to better understand and more accurately quantify their climate impact.
2. Study cirrus properties and lifetime in meteorological regimes typical for midlatitudes.
3. Examine cirrus formation pathways and their impact on small- and large-scale cirrus properties.
4. Validate satellite products and ground-based observations and evaluate advanced cloud models.
5. Assess cirrus and contrail cirrus predictability, and directly observe contrail cirrus and investigate differences between anthropogenic and natural cirrus.

The ML-CIRRUS campaign (www.pa.op.dlr.de/ML-CIRRUS) was one of the first scientific missions demonstrating the capabilities of the novel High Altitude and Long Range Research Aircraft (HALO; www.halo.dlr.de), a twin-engine jet aircraft of type Gulfstream 550, with about 10,000-km range, 14.5-km ceiling altitude, and 3,000-kg scientific payload. For ML-CIRRUS, HALO was equipped with a unique set of instruments to characterize the microphysical, optical, and radiative properties of cirrus clouds and their environment. The aircraft measurements were combined with cloud data retrieved from the Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI) aboard the Metop Second Generation Satellite (MSG; Schmetz et al. 2002), the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on Aqua (Barnes et al. 1998), and the Cloud–Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) aboard Cloud–Aerosol Lidar and Inherent Error Monitor (CALIPSO; Winker et al. 2010). In addition, ground-based stations at the Universities of Munich and Leipzig and the Research Center Jülich were overflown to complement the airborne airborne observations with continuous high-resolution measurements. Hence, capacious and detailed datasets on microphysical and optical properties of midlatitude cirrus clouds were gathered during the ML-CIRRUS experiment.

ML-CIRRUS was conducted over Europe out of Oberpfaffenhofen (48°S–33°E) in southern Germany in March and April 2014. The location is well suited to reach cirrus all over Europe and was also chosen for fast access to regions with high air traffic abundance, with more than 30,000 commercial flights over Europe per day. A mission in midlatitudes provides the unique opportunity to measure cirrus clouds linked to a large variety of dynamical weather regimes, such as frontal systems, ridges, high pressure systems, jet streams, mountain waves, and convective activity over Europe. In particular, a case study of cirrus formation in the outflow of a warm conveyor belt (WCB) by Spichtinger et al. (2005) motivated flight planning for ML-CIRRUS. The spring season was chosen to combine a high abundance of both WCBs (Madonna et al. 2014) and contrail cirrus.

This paper describes the scientific background of ML-CIRRUS and relevant open questions, the HALO instrument suite and mission profile, the flight planning, the flight strategy, and the paths and scopes of the individual flights. Moreover, it presents highlights and selected results from the ML-CIRRUS experiment and discusses the measurements in view of current topics on cirrus research.
methods (Fahey et al. 2014). This holds even more when high temporal and spatial resolution is needed.

Cirrus formation is often induced by large-scale or mesoscale ascending atmospheric motions at midlatitudes frequently linked to frontal systems, ridges, jet streams, lee waves, or convection (Gayet et al. 2012a; Krämer et al. 2009; Lawson et al. 2006; Muhlbauer et al. 2014; Stith et al. 2014; Jackson et al. 2015; Heymsfield et al. 2017). Ascent of humid air near midlatitude fronts, that is, in WCBs (Browning 1990), rapidly transports water into the upper troposphere. As the rising air cools, water droplets may form, and some of the droplets may freeze heterogeneously below 0°C. The ice particles can be uplifted in the front, get detrained from the system’s core, and survive in its outflow for long times (Schipptinger et al. 2005). At higher altitudes, ice may also nucleate directly in situ without a liquid cloud origin. As atmospheric conditions higher up are different and less water vapor is available for condensation, the in situ-formed cirrus particles may have different properties than the uplifted liquid-origin cirrus, which is one of the hypotheses to be tested with the ML-CIRRUS experiment.

In situ ice formation takes place via homogeneous nucleation of aqueous aerosol (Kärcher and Lohmann 2002) or by heterogeneous nucleation (DeMott et al. 2003; Schipptinger and Gierens 2009b) aided by the presence of a foreign substance (Vali et al. 2015). It has been suggested that homogeneous freezing is the dominant pathway for cirrus cloud formation (Kärcher and Strom 2003). Yet, heterogeneous ice nuclei were found in a major subset of cirrus samples (Cziczo et al. 2013), calling into question the relative roles of the different ice nucleation mechanisms. This leads to a suite of questions to be addressed by the ML-CIRRUS experiment: What is the role of homogeneous versus heterogeneous nucleation for midlatitude cirrus? What are the chemical and microphysical properties of the ice residuals? How large is the occurrence frequency in situ and liquid-origin cirrus in midlatitudes? Do they have different properties? What is the climatic importance of selected midlatitude cirrus regimes?

