Free transition on a slender cone in a quiet and a conventional wind tunnel and the effect of ultrasonically absorptive materials
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Abstract

Transition from laminar to turbulent hypersonic boundary layers is the topic of several research projects world-wide. Most experimental work is done in conventional wind tunnels, although their free stream turbulence does not match free flight conditions and has a significant influence onto the transition process. Experiments performed with the same 3° half angle cone in a conventional and a quiet wind tunnel at Mach 6 justify this approach. The formation, amplification and decay of second (Mack) modes is compared based on high-speed pressure measurements. In addition damping these modes with ultrasonically absorptive surfaces was tested.

1. Introduction

The transition from a laminar to a turbulent boundary layer is attended by an increase of the heat flux and drag. Therefore it is essential for the design of hypersonic vehicles to predict the transition location correctly. In addition it is often desirable to delay the transition as long as possible. In hypersonic flows over smooth surfaces the transition is most likely provoked by first and second mode instabilities. As the first mode (Tollmien-Schlichting waves) can be damped by cooled structures, the second mode (Mack mode) becomes dominant. The formation and amplification of the second (Mack) mode is the topic of several research projects. Most of the experiments are performed in classical wind tunnels although the free stream fluctuations have a significant effect onto the transition process. The disturbance level in a quiet wind tunnel is one or two orders of magnitude lower and therefore much closer to the conditions at real flight. But there are just a few quiet wind tunnels and their operating envelope is limited. A direct comparison of experiments in a quiet and a conventional wind tunnel is important to identify the drawbacks and opportunities of conventional wind tunnels for hypersonic transition research. The experiments presented in this paper were performed in the Boeing/AFOSR Mach-6 Quiet Tunnel (BAM6QT) at Purdue University and in the hypersonic wind tunnel (H2K) of the German Aerospace Center (DLR) in Cologne. To improve comparability the same model, sensors and data acquisition system were used.

There are numerous attempts for passive or active damping of these trapped acoustic waves. Rasheed et al. could demonstrate a damping of second (Mack) modes and a delay of the transition on a 5° half cone with a regular porous surface at Mach 5. Fedorov et al. and Wagner et al. verified the damping of these acoustic waves with a 7° half cone and a porous coating of random micro structures at Mach 6. For the transition experiments presented here a 3° half angle cone with either a plain surface, regular holes or a random porous surface were tested at Mach 6. The used model (Figure 1 and Figure 2) is equipped with PCB sensors for the detection of pressure fluctuations at high frequencies as well as Kulite sensors for those at middle and low frequencies. The main model parts are made of polyether ether ketone (PEEK) to enable simultaneous measurements of the transition position with infrared cameras for the H2K experiments. For the BAM6QT experiments the rear segment was replaced with an aluminium segment to use temperature sensitive paint (TSP).
2. Experiment set-up

2.1 Model

The basic model shape is a right circular cone with 3° half angle. The model consists of three exchangeable segments - the apex, the middle segment and the rear segment and is supported by a central steel shaft (see figure 1). A sharp steel apex with a nose radius below 0.15 mm was used for all experiments in this paper. Three different middle segments were in use. Two made of PEEK (polyether ether ketone) allow quantitative infrared thermography in H2K. The first with a plain surface and the second with a generic porous surface formed by regular uniform blind holes. The holes are 80 µm in diameter, at least 1000 µm in depth and placed every 200 µm, thus the porosity is 12.6 %. A close-up is shown in figure 2a. The choice of the hole dimensions was based on simulations from Wartemann et al.\textsuperscript{13,12} with NOLOT and the technical feasibility. The Fraunhofer Institute for Laser Technology (ILT) in Aachen performed the manufacturing of these holes with the help of laser drilling\textsuperscript{11} using a pulsed INNOSLAB laser. In circumferential direction one third (120°) of the surface is perforated. The perforated area starts at a radius of 15.5 mm and ends at a radius of 39.5 mm, thus the porous area has a length of 456 mm and contains about 660,000 holes. The third middle segment is made of a C/C material with a random porosity (see figure 2b) manufactured by the DLR institute of Structures and Design in Stuttgart. The typical diameter of the pores is 10 µm to 40 µm and the porosity is 12.1 %. The rear segment used in H2K is made of PEEK and has a base radius of 90 mm. Due to the smaller core of uniform flow in the BAM6QT two shorter rear segments with base radius of 45 mm and 50 mm were used. They are made of aluminum, slightly undersized to allow for the application of temperature sensitive paint (TSP), since infrared thermography is not possible in the BAM6QT.

