## Terrain Renderer for Sensor Simulations -An Accuracy Analysis-

MEON Workshop 2014

**Turgay Aslandere** 

# Knowledge for Tomorrow



## Outline

- Application Terrain Renderer
- Motivation for the Sensor Simulation
- Basic LIDAR Simulation
- Basic Camera Simulation
- Conclusions





### **Application-Terrain Renderer**

- Previously introduced in the 2011 MEON workshop
- Interactive visualization
- For a wide range of applications









## **Application-Terrain Renderer**

• Level of Detail Approach (LOD) with the HealPix (NASA) data structure.







Level of Detail (LOD) Approach

## **Application-Terrain Renderer**

- Various datasets
- For moon KAGUYA + LRO, 4.1 TB
- Hybrid data sets. Eg. Image data



Apollo 15 Landing Area (DLR Terrain Renderer)





#### IVILLE PROPERTY AND A REPORT OF THE REPORT OF

Position: 25.40 N, 2.30 E Altitude AGL [km]: 108.9 Altitude AMSL [km]: 107.8 Terrain Elevation [km]: –1.096

Height Scale: 1.00 LOD Scale: 10.00

10

#### Mode: Navid

Position: 25.69 N, 2.66 E Altitude AGL [km]: 37.8 Altitude AMSL [km]: 35.7 Terrain Elevation [km]: -2.079

#### Model Navigates

Position: 26.03 N, 3.42 E Altitude AGL [km]: 13.1 Altitude AMSL [km]: 11.1 Terrain Elevation [km]: -1.932

#### Mode: Navigation

Position: 26.25 N, 3.39 E Altitude AGL [km]: 1.9 Altitude AMSL [km]: -0.1 Terrain Elevation [km]: -1.919

Position: 26.27 N, 3.40 E Altitude AGL [km]: 0.5 Altitude AMSL [km]: -1.5 Terrain Elevation [km]: -1.923

#### **Motivation**

- High Accuracy and Real time Requirements from Space
  Domain for Sensor Simulation
- ATON (Autonomous Terrain based Optical Navigation)
- CROSS DRIVE (Collaborative Rover Operations and Satellites Science in Distributed Remote and Interactive Virtual Environments)



CROSS DRIVE (http://www.cross-drive.eu/)



TRON (Testbed for Robotic Optical Navigation)



- LIDAR: Light Detection And Ranging
- Flash LIDAR
- Each Pixel represents a range value







LIDAR Image /DLR SC





- LIDAR Images by OpenGL depth buffer.
- Depth Buffer measures the range between 0 1, non-linear [4].
- Transformations and quantization applied.
- Accuracy may suffer.
- Dynamic Clipping Planes : min (Zback/ Zfront)
- Errors due to rendering and DEM.







- Error sources are defined.
- To detect these errors, validation software is created.
- The similar pipeline as a rendering engines follows.



Possible Error Sources

- It determines distances from the view point to all visible triangle vertices
- It finds minimun and maximum values of each pixel



- We tested our application :
  - Results: OpenGL Buf with dynamic clipping plane ranges works fine in the terrain renderer sofware.
  - All the pixel values are between the limits (min and max value).
  - No errors : Deviation is around %0.10 for the given figure.



- Pin hole camera model Basic
- To visualize correct topology of the planets and lighting
- Real time and accuracy requirements
- More challenging than LIDAR :
  - Correct lighting :
    - Correct Light Source Simulation
    - Correct Shadow Simulation





- Light Source Computation: SPICE (NASA) tool
  - Sub solar point compared with LTVT software
- The basic shading, only considers normal mapping
- Terrain Renderer lacks of accurate shadows





Camera Image/LTVT

Camera Image/DLR Braunschweig



Camera Image/ DLR SC



Camera Image/ DLR OS



- Accuracy vs Performance
- Commonly used Algorithms for shadows :
  - Raycasting , Shadow Mapping
  - Horizon Mapping for bump mapped surfaces [2]
- Raycasting is computationally expensive.
- Shadowmapping renders scene from 2 points of view.
- Horizon mapping employs precomputed values.









-11-

- More about Horizon Mapping
  - Algorithm: If sun is a point light source in the sky,  $\omega \beta > 0$  (true:in shadow) (See Figure)
  - Sun is not a point source [1] : If δ is the angular diameter of the sun, let α = (w β)/(δ/2) By plane geometry area formulas [2], the visible fraction of the Sun :

$$f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0.0 & \alpha \le -1 \\ 0.5 + (\sin^{-1} \alpha + \alpha) / (1 - \alpha^2) / \pi & -1 \le \alpha \le 1 \\ 1.0 & 1 \le \alpha. \end{cases}$$

• Planets are not flat [3]









$$\Rightarrow \qquad \beta' \approx \arctan\left(\frac{d\tan\beta - 2(R+h)\frac{\gamma^2}{4}}{2(R+h)\frac{\gamma}{2}}\right)$$

- A prototype for Horizon Mapping is created.
- The accuracy analysis for the camera simulation is in progress.



Results from Our Prototype with an Artifical Mesh





Results from Our Prototype with Kaguya Dataset





- Next steps:
  - Integration of the algorithms to the application.
  - Evaluation of the shadows by comparing the real images of Moon with the artificial ones.







DLR Terrain Renderer, the images (taken by NASA) are mapped to Moon surface.

#### Conclusions

- An overview of the terrain renderer application is given.
- LIDAR simulation is presented. The possible error sources demonstrated and the validation is descibed.
- The state of our work is given for the camera simulation. The work is still in progress. We are still evaluating shadowing algorithms for our application.
- The terrain renderer application is on its way to give both real time (See our demo) and accurate results for the sensor simulation.





# Thanks

Turgay Aslandere German Aerospace Center Simulation and Software Technology | Software for Space Systems and Interactive Visualization Lilienthalplatz 7 | 38108 Braunschweig | Germany Telephone +49 531 295 2956

Image: DLR Terrain Renderer, Moon North Pole 87 N, 28 E

[1] Horizon Mapping for bump-mapped surface, Nelson L. Max, 1988

[2] Interactive Horizon Mapping Peter Pike, Micheal Cohen, 2000

[3] Real-time Rendering of Bump map Shadows Taking Account of Surface Curvature Koichi Onoue, Nelson Max, 2004

[4] <u>http://msdn.microsoft.com</u>

[5] http://ltvt.wikispaces.com/LTVT

[6] https://developer.nvidia.com/