Natural cirrus clouds can be modified by aviation. The resulting contrails contribute ~50 mW m⁻² to global warming (Burkhardt and Kärcher 2011; Schumann and Graf 2013), with far larger regional contributions. Hence, the radiative forcing from contrail cirrus is larger than the temporally integrated radiative effects from aircraft CO₂ emissions. A contrail is not a homogeneous object (Schumann et al. 2017) and has varying properties from local to global scales caused by complex vortex dynamics, wind shear, and mixing with ambient air (Heymsfield et al. 2010; Jellenger et al. 2013; Petzold et al. 1997; Schröder et al. 2000; Voigt et al. 2010). Little information exists on the ice particle habit and surface structure (Gayet et al. 2012b; Schumann et al. 2011). Initially, line-shaped contrails (Voigt et al. 2011) develop into contrail cirrus and their further evolution can be detected from satellites (Iwabuchi et al. 2012; Minnis et al. 2013; Vazquez-Navarro et al. 2015). Contrail cirrus clouds are difficult to discriminate from natural cirrus in remote sensing analysis unless controlled with air traffic or other information can be used. Therefore, a combination of in situ and remote sensing methods could help.

Table 2. HALO cabin instrumentation for ML-CIRRUS. The cabin instrumentation includes instruments to measure cloud properties, aerosol, water vapor and other trace gases, and radiation. It combines in situ and remote sensing instruments including a differential absorption lidar instrument.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Measured properties and range</th>
<th>Principal investigator (Institution)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WALES high-spectral-resolution lidar with H₂O differential absorption channel</td>
<td>H₂O profile, aerosol/cirrus extinction, depolarization, lidar/color ratio</td>
<td>Wirth (DLR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albedometer for Spectral Modular Airborne Radiation Measurement System (SMART)</td>
<td>Spectral radiance, spectral irradiance J = 350–2,200 nm</td>
<td>Wendisch (Uni Leipzig)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterflow Virtual Impactor inlet with CPC/UH/SAP/space and spot absorption photometer (PSAP) (HALO-CV)</td>
<td>Cloud residual number concentration, absorption coefficient, size distribution 65 nm–1 μm</td>
<td>Mertes (TROPOS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol Measurement System with condensation particle counters (CPCs)/optical particle counters (OPCs)/different mobility particle sizer (DMPS)/PSAP (AMETYST)</td>
<td>Size distribution of total and nonvolatile aerosol, 4 nm–2 μm, absorption</td>
<td>Minikin/Weinzierl (DLR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft-based Laser Ablation Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (ALABAMA)</td>
<td>Chemical composition of aerosol/cloud residuals &gt; 150 nm</td>
<td>Schneider/Borrmann (MPI-C/Uni Mainz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast Ice Nuclei Chamber (FINCH)</td>
<td>Total and biological ice nuclei concentrations</td>
<td>Rois/Curtius (Uni Frankfurt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Particle Soot Photometer SP2</td>
<td>Refractory black carbon mass and number concentration 85–510 nm d, eq, spin</td>
<td>Weinzierl (DLR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyman a Fluorescence Hygrometer (FISH)</td>
<td>H₂O total or gas phase water, 1–1,000 ppm</td>
<td>Krämer (FZJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygrometer for Atmospheric Investigation Tunable Diode Laser (HAL)</td>
<td>H₂O total and gas phase water, 1–40,000 ppm</td>
<td>Ebert/Krämer (PTB/FZJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophisticated Hygrometer for Atmospheric Research Tunable Diode Laser (SHARC)</td>
<td>H₂O gas phase water, 20–40,000 ppm</td>
<td>Zoger (DLR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airborne H₂O Mass Spectrometer (AIMS–H₂O)</td>
<td>H₂O gas phase water, 1–500 ppm</td>
<td>Voigt/Kaufmann (DLR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Vapor Analyzer, Tunable Diode Laser Hygrometer (WARAN)</td>
<td>H₂O total or gas phase water, 50(1)–40,000 ppm</td>
<td>Voigt (DLR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast Airborne Ozone monitor (FAIRO)</td>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>Zahn (KIT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Nitrogen oxides Measuring System chemiluminescence detector (AENAEAS)</td>
<td>NO, NO₂ aircraft tracer, 5 ppb–60 ppb</td>
<td>Ziereis (DLR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drooponds</td>
<td>Temperature profile, humidity</td>
<td>Kaufmann (DLR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differential Optical Absorption Spectrometer (DOAS)</td>
<td>NO₂, NO, SO₂, HCHO, BrO, OCIO</td>
<td>Pfeilsticker (Uni Heidelberg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic HALO Measurement and Sensor System (BAMHAPAS)</td>
<td>T, u, w, meteorological and aircraft state parameters</td>
<td>Giez (DLR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
including a lidar may be the most promising to investi-
gate ice crystal microphysics in contrail cirrus. Hence, ML-CIRRUS addresses the following challenging questions related to aviation: What are the microphysi-
cal and radiative properties of contrail cirrus? Can we distinguish contrail cirrus from natural cirrus? Are contrail cirrus predictable? Can uncertainties in the climate impact from contrail cirrus be reduced using data from the ML-CIRRUS experiment?