![Figure 1 – Drawing of the model with segments and marked sensor positions](image)

![Figure 2 – Details of the ultrasonically absorptive surfaces](image)

2.2 Quiet wind tunnel BAM6QT

The Boeing/AFOSR Mach 6 Quiet Tunnel (BAM6QT) is a Ludwieg tube with a long driver tube and converging-diverging nozzle for Mach 6. A schematic of the tunnel is shown in figure 3. Several features ensure a laminar
boundary layer on the nozzle walls. This includes boundary layer suction upstream of the throat, slowly increasing
diameter in the divergent section of the nozzle, polished nozzle walls and the position of the burst diaphragms down-
stream of the test section. With this the turbulence level of the free flow in “quiet” mode is in the order of 0.05 %. If
the bleed lip for the boundary layer suction is closed, the tunnel operates in “noisy” mode with a turbulence level in the
order of 3 %. The air in the driver tube is pressurized up to 2 MPa and electrically heated up to 430 K. The length of
the driver tube ensures stable flow conditions for about 100 ms and the stepwise exhaustion measurements with several
Reynolds numbers in the same run. Figure 5a shows the test section with the model. The inflow conditions of the
experiments in BAM6QT are listed in table 1.

2.3 Conventional wind tunnel H2K

The hypersonic wind tunnel Cologne (H2K) is a classical blow-down wind tunnel with a free jet test section and a test
time of 30 s. A schematic of the tunnel is shown in figure 4. For the experiments a Mach 6 contoured nozzle with an
exit diameter of 600 mm was used. The test gas air is heated with resistance heaters. Figure 5b shows the test section
with the model. For further information about the H2K see Niezgodka8. The inflow conditions of the experiments in
H2K are listed in table 1.

2.4 Data acquisition

For the experiments the same data acquisition system was used and the same sensors as far as possible. The model is
equipped with eight to twelve PCB® 132A31 sensors with a 350 kPa range and a resonant frequency above 1 MHz. They
are connected to signal conditioners PCB® 482C05 and their output signals are measured with Adlink PXI-9816D/512
digitizers, which enable a 16 bit resolution and a sample rate of 5 MHz. Three to five of the PCB sensors are placed
on a generatrix numbered according to figure 1 with the exact positions given in table 2. At the positions 1, 3, 5, C
and E there are four PCB sensors around the circumference. For the H2K experiments the model is equipped with four
Kulite® XCQ-080 B-screen sensors with a 35 kPa range and a natural frequency of 150 kHz for static and low frequency
Table 1 – Flow conditions for the tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wind tunnel</th>
<th>$M_a$</th>
<th>$T_0$ [K]</th>
<th>$p_0$ [kPa]</th>
<th>$T_{\infty}$ [K]</th>
<th>$p_{\infty}$ [Pa]</th>
<th>$Re_{u,\infty}$ $[\frac{1}{m}]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H2K</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>$3.2 \cdot 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2K</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>$4.1 \cdot 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAM6QT noisy</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>$2.4 \cdot 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAM6QT noisy</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>$3.3 \cdot 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAM6QT quiet</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>$2.3 \cdot 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAM6QT quiet</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>$7.2 \cdot 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAM6QT quiet</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>$9.5 \cdot 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAM6QT quiet</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>1128</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>$11.5 \cdot 10^6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

surface pressure measurements. They are connected to a NI PXIe-4331 bridge module, which enable a 24 bit resolution and a sample rate of 100 kHz. For the BAM6QT experiments they were replaced by two full scale stopped XCQ-062 A-screen sensors with a 100 kPa range.

In H2K the surface temperature on the PEEK segments is captured via two infrared cameras. The top view is captured with an AGEMA® THV570 at a sample rate of 50 Hz and a resolution of 320 $\times$ 240 Pixel. The side view is captured with a FLIR® SC3000 at 60 Hz and a resolution of 320 $\times$ 240 Pixel. In both facilities the model is at room temperature ($\approx$ 295 K) before the test. Since the sensor data used in this paper were captured within the first second after wind tunnel start in BAM6QT and within the first two seconds after wind tunnel start in H2K, the increase of the wall temperature is a few Kelvin in laminar regions and not more than 10 Kelvin in turbulent regions.

2.5 Data processing

The averaged frequency spectra, shown in this paper, base on 500 000 data points at 0.1 s stable flow conditions divided into 39 blocks with 25 000 samples each. Adjacent blocks overlap by 50 %. All values are normalized with static pressure of the inflow and then each block is multiplied with the Hann function. For each block the power spectral density is computed. The arithmetic mean of all spectra is the final result. This procedure is also known as Welch’s method. Hence the frequency spectra show root mean square values scaled with the frequency.

To resolve single turbulent spots and wave packages the PCB data are also processed using a complex Morlet wavelet analysis. The wavelet function used here is a sine function limited in time by a Gaussian distribution:

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} e^{i\frac{3}{2} \pi t}$$

The wavelet transforms performed in this paper are based on 5000 samples and use 2500 scales of the wavelet with the same maximum amplitude of frequency response. Therefore the time resolution is 2 $\mu$s and the frequency resolution 1 kHz.

