A DATA ADAPTIVE COMPRESSED SENSING APPROACH TO POLARIMETRIC SAR TOMOGRAPHY

E. Aguilera, M. Nannini, and A. Reigber

Microwaves and Radar Institute, German Aerospace Center (DLR) 82234 Wessling, Germany
Tel.: +49 - 8153281820, E-mail: Esteban.Aguilera@dlr.de

ABSTRACT

Super-resolution imaging via compressed sensing (CS) based spectral estimators has been recently introduced to synthetic aperture radar (SAR) tomography. In the case of partial scatterers, the mainstream has so far been twofold, in that the tomographic reconstruction is conducted by either working directly with multiple looks and/or polarimetric channels or by exploiting the corresponding single-channel second order statistics. In this paper, we unify these two methodologies in the context of covariance fitting. In essence, we exploit the fact that both vertical structures as well as the unknown polarimetric signatures can be approximated in a low dimensional subspace. For this purpose, we make use of a wavelet basis in order to sparsely represent vertical structures. Additionally, we synthesize a data adaptive orthonormal basis that spans the space of polarimetric signatures. Finally, we validate this approach by using fully polarimetric L-band data acquired by the E-SAR sensor of the German Aerospace Center (DLR).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Multibaseline polarimetric measurements allow us to resolve a vertical structure via well-established synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imaging principles. Also, they provide an additional dimension to further describe the response of illuminated objects, which, in the case of partial scatterers, is commonly captured in the form of a polarimetric covariance matrix. However, the achievable resolution of conventional estimators is highly dependent on the extension of the elevation aperture. Moreover, the sampling rate dictated by the well-known Nyquist frequency imposes an additional requirement, namely dense, regular sampling [1].

Recently, alternative sparsity driven non-linear reconstruction algorithms have been put forward in order to attain low sidelobe and ambiguity levels with a reduced number of irregular passes. In particular, the authors in [2] extended the work in [3,4] and proposed a compressed sensing (CS) based joint reconstruction technique that takes advantage of possible intersignal structural correlations between neighboring azimuth–range pixels as well as between polarimetric channels. Also, a single-channel covariance fitting methodology was introduced in [5], that employs sparse representations of the vertical backscattered power in the wavelet domain. In this paper, we combine all these lines of research and introduce the concept of polarimetric signature awareness, thus not only exploiting structural correlations, but also taking advantage of the fact that polarimetric signatures, as characterized by the so-called coherency/covariance matrix (from now on referred to as polarimetric covariance matrix) [6], can be approximated in a low dimensional subspace [7]. For this purpose, we form a data adaptive orthonormal basis that spans the space of polarimetric signatures.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we formulate the inverse problem from a multibaseline polarimetric covariance matrix perspective in such a way that we are able to decouple the scattering mechanisms’ structures from their polarimetric signatures. Subsequently, Section 3 revisits the concept of joint sparse reconstruction. Section 4 casts the covariance fitting problem as an instance thereof and reformulates it so as to be able to restrict the polarimetric signatures to lie in a low dimensional subspace. In Section 5, we present results obtained using fully polarimetric L-band data acquired by one of the airborne sensors of the German Aerospace Center (DLR), namely E-SAR. Lastly, Section 6 concludes the paper.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Let $K_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ be the multibaseline covariance matrix resulting from $m$ parallel passes [1,8] and two polarimetric channels $i$ and $j$ at a specific azimuth–range position; with $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. For example, $i$ and $j$ could denote the $hh$ and $hv$ channels, respectively. Then, we can construct $D \in \mathbb{C}^{m^2 \times 9}$ as follows

$$D = \left[ \text{vec}(K_{1,1}) \quad \text{vec}(K_{2,1}) \quad \cdots \quad \text{vec}(K_{3,3}) \right] \quad (1)$$
In this section, we formulate the reconstruction of $Z$ as defined in (2) from a multisignal CS perspective. To that end, we first observe that forested areas are generally dominated by few effective SMs. Specifically, the assumption of simply two SMs has recently proved to be a valid approximation [7, 9, 13]. In addition, the power distribution $\theta_s$ of these different SMs is quite regular [7, 8, 13, 14], thereby giving rise to sparse representations in the wavelet domain and allowing for a CS viewpoint [5]. Consequently, if we let $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a sparsifying basis for $\theta_s$, with $s \leq 2$, it follows that $Z$ can be represented by a row-sparse matrix $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times 2}$, such that $Z = W^T \alpha$. Incidentally, the condition $s \leq 2$ can be readily satisfied by replacing $D$ with its best rank-2 approximation, which will be denoted by $D_2$. As thoroughly discussed in [7], this can be efficiently obtained by means of a singular value decomposition (SVD).

4.1. The Naive Approach

As a stepping-stone towards a robust formulation, we can simply take equations (2) and (3), and let $B = D_2$, $A = \Phi W^T$, $X = \alpha$. Then, we could recover $\alpha$ by carrying out the following optimization

$$\min_{\alpha} \|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{2,1} \text{ subject to } \|\Phi W^T \tilde{\alpha} - D_2\|_F \leq \varepsilon$$

where $\varepsilon$ is an upper bound on the model mismatch. Although intuitive and simple, this approach falls short of ideal, in that it ignores the inherent properties and low dimensionality of the subspace of polarimetric signatures, which is the subject of Section 4.2.