HALO INSTRUMENTATION. To obtain a broad dataset on properties of natural cirrus and contrail cirrus for process studies and climatological analysis, we equipped HALO with state-of-the-art instrumentation, including a suite of novel cloud probes, a lidar system for optically and meteorological properties, and water vapor, and a comprehensive, in situ cabin instrumentation to measure ice residuals, aerosol, trace gases, and radiation. Thereby, ML-CIRRUS was the first HALO mission with in situ cloud instruments, followed by a mission set-up where HALO flew on convection in the Amazon basin in summer 2014 (Wendisch et al. 2016). The instruments were selected to cover the expected range of cloud properties and ambient conditions. In particular, HALO is equipped with a basic sensor and data system that measure a variety of im-
portant meteorological and aircraft state parameters. Instrumental details and their acronyms are given in Tables 1 and 2. The advanced cloud instrumentation (Fig. 1) included, from large to small particle sizes, two precipitation and ice particle imagers (Weigel et al. 2016), two cloud combination probes (Weigel et al. 2016), and two light-scattering spectrometers (Schnaiter et al. 2016). The particle probes were equipped with antishattering tips, where appropriate. Further, two aerosol spectrometers (Minikin et al. 2003) and a microwave temperature profiler were mounted in wing stations. Thus, information on the complete particle size distribution from 0.5 µm to 6.4 mm, and on particle phase, shape, roughness, and complexity as well as scattering phase function and extinction, can be derived from the cloud probes and compared to remote sensing and bulk ice information from the comprehensive cabin instrumentation.