The raw data of the infrared cameras are transferred to heat fluxes and Stanton numbers using the in-house tool VisualHeatFlow (for the algorithm see Henckels and Gruhn). The recovery factor for the post-processing of the
infrared images is always set to the value of a laminar boundary layer $\sqrt{Pr} = \sqrt{0.73}$. The used coordinate system has its origin at the tip of a perfect sharp cone with the $x$-axis pointing in flow direction and the $z$-axis to the top of the test section.

### 2.6 Model alignment

The model support in H2K allows adjustment of the pitch and yaw angle. A correct alignment results in a symmetric transition region on the cone surface. The two infrared cameras with top and side view allow the correction of the yaw and pitch angle. Figure 6 shows the alignment procedure in H2K.

![Model alignment in H2K: Top view infrared images of the cone with ceramic middle and PEEK rear segment](image)

Figure 6 – Model alignment in H2K: Top view infrared images of the cone with ceramic middle and PEEK rear segment

As there is no rotatable model support in the BAM6QT, the sting was designed to allow small corrections of the yaw and the pitch angle. Since infrared thermography is not available and the TSP is only available on one side, the signal of the PCB sensors was used to check the alignment. If four PCB’s around the circumference at the same $x$ position measure the same amplitude of a second (Mack) mode, the model is correct aligned. Figure 7 shows the alignment procedure in BAM6QT.

### 3. Results

For all three inflow noise levels – BAM6QT in “noisy” mode, H2K and BAM6QT in “quiet” mode – second (Mack) modes were observed on the cone with plain surface. Figure 8 shows plots of the power spectral density computed from the PCB data in different runs. Good to see is the formation, amplification and decay of second (Mack) modes. It
is important to note, that the decay of the modes and hence a transition to a turbulent boundary layer was observed in quiet flow too, since usually a compression cone is used to obtain free transition in quiet flow in BAM6QT.

In BAM6QT in noisy mode at $Re_{a,∞} = 2.4 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ the second (Mack) mode is detected at a frequency of about 70 kHz. It disappears between the sensors at $s = 900 \text{ mm}$ and $s = 945 \text{ mm}$ (see figure 8c), which results in a Reynolds number of about $2.2 \cdot 10^6$ for the completion of the transition process. In H2K at $Re_{a,∞} = 3.2 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ the second (Mack) mode is detected at a frequency of about 100 kHz. The transition process is completed between the sensors at $s = 945 \text{ mm}$ and $s = 1135 \text{ mm}$ (see figure 8a), which results in a Reynolds number of about $3.2 \cdot 10^6$. At $Re_{a,∞} = 4.1 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ a sensor at $s = 765 \text{ mm}$ also measures the first and second harmonic of the second (Mack) mode (see figure 8b). In the BAM6QT in quiet mode at $Re_{a,∞} = 11.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ the second (Mack) mode is detected at a frequency of about 140 kHz. The transition process is almost completed at the sensor at $s = 945 \text{ mm}$ (see figure 8h) which results in a Reynolds number of about $11.0 \cdot 10^6$. The sensors at $s = 810 \text{ mm}$ and $s = 855 \text{ mm}$ also measure the first harmonic of the second (Mack) mode. Besides the shift to lower Reynolds numbers with increasing turbulent intensity of the inflow, there is no principal difference in the transition process observed. Also the maximum of the normalized amplitude of the second (Mack) mode is in the same order of magnitude. This supports the attempt of Marineau et al.\(^7\) of an amplitude-based method to account for the effect of tunnel noise on second (Mack) mode transition.

This is supported by the wavelet plots in figures 10 to 12. Figure 10 shows the wavelet analysis of data from H2K at $Re_{a,∞} = 4.1 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$. The corresponding spectra can be found in figure 8b. At $s = 341.2 \text{ mm}$ the boundary layer is still laminar and the wavelet plot of the sensor data reveals no interesting features (figure 10a). At $s = 548.0 \text{ mm}$ first compact packages of second (Mack) modes occur that indicate a transitional boundary layer (figure 10b). They are limited in time and frequency. They increase in amplitude and number until $s = 764.8 \text{ mm}$ (figure 10c). At $s = 945.1 \text{ mm}$ the compact packages are destroyed and spread in time and frequency (figure 10d). There are no distinguishable packages at $s = 1135.3 \text{ mm}$ but a vesicular structure covering the complete time span and a broad frequency band – the boundary layer is fully turbulent (figure 10e). This is consistent with measurements of free transition on a flat panel at $0°$ angle of attack as shown in Willems et al.\(^{14}\).

