Using GOMS and the Thinking Aloud Technique to infer driver states

David Käthner (DLR) Julia Bühring (OvGU Magdeburg) Klas Ihme (DLR)





Background: The Nature of Driving

Driving is

- a <u>satisficing</u> task,
 partially self-paced
 - satisfying + suffice

Kircher & Ahlstrom (2016): Minimum Required Attention.







Background: The Nature of Driving

Driving is

- 1. a "satisficing" task,
- 2. partially self-paced,
- 3. in a physical, dynamic environment,
- 4. largely unregulated,
- 5. where you can die if you make certain mistakes.

Gibson & Crooks (1938): A theoretical field analysis of automobile driving.



E





H H

Driver states: Two Definitions

- 1. latent variable, "impairment level"
 - fatigue
 - drowsiness
 - (in)attention / distraction

e.g. Regan, Hallett, & Gordon (2011): Driver distraction and driver inattention

- 2. "state" as in "state diagram", usually on the tactical level
 - overtaking
 - lane change
 - Iane following
 - car following

e.g. Cacciabue & Carsten (2011): A simple model of driver behaviour.





Problem: Is that really what is happening?



Chovan (1994). Examination of lane change crashes and potential IVHS countermeasures.





Problem: Slicing the World into Discrete States

States in the Railway system

States in the Aviation



Solution: Task Analysis, e.g. GOMS

Goals

- state of affairs to be achieved
- determine possible methods

Operators

- cognitive, perceptual, motor acts
- physical or mental
- change state of user, environment

Methods

- procedure to accomplish goals
- consist of operators

Selection Rules

• Which method to use?





Card, Moran & Newell (1983): The Psychology of Human-Computer-Interaction.

The Model Human Driver







Going Bottom-Down: A GOMS for Driving



Data	Fusion		(94:13) 0187 ich habe ihn jetzt doch überholt (94:13) 0189 (0.7) (94:29) 0189 06 vielleicht hat es jetzt was gebracht oder nicht (94:29) 0199 06 vielleicht hat es jetzt was gebracht oder nicht (94:29) 0199 ich habe in den seitenspiegel geguckt wie weit er denn jetzt hinter mir ist (94:29) 0192 (0.6)		
		NICH		(44.23) 0193 06 das mach (44.23) 0194 mit dem (44.23) 0194 mit dem (44.23) 0195 und sch (44.23) 0195 und sch (44.23) 0197 aber de (44.23) 0199 also ir (44.43) 0199 (1.0) (44.43) 0200 06 u, und m (44.43) 0201 06 das geh (44.43) 0202 06 das geh (44.43) 0203 dann br (44.43) 0203 dann br (44.43) 0203 dan br (44.43) 0203 dan br (44.43) 0205 oder au (44.43) 0205 oder au (44.43) 0206 (0.5) (44.43) 0207 06 das ist (46.43) 0200 (0.6) (46.43) 0200 06 dar rea (46.43) 0210 (1.3) (54.43) 0211 06 hat für (57.43) 0212 aber ic	<pre>h ich jetzt einfach ma_al seitenspiegel llerblick uber noch (.) weit genug entfernt : mini ja (.) de_er (-) ist fahrt genauso langsam rendwie bringt das alles nichts ass ich ihm dann halt folgen : auch ungt das rechts überholen auch nichts um es jetzt vielleicht erlaubt gewesen wäre th nicht gerade die frage ats ne_eben mir mich da eigerwich nichts zu sagen h halte trotzen alles im blick rendwie durch der rückspiegel</pre>
t	touch	vehicle data	gaze	goals	cognitive operators
1					
2					
5					





The Driving Simulator

DLR's Virtual Reality Lab

- 360° projection
- mock-ups or real car









Scenario 1 - Controlled

"Stay between 120 and 130 km/h"





Scenario 2 - Realistic "Drive 110 - 150 km/h"





















How frequently did you drive during the last year?





Data Recording

thinking aloud: Webcam



pressure sensors



eye tracking: Smart Eye Pro



driving & simulation data

- velocity, acceleration
- positions
- steering (wheel) angle
- pedal input





Data Recording







The Time Course of the Lane Changes



Overall Goals



More Specific Goals







Cognitive Operators

category	sub category
anticipating	vehicles in same lane will change speed
	vehicles in other lane will change speed
	lead vehicles will change lanes
	flow of traffic will change
evaluations	gap size
	distances to other vehicles, especially lead car
	accelerations of other vehicles
	situation ("nothing is happening")





Cognitive Operators

category	sub category
information retrieval	from LTM (traffic rules)
	from WM ("there is still a car back there")
decisions	change of velocity / acceleration
	lane change
	stay in lane
	follow lead car





Summary

What about driver states?

- useful to structure the task:
 - 1. information gathering
 - 2. decision making
 - 3. execution
- but no good fit with "what actually happens"

Problems with discrete states

- "It's the situation, stupid".
- *information gathering* and (a little less) *decision making* are what we do all the time. **That is the task.**
- states do not cover anticipation well





Summary + Outlook

Satisficing

- much of driving is "don't do X"
- does not clearly indicate what should be done

What's next?

- Sketch alternatives for a given situation.
- From the data: Why was *that* alternative chosen, not the others?





DLR.de • Chart 24 GOMS & Thinking Aloud > David Käthner > TeaP 2017

Thank you for your attention!