The HALO payload (Fig. 2) further included a high-
spectral-resolution light detection and ranging (lidar) instrument (Wirth et al. 2009; Groß et al. 2014) to derive vertical profiles of humidity and cloud/aerosol extinction. Spectral radiometric measurements of the solar range were observed by an albedometer (Ehrlich et al. 2008; Wendisch et al. 2007). In addition, total water (gas phase plus particulate water) or water vapor were measured in situ with a set of five hygrometers including a Lyman-α fluorescence instrument (Meyer et al. 2015; Zöger et al. 1999), an airborne water vapor mass spectrometer (Kaufmann et al. 2014, 2016), and tunable diode laser instruments with four channels based on first principles (Buchholz et al. 2014, 2017), two channels, or one channel (Voigt et al. 2014). A counterflow virtual impactor and a submicrometer aerosol inlet have been newly designed for HALO, and the aerosol instruments were switched between the inlets. Aerosol composition was measured with a single-particle laser ablation mass spectrometer (Brando et al. 2011), and refractory black carbon was measured with a single-particle soot photometer (Dahlkötter et al. 2014) and a particle soot absorption photometer. Further, the capability of aerosol to be activated to an ice particle was detected (Brandt et al. 2008). The number concen-
tration and size distribution of the ice residuals, that is, aerosol particles that remain after sublimation of the ice in the counterflow virtual impactor inlet, were measured using a condensation particle counter and a high-sensitivity aerosol spectrometer. In addition, the aerosol size distribution was measured with a suite of condensation and optical particle counters. Finally, the following trace gases were measured: reactive nitrogen species as aircraft tracer (Ziereis et al. 2000), ozone as tracer for photochemical production and stratospheric air (Zahn et al. 2012), as well as other tropospheric and stratospheric tracers (Prados-Roman et al. 2011; Weidner et al. 2005). FORECAST PRODUCTS FOR ML-CIRRUS. The development of cirrus cloud forecast products based upon operational forecasts from the Euro-
pean Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) was essential to direct the aircraft into se-
lected cirrus cloud systems. Figure 3 shows a collage of forecast products for a flight into a strong WCB over the North Atlantic on 11 April 2014. Vertical composites of meteorological data along the projected flight path were calculated with the meteorological mission support tool (Rautenhaus et al. 2012). The occurrence of warm conveyor belts (Schäfler et al. 2014) with an ascent of more than 600 hPa in 48 h were calculated by ETH Zurich (Sprenger and Werndli 2015), using ECMWF deterministic and ensemble forecasts. After the cam-
aign, 10-day backward trajectories were calculated from the HALO flight paths with the same trajectory tool, and the updraft velocity, ice water content (IWC), and liquid water content (LWC) along the trajectories were evaluated to get insight into ice formation process-
es. In addition, a cirrus model (Schipitzinger and Gierens 2009a) was coupled to the global Chemical Lagrangian Model of the Stratosphere (CLAM; Gross et al. 2005) in order to predict microphysical cirrus properties such as size and number density (Luebke et al. 2016). Further, the Contrail and Cirrus Prediction model (CoCiP; Schumann 2012; Schumann and Graf 2013) was de-
veloped for accurate contrail cirrus predictions. CoCiP is a Lagrangian model that traces individual contrails forming behind aircraft flying along given flight routes for given ambient meteorology. Hourly predictions of cirrus and contrail optical depth were used to decide on the target area and timing of the individual flights. After the campaign, a database of realistic air traffic data were set up from various sources, and CoCiP
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TABLE 3. Overview of the ML-CIRRUS flights. Date, mission scope, target region, meteorological information, and flight durations are given. Multiple flights in the same day are labeled “a” and “b” in the date column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Mission scope</th>
<th>Target region</th>
<th>Additional observations/remarks</th>
<th>Flight duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>21–22 Mar a, b</td>
<td>Three instrument test flights</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26 Mar 2014</td>
<td>Contrails and contrail cirrus</td>
<td>North Atlantic flight corridor</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 h 30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>27 Mar 2014</td>
<td>Frontal cirrus, WCB in- and outflow</td>
<td>Alps, Italy, Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 h 45 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29 Mar 2014</td>
<td>Lee-wave cirrus, WCB, jet stream divergence, convective cirrus</td>
<td>France, Spain</td>
<td>Lower cloud layers with Saharan dust</td>
<td>7 h 30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 Apr 2014</td>
<td>Cirrus, contrail cirrus</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Ludwig Maximilians Universität (LMU), DLR, Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD), Leipzig lidar</td>
<td>6 h 35 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3 Apr 2014</td>
<td>Frontal cirrus, WCB outflow</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 h 15 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9, 10</td>
<td>4 Apr 2014 a, b</td>
<td>Clean jet stream cirrus, polluted WCB</td>
<td>Spain, Portugal</td>
<td>CALIPSO overpass, double flight</td>
<td>9h 55 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7 Apr 2014</td>
<td>Contrail cirrus, cirrus sublimation, Halo conveyor belts</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>MIP, Leipzig lidar H₂O sonde</td>
<td>5 h 30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10 Apr 2014</td>
<td>Contrails and contrail cirrus</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Contrail probing</td>
<td>3 h 15 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13, 14</td>
<td>11 Apr 2014 a, b</td>
<td>Frontal cirrus, large WCB, ridge cirrus</td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>Lagrangian approach, double flight</td>
<td>10 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>13 Apr 2014</td>
<td>High pressure system, jet stream cirrus</td>
<td>France, Spain, Portugal</td>
<td>Many contrails</td>
<td>7 h 15 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>15 Apr 2014</td>
<td>Föhn, divergence, gravity wave cirrus</td>
<td>Alps</td>
<td>Instrument tests: liquid cloud, aerosol inlet</td>
<td>3 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was rerun with hourly ECMWF numerical weather prediction data including assimilated observation data. Figure 5 shows maps with 2.4- and 0.4-day forecasts of the optical depth of contrail cirrus and natural cirrus, which motivated our first ML-CIRRUS science flight heading for contrail cirrus.