The compact packages and their decay is also detected in BAM6QT at $Re_{a,∞} = 11.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ as shown in figure 11. But at the last sensor there are still distinguishable packages. This indicates, that the transition process is not fully completed. In the wavelet analysis of data at $Re_{a,∞} = 9.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ the same packages are visible on different sensors (figure 12). This allows an estimation of the travelling speed of these package to $900 \frac{m}{s}$ which is close to the computed edge velocity of $850 \frac{m}{s}$.

The comparison of the transition process with ultrasonically absorptive materials shows no damping of the second (Mack) mode or transition delay, neither in noisy nor in quiet flow. Figure 13 shows the results from H2K at $Re_{a,∞} = 4.1 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ and from BAM6QT at $Re_{a,∞} = 2.4 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ in noisy flow. There is just a small difference in the amplitudes on the different materials, but the second (Mack) mode on the regular holes decay earlier than on the other materials, which even indicates an earlier transition there. The second (Mack) mode on the random pores decays just a little earlier than on the plain surface. This is consistent with the results of the infrared thermography made in H2K, that show a slight shift of the transition region (indicated by a raise of the Stanton number) on the regular holes upstream (see figure 9). Figure 14 shows the results from BAM6QT at $Re_{a,∞} = 9.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ and $Re_{a,∞} = 11.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ in quiet flow. At $Re_{a,∞} = 9.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ all sensors on the rear part detect strong second (Mack) modes. All figures there show an amplification of the second (Mack) mode and a shift to lower frequencies on the regular holes and on the random pores compared to the plain surface. At $Re_{a,∞} = 11.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{m}{s}$ the decay of the second (Mack) mode on the rear segment
Figure 8 – Second (Mack) modes on the plain model in H2K and in BAM6QT with noisy and quiet flow
indicates the end of the transition region. The figures there show an earlier decay of the second (Mack) mode and a shift to lower frequencies on the regular holes compared to the plain surface. With the random pores the amplitudes are little higher at the first sensors compared to the plain surface. And the decay of the second (Mack) mode is a little faster on the last sensors.

Figure 9 – Results of infrared thermography in H2K at $Re_{u,∞} = 4.1 \times 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$

4. Summary

Experiments with the same sharp slender cone and the same data acquisition system carried out in the quiet wind tunnel BAM6QT and the conventional wind tunnel H2K at similar conditions at Mach 6 allow direct comparison of the laminar-turbulent transition of a hypersonic boundary layer. Due to the small half angle of $3^\circ$ and the large model length, the amplification and break down of the second (Mack) modes could be observed for noisy as well as for quiet conditions. While there are evident differences in the transition locations between quiet and noisy conditions, the transition process and mechanism seems to be similar.

To test the damping effect of porous surfaces two alternative sections were used, one with regular holes and the other with random pores. The experiments performed in the BAM6QT under noisy and quiet conditions and in H2K provided similar results. Although the design of the holes and pores was based on numerical simulations, neither a significant damping of the second (Mack) mode nor a delay of the transition process occurred. On the contrary the results indicate an amplification of the second (Mack) mode and an earlier transition especially on the regular holes. A possible explanation are the lower frequencies of the second (Mack) mode on a $3^\circ$ cone compared to a $5^\circ$ cone used by Rasheed et al.$^9$ or the to $7^\circ$ cones used by Fedorov et al.$^3$ and Wagner et al.$^{10}$. Another reason could be sensitivity of the damping to the position and length of the porous surfaces as found by Lukashevich et al.$^6$.
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Figure 10 – Wavelets in H2K at $Re_{u,∞} = 4.1 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$
Figure 11 – Wavelets in BAM6QT at $Re_{\infty} = 11.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet
Figure 12 – Wavelets in BAM6QT at $Re_{x,∞} = 9.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{\text{m}}$ quiet
Figure 13 – Second (Mack) modes on different surfaces in H2K and BAM6QT in noisy flow.
(a) Sensor at $s = 765$ mm in BAM6QT at $Re_{u,i} = 9.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet

(b) Sensor at $s = 765$ mm in BAM6QT at $Re_{u,i} = 11.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet

(c) Sensor at $s = 810$ mm in BAM6QT at $Re_{u,i} = 9.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet

(d) Sensor at $s = 810$ mm in BAM6QT at $Re_{u,i} = 11.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet

(e) Sensor at $s = 855$ mm in BAM6QT at $Re_{u,i} = 9.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet

(f) Sensor at $s = 855$ mm in BAM6QT at $Re_{u,i} = 11.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet

(g) Sensor at $s = 900$ mm in BAM6QT at $Re_{u,i} = 9.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet

(h) Sensor at $s = 900$ mm in BAM6QT at $Re_{u,i} = 11.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet

(i) Sensor at $s = 945$ mm in BAM6QT at $Re_{u,i} = 9.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet

(j) Sensor at $s = 945$ mm in BAM6QT at $Re_{u,i} = 11.5 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{m}$ quiet

Figure 14 – Second (Mack) modes on different surfaces in BAM6QT in quiet flow