4.2. The Data Adaptive Approach

As previously mentioned, the SVD of $D$ provides an efficient way of computing its best rank-2 approximation. Nonetheless, of equal importance is the fact that the adjoint of the corresponding right singular vectors defines a data adaptive orthonormal basis for the unknown polarimetric signatures [7]. As a result, if we consider only two SMs, i.e. $D_2$, it follows that every row of $Z$ will be bound to lie in a two-dimensional subspace. And so, once two orthonormal vectors, $v_1$ and $v_2 \in \mathbb{C}^9$, that span the polarimetric space have been obtained and a matrix $V \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 9}$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ v_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

has been formed, we can formulate a polarimetric signature aware reconstruction as

$$\min_{\beta} \|\tilde{\beta}\|_{2,1} \text{ subject to } \|\Phi W^T \tilde{\beta} V - D_2\|_F \leq \varepsilon$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2}$ and proper constraints must be set on the rows of $Z$, such that every row of $Z$ (when rearranged in a 3 by 3 matrix) results in a positive-semidefinite matrix. Just as in Section 4.1, $\varepsilon$ is an upper bound on the model mismatch. Then, we compute $Z = W^T \tilde{\beta} V$. For a detailed discussion on how to reduce the size of this kind of problem and ensure positive semidefiniteness, we refer the reader to [13] and the references therein.
Interestingly, this approach can be understood from a slightly different, yet instructive, viewpoint. Specifically, rather than considering \( Z \) to be composed of nine 1-D signals (column vectors), we can think of it as one 2-D signal. As a result, \( Z \) can be represented in a 2-D basis, readily formed by computing the outer product of all 1-D wavelet basis vectors and all 1-D polarimetric signature basis vectors, i.e., a Kronecker basis. Thus, just as the former allows for sparse expansions of the vertical backscattered power, the latter provides a sparse expansion of the polarimetric signature. However, since we only consider two SMs, the support of the polarimetric signature transform coefficients is known a priori. Finally, we note that, from this perspective, \( \Phi \) is bound to take partitioned measurements, in that only one column is measured at a time. For further details on this kind of distributed sensing setting, we refer the reader to [15].

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

For validation purposes, we used a stack of 10 focused and coregistered SAR images obtained by processing fully polarimetric L-band data. These data were acquired by the E-SAR airborne sensor of DLR during a campaign near Dornstetten, Germany, in 2006. Fig. 1 shows the histogram of the corresponding irregular baseline distribution. The center frequency used was 1.3 GHz and the nominal altitude above ground was about 3200 m. The resolutions were 0.66 m and 2.07 m in azimuth and range, respectively [8].

Specifically, we recast (6) in Lagrangian form [3], with \( \lambda = 2 \). The sparsifying basis \( W \) was based on the Daubechies Symmlet wavelet with 4 vanishing moments and 3 levels of decomposition. Thus, we reconstructed \( Z \) at a fixed range distance of 4816.30 m for several azimuth–range positions. As a result, we obtained slices in the azimuth and elevation directions of dimensions 300 m by 40 m, respectively. In order for (2) to hold, we computed the sample covariance matrix by taking a \( 20 \times 20 \) m\(^2\) estimation window. In this respect, we employed the SKP decomposition [7] using different window sizes in order to find, heuristically, the smallest window that allowed for separation of SMs.

Fig. 2 (a), (b), and (c) present the reconstructed tomographic slices for the \( hh \), \( vv \), and \( hv \) channels (as taken from \( Z \)), respectively. Additionally, Fig. 2 (d) shows the correlations between the \( vv \) and \( hh \) channels, which clearly manifest more strongly at the ground level.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have extended the existing CS-based methodologies by considering the multiple dimensions in which polarimetric covariance matrices, i.e., polarimetric signatures, manifest. Thus, we have been able to perform joint recovery by exploiting the fact that vertical structures as well as polarimetric signatures can be sparsely approximated in appropriate domains. For this purpose, we made use of a Wavelet basis as well as a data adaptive basis, respectively. In this regard, we emphasize that the outlined approach is not limited to Wavelets. In fact, any suitable basis could be used. In addition, we have introduced the concept of polarimetric signature awareness, thereby reducing the degrees of freedom to carry out the tomographic reconstruction. Finally, we stress the fact that the implementation of this technique can be effectively tailored by means of existing tools, such as the SKP decomposition.
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Fig. 2. Tomographic slices in the Lexicographic basis obtained by means of the data adaptive CS approach (300 m by 40 m) using a $20 \times 20$ m$^2$ window (normalized using the maximum value of the tomogram): (a) $hh$ channel, (b) $vv$ channel, (c) $hv$ channel, and (d) correlations between the $vv$ and $hh$ channels.