ML-CIRRUS FLIGHT STRATEGY, FLIGHT PATHS, AND SCOPE OF THE INDIVIDUAL FLIGHTS. The synergetic use of the different forecasts allowed for targeted flights into selected cirrus cloud regimes. Altogether during ML-CIRRUS, the HALO research aircraft performed 16 flights in midlatitude cirrus and contrail cirrus with a total of 88 flight hours. Cirrus clouds were probed for more than 40 h either with the in situ or the remote sensing instrumentation. The HALO flight tracks are shown in Fig. 5, and regions where cirrus were probed with in situ instruments indicated by the IWC derived from Water Vapor Analyzer, Tunable Diode Laser Hygrometer (WARAN)/Sophisticated Hygrometer for Atmospheric Research Tunable Diode Laser (SHARC) hygrometers are color coded. Extensive cirrus measurements were performed above west Europe and the Atlantic from 36° to 58° N and from 15°E to 15°W. Generally, the flight strategy was selected to directly probe the cirrus with the in situ instrumentation and then perform high-altitude legs above the cirrus along the same coordinates for remote sensing of the cirrus. Quasi-Lagrangian measurements along air mass trajectories were performed to investigate the evolution of cirrus properties along the cirrus life cycle. Collocated MSG data were evaluated to analyze the clouds’ horizontal extensions and cirrus optical depths. One flight was specifically dedicated for the validation of CALIPSO data products. For contrail cirrus flights, air traffic control centers in Karlruhe and Ismaning were contacted 6 months before the start of the campaign in order to get support for the campaign and permission for HALO operation in and near air traffic corridors. Flight plans were submitted to air traffic control 2 days in advance and were refined 24 h prior to the flight. In addition, depending on meteorological conditions, areas with temporary restricted traffic in European midlatitudes. A first analysis shows that the quality of the forecast from ECMWF in terms of cloud cover is significantly reduced in the presence of mineral dust.

HIGHLIGHTED RESULTS FROM ML-CIRRUS. The analysis of ML-CIRRUS data addresses the topics of intense cirrus research introduced before, and selected results are briefly presented below.

Overview of midlatitude cirrus properties. During ML-CIRRUS, cirrus clouds were observed in meteorological regimes typical for European midlatitudes with a focus on frontal cirrus including WCBs. The cirrus clouds were generally observed at altitudes between 7 and 13.5 km and at temperatures above 203 K. IWC in midlatitude cirrus derived from Hygrometer for Atmospheric Investigation Tunable Diode Laser (HALO)/SHARC hygrometers ranged between 10⁻⁴ and 0.2 g m⁻³ and was highly variable (Fig. 6a). As expected from previous studies, for example, Wang and Sassen (2002) or Schaller et al. (2008), the median IWC shows a dependence on temperature because more water vapor is available for condensation and growth of ice particles at higher temperatures. Future work will compare the IWCs derived from in situ cloud probes and bulk instruments in more detail. Ice number densities derived from the Novel Ice Experiment—Cloud and Aerosol Particle Spectrometer (NIXE–CAPS) instrument (Fig. 6b) ranged between 10³ and 20 cm⁻³ and were often between 0.05 and 0.5 cm⁻³. High particle number densities (>5 cm⁻³) were observed in contrails over Germany and the Atlantic. Hence, contrail cirrus clouds were frequently encountered during ML-CIRRUS and cirrus clouds are often influenced by air traffic in European midlatitudes.

The in-cloud relative humidity with respect to ice (RHi) is centered near 100% (Fig. 6c) over the complete cirrus temperature range, as derived from airborne mass spectrometer AIMS–HF data (Kaufmann et al. 2016), consistent with previous observations (Kiemle et al. 2009; Ovarlez et al. 2002). The range of RHi in cirrus is bounded on the upper side by the homogeneous nucleation threshold temperatures (Koop et al. 2000). Low RHi values are measured occasionally in cirrus, indicating that cirrus clouds exist also in strongly ice-subsaturated air masses. Nonequilibrium conditions, for example, caused by particle sedimentation or fast warming of descending air explain these low values.

Cirrus origin. To categorize cirrus with respect to their formation history, 10-day back trajectories starting on the HALO flight path every 10 s were calculated with the Lagrangian analysis tool (LAGRANTO) and the IWC and LWC along the backward trajectory where the observed cirrus segment were derived (Wernli et al. 2016). This approach distinguishes whether the air parcel has been in a mixed-phase cloud before with both LWC > 0 and IWC > 0 and classifies it as liquid-origin cirrus. Otherwise, cirrus clouds with an air parcel trajectory with IWC only and without LWC are defined in situ cirrus. As an example for liquid-origin cirrus, we show here results from the flight into the outflow region of a large WCB above Great Britain (see also Fig. 3) on 11 April 2014. Figure 7 shows profiles of lidar backscatter ratios at 532 nm along the Lagrangian

**Fig. 4.** Maps with 2.4- and 0.4-day forecasts of optical depth at 550 nm of (top) contrails and (middle) contrail cirrus and cirrus at 0900 UTC 26 Mar 2014 calculated with CoCiP. (bottom left) Cirrus optical depth derived from Meteosat Second Generation–SEVIRI at 0900 UTC 26 Mar 2014; (bottom right) brightness temperatures difference from 10.8 to 12 µm from MSG with the HALO track (blue line) and the position of HALO (red) at the time of the MSG analysis. The comparison confirms the good quality of the CoCiP contrail and cirrus predictions.
flight track and the altitude of the in situ flight leg. A 3-km-thick cirrus layer extended up to 12-km altitude. The ice particle size distribution derived from cloud and aerosol spectrometer with depolarization (CAS–DPol), cloud imaging probe (CIP), and precipitation imaging Probe (PIP) at 9.8 km altitude and at 1440 UTC is given in Fig. 7b. According to the trajectory approach described above, (see also Wernli et al. 2016) the analyzed cloud segment is classified as liquid-origin cirrus. Thus, ice particles originating from a mixed-phase cloud lifted to higher altitudes may contribute to the particle size distribution. In addition, the particle size distribution of a cirrus cloud segment measured at 1521 UTC classified as in situ cirrus is given in Fig. 7c. Smaller mean particle diameters and smaller IWC were found in liquid-origin cirrus compared to the liquid-origin cirrus in this case, detected at the same altitude and temperature (228 K) near 100% RH.

Liquid-origin and in situ cirrus were identified recently by Krämer et al. (2016) by comparison of model simulations and field observations. They analyzed cirrus properties in different geographical regions from an extensive dataset and found higher IWCs and larger ice crystals in liquid-origin compared to in situ cirrus. Luebke et al. (2016) investigated the two cirrus types in more detail based on ML-CIRRUS observations by using a trajectory-based approach similar to this study. Their analysis confirmed the results by Krämer et al. (2016), but, in addition, higher crystal concentrations were found in liquid-origin cirrus.

We further investigate the occurrence frequency of in situ and liquid-origin cirrus along all ML-CIRRUS flight paths (Fig. 8). In line with the study of Luebke et al. (2016), for the observation region in European midlatitudes and the encountered atmospheric conditions, a high abundance of in situ cirrus is found at temperatures below ~55°C. At warmer temperatures (from ~35°C to ~50°C), both, types in situ and liquid-origin cirrus clouds were measured. This can be due to other latitudes or seasons, where different dynamic situations prevail. Generally, regarding the synoptic situation, liquid-origin cirrus clouds are often linked to frontal systems, WCR, or ridge cirrus and can be found in convection. In situ cirrus clouds are typically linked to jet streams, synoptic high pressure systems, or mountain waves.

Ice particle complexity. For similar reasons, different ice particles’ complexities are expected in the selected cirrus types, as discussed above. Thereby, the small-scale ice particle complexity refers to any kind of ice crystal perturbations (surface roughness on different scales, inclusions, polycrystalline habits, and hollowness) that result in a diffuse spatial distribution of the scattered light (Schnaiter et al. 2016). Small-scale complexity is used here to differentiate the single particle complexity to the large-scale complexity induced by crystal aggregation. It describes surface defects on the submicrometer to micrometer scale that can be detected by measurements of the spatially uncorrelated coherent light scattering. The small-scale complexity parameter k, derived from Small Ice Detector Mark 3 (SID-3) measurements shows a robust correlation with the degree of physical surface defects in the above scale range (Schnaiter et al. 2016). The threshold value for k of 4.6 defines the transition from a nearly undisturbed (left inlay) to a more uniform (right inlay) spatial light scattering behavior of pristine and complex ice crystals, respectively. The distribution of k in in situ cirrus probed on 4 April 2014 is presented in Fig. 9. The first stream cirrus had formed in unpolluted conditions at the coast of Portugal. Roughly 80% of the probed particles showed a crystal complexity above the k threshold, indicating that the crystals nucleated at elevated humidity conditions that promote crystal surface defects. Homogeneous nucleation in aqueous aerosol particles at high supersaturations is a plausible formation pathway that explains the high ice particle complexity observed in this case.

Synergy between aircraft and ground-based measurements was achieved by coordinated HALO overflights of the rooftop remote sensing instrumentation at the Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, and the University of Bremen. Information of ice particle size and orientation using information of halo displays. On 1 April 2014, three overflights were performed when a large and relatively homogenous cirrus layer was present. Different halo displays were detected between 0800 and 1300 UTC on that day: 22° halo, upper tangent arc, lower tangent arc, polar stratospheric arc, and sundogs. The comparison of ground-based ice crystal shape observations with data from the airborne cloud probes indicates that even though halo displays were visible, the majority of ice crystals in the cirrus cloud were rough or complex.

Ice residuals and aerosol. The composition and size of ice residuals were detected with the Aerosol Laser Ablation Mass Spectrometer (ALABAMA; Brands et al. 2011) and an Ultra-High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer (UHSAS) connected to the HALO-Counterflow Virtual Impactor (CVI) inlet. Figure 10 shows results from a cirrus flight above Germany with many contrail cirrus on 7 April 2014. The measured residuals with sizes larger than 150 nm are classified according to their composition and the relative frequency of particles with a specific composition are given in the pie chart. The major number contribution to the ice residuals and to the upper-tropospheric background aerosol at 8–10 km altitude comes from potassium and its mixtures with sulfate, nitrate, and organics. Potassium is an indicator for a biogenic aerosol source (both biomass burning and primary biological aerosol). In addition, about 10% by number of ice residuals are composed of black carbon, which is less than the black carbon fraction in the background aerosol. Young aviation soot generally has sizes smaller than 150 nm and therefore cannot be detected with this instrumentation. Thus, the measured black carbon–containing particles could be either residual ice particles that had time to grow by condensation and/or coagulation or they could have a boundary layer origin, for example, fossil fuel or biomass burning. In addition, lower-stratospheric aerosol spectra (not shown here) contain a significant contribution from meteoric material. Compared to ambient upper-tropospheric aerosol, the ice residuals contain a smaller fraction of black carbon but a slightly higher fraction of particles containing minerals and metals (Fig. 10). However, the general similarity between ice residuals and the upper-tropospheric aerosol suggest that homogeneous freezing seems to dominate cirrus cloud formation in this case. Heterogeneous nucleation would lead to an enhancement of specific, ice nucleating particles in the ice residuals. This is not the case here, at least not to a significant extent. Generally during ML–CIRRUS, sulfur is found in many of the ice residuals, often in combination with other compounds. One may therefore speculate on the importance of homogeneous nucleation for cirrus formation, even though the existence of sulfate can originate from
Several satellite data at different wavelengths were acquired to infer the presence of cirrus clouds and to compare their optical properties against model predictions. The results showed that contrail cirrus was more reflective and optically thicker compared to in situ cirrus, indicating that contrails can have a significant impact on climate. The satellite observations were compared with in situ measurements obtained during the Clouds and Oceanic Circulation Product (CoCiP) campaign, which focused on the role of contrails in the context of climate change. The CoCiP predictions were validated using ML-CIRRUS data, and the results showed good agreement between the models and observations. This study highlights the importance of satellite data in understanding the impact of contrails and the need for further research to improve model predictions and satellite retrievals.
calculated the age of the contrails from the reactive nitrogen measurements using tracer dilution correlations (Schumann et al. 1998) and CoCiP. Indeed, we frequently encountered contrails and contrail cirrus with ages up to 5 h during the contrail cirrus flight on 26 March 2014. Figure 13 shows the particle size distribution for ~3-h-old contrail cirrus observed at 1002 UTC 26 March 2014. The number densities of small ice particles (with diameters d < 30 μm) are still enhanced compared to the surrounding cirrus, which suggests similar atmospheric conditions. Initially, high particle number densities in young contrails decrease to lower values by dilution. In addition, the contrail ice crystals grow slowly by water uptake in entrained air. The microphysical properties of the probed, aged contrail cirrus still differ from natural cirrus, confirming that a signature of high particle number densities remains throughout the contrail cirrus life cycle, as suggested by model studies (Lewellen 2014; Schumann et al. 2015). The smaller particle sizes result in higher extinctions and optical depths of contrail cirrus compared to natural cirrus as indicated from satellite observations (Iwabuchi et al. 2012).

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK. The ML-CIRRUS experiment was the first cloud mission with HALO with sophisticated in situ/remote sensing instrumentation. Its data products are available at the HALO database (https://halo-db.pa.op.dlr.de/mission/2). Please contact the corresponding author for more information. Observations from satellites and ground and advanced numerical simulations were used to predict and analyze cirrus and contrail cirrus occurrence. HALO performed 16 flights in Europe in March and April 2014 with a focus on midlatitude cirrus linked to WCBs or ridges, jet streams, lee waves, and high pressure systems, and on contrail cirrus. This paper presents first highlights from the ML-CIRRUS experiment: 1) Climatological distributions of microphysical cirrus properties are provided for climate studies. 2) We gained new insights into cirrus formation in meteorological regimes typical for midlatitudes in spring. Liquid-origin cirrus clouds, which are analyzed in a separate analysis, are generally related to frontal systems including WCBS (for convection) and occur at warmer temperatures and lower altitudes. In situ cirrus are linked to jet streams, mountain waves, high pressure systems, and dominate cirrus occurrence at temperatures less than ~−5°C and higher altitudes. In a specific case, a high fraction of complex, rough ice particles is found in homogeneously nucleated in situ cirrus. For another case, the composition of the contrails or residuals resembles the background aerosol to a large degree, suggesting that homogeneous freezing plays a role in cirrus formation. However, slight enhancements of heterogeneous ice nuclei (mineral- and metal-containing particles) were observed in that case. 3) Aqua–MODIS and MSG–SEVIRI cloud data products were validated. 4) Contrails are to a large degree predictable, confirming that the basic contrail formation processes are well simulated, though further improvement potential exists. The CoCiP contrail and cirrus model is a valuable tool for applications that may enable aviation flight routing with minimal climate impact. 5) Many of the midlatitude cirrus were influenced by air traffic. Contrail cirrus clouds are clearly different from natural cirrus, which evolved under the same meteorological conditions, even at large contrail cirrus age. Altogether, ML-CIRRUS provides a compre- hensive dataset on natural cirrus and aircraft-induced cloudiness in the densely populated midlatitude regions, which help to improve our understanding of cirrus and contrail cirrus and their role for climate and weather.

Future studies will concentrate on the detailed interinstrument comparison of the UTL water vapor distribution and of microphysical and bulk ice cirrus properties. midlatitude in order to better constrain atmospheric conditions and to quantify instrumental uncertainties and atmospheric variability in selected parameters. A method will be derived to compare water vapor and cloud datasets with different resolutions (e.g., remote sensing and in situ). The new classification of contrail cirrus clouds will be applied to other cirrus properties (complexity, optical depth) and climatological analysis will be performed. In the future, the processes that start or end cirrus lifetime as well as the impact of dust events on cloud processes on the quality of weather forecasts will be evaluated in detail. The extensive contrail cirrus observations provide new information on ice particle habit, surface structure, and, in combination with models, their climate impact.
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Fig. 11. Two particle size distributions of ice residuals in cirrus and contrail cirrus above Germany detected with the UHSAS connected to the HALO-CVI on 7 Apr 2014. The two modes suggest different ice residual origins.

Fig. 12. Spectral radiance from SMART and MODIS av- eraged for a cirrus overflight during 1347–1403 UTC 13 Apr 2014 west of the Iberian Peninsula. The full SMART –MODIS measurement (gray line) and band-averaged radiance of SMART (black circles) and of MODIS (red circles) data are shown. Error bars indicate the measurement uncertainty in the individual spectral bands.

Fig. 13. Particle size distribution in a ~3-h-old contrail cirrus (blue) and the neighboring natural cirrus (black) derived from SMART–DPOIL and CIP data during the contrail cirrus flight in the North Atlantic flight cor- ridor at 1002 UTC 26 Mar 2014. Contrail cirrus clouds contain higher number densities of small ice crystals compared to natural cirrus.
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