Space debris removal by *non-destructive* orbit modification using ground-based high-power lasers

Stefan Scharring, Jürgen Kästel

2nd International Orbital Debris Conference

December 6, 2023

Sugar Land, Texas, USA

DLR



Even small things hurt badly…

Could you PLEASE clean up your room?!

Sustainability

I did not drop that brick.

Liability

This is MY brick. Do not DARE to move it.

Ownership

☠💣☹🛎🌪.
I better walk more carefully here.

Collision Avoidance

The Future?

DLR

The Kessler Syndrome from a “medical perspective“

συνδρομον (greek): *Concurrence (of symptoms)*

Relevant pathogens

παθοσ γενεσισ: *Disease Creation*

- Large derelicts
- Accidental / intentional fragmentations

→ Short term: Collision avoidance

→ Long term: Active debris removal missions

Heavy symptoms

συμπτωμα: *Random Inconvenience*

- Multitude of fragments
- ~1E6 LNTs (lethal non-trackables)

→ Short term: Space situational awareness

→ ***Long term: Laser-based removal?***

Taken from C. Phipps and C. Bonnal, Laser Ranging and Nudging in Space Debris Traffic Management, Sensors & Transducers 255(1): 17-23 (2022), published under Creative Commons CC BY 4.0



Aggrevation? ← ?

? → ***Cure?***

Numerical methods

Perigee lowering for space debris removal

Head-on irradiation



Outward irradiation



From: S. Scharring, J. Kästel, Can the Orbital Debris Disease Be Cured Using Lasers?, Aerospace 2023, 10, 633. https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace10070633

Scope

- Compute Δr_p from Δv_t and Δv_r
- Extrapolate $N_{transits}$ for $r_p = 200\ km$

Main simplifications

- Irradiation at $\varphi_0 = 270°$
- Delta-v: $\sum \left\| \Delta\vec{v}_{(t,r),i} \right\| \approx \Delta v_{(t,r)}$

Δv: Velocity change, ΔT: Temperature increase, E_L: Laser pulse energy, Φ_L: Laser fluence, f_{rep}: Laser pulse repetition rate
r_p: Perigee altitude, φ: True anomaly

Debris targets

Orbital range

USSTRATCOM TLE **non-active** catalogued objects as of July 2, 2019 with

- Semi-major axis $a \in [6950\ km; 7550\ km]$
- Inclination $i \in [65°; 110°]$
- Numerical eccentricity $\varepsilon \in [0.0; 0.2]$



S. Scharring, J. Kästel, Aerospace 2023, 10, 633. License: CC-BY 4.0

Target categories

- 1 large satellite (Envisat)
- 10 rocket bodies

11 out of „Top50“ targets (1000 – 10000 kg)
cf. McKnight, Acta Astronaut. 181: 282 (2021)

- 100 medium-sized payloads (50 – 1000 kg)
- 1000 Fragmente (1 – 50 kg)

Geometric data from ESA DISCOS database

- Payloads, rocket bodies:
  Mass, shape, optical cross-section
- Fragments:
  Radar cross-section

Laser-based mechanisms for momentum transfer

Photon pressure (JCA only)

JCA: Just-in-time collision avoidance
ADR: Active debris removal

Linear power scaling:

$$\vec{F} = \left[(A + R_D)\hat{k} - (R_D/2 + 2R_S \cos\vartheta)\hat{n}\right] \cdot 3.3\ \mathbf{n}N/W \cdot P_L$$



$\vec{F}$: Laser-induced force, A: Absorptivity, R_D: Diffuse reflectivity, R_S: Specular reflectivity, P_L: Laser power

Laser ablation (JCA and ADR)

Non-linear power scaling:

$$\vec{F} \approx \left[\frac{\Phi - \Phi_0}{\Delta\Phi + (\Phi - \Phi_0)} \cdot b \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\lambda\Phi}\right)^c\right]\hat{n} \cdot \langle P_L \rangle$$





From: S. Scharring et al., Momentum predictability and heat accumulation in laser-based space debris removal, Optical Engineering 2019, 58(1), 011004. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.OE.58.1.011004

Φ: Laser fluence, $\Delta\Phi, b, c$: Non-linear fit parameters, λ: Laser wavelength, τ: Laser pulse length

Simulation of thermo-mechanical coupling

Monte Carlo analysis of momentum

- Geometric target primitives (Wavefront.obj)
- Gaussian beam profile (Raytracing)
- Arbitrary orientation
- Pointing jitter

$$c_m = \frac{\text{Laser-induced momentum}}{\text{Laser pulse energy}}$$





$$\eta_{res} = \frac{\text{Laser-induced heat}}{\text{Laser pulse energy}}$$

Simplified analytical computation of heat

- Homogeneous fluence over optical cross-sectional area
- Homogeneous distribution of residual heat inside the target
- No radiation cooling between laser pulses
- Thermal equilibration between laser station transits

High energy laser (phased array)

Station configuration

- Low energy single laser emitters
  ($E_L = 20\,J$, Yb:YAG, $\lambda = 1030\,nm, \tau = 5\,ns$)
- **Coherent coupling of 5000 laser emitters**
- **High pulse repetition rates feasible**
- Transmitter aperture diameter: 4 m



Intensity distribution of 18 single emitters in a tiled aperture transmitter

Beam focusing to LEO



E_L: Laser pulse energy, λ: Laser wavelength, τ: Laser pulse length
w: Beam radius, z: Distance, M^2: Beam quality parameter, Str: Strehl ratio



Simulation results

Irradiation interval

Target-specific Gaussian fit

- … of Δp_t (head-on irradiation) and
- … of Δp_r (outward irradiation), resp.
- FWHM = target-specific irradiation interval
- Avoidance of inefficient (but heating) irradiation



S. Scharring, J. Kästel, Aerospace 2023, 10, 633.

Linear fit irradiation range limits $\zeta_i(h)$

Object type specific irradiation

Category	$y_1[°]$	$m_1[°/km]$	$y_2[°]$	$m_2[°/km]$	$y_3[°]$	$m_3[°/km]$
Payload	59.9	-0.0145	17.4	-0.0052	41.1	-0.0105
Rocket Body	68.7	-0.0253	22.9	-0.0099	54.2	-0.0237
Al fragment	55.6	-0.0112	13.0	-0.0023	34.5	-0.0055
Steel fragment	56.9	-0.0158	14.9	-0.0046	36.5	-0.0092

y_i, m_i: linear fit parameters

Fragment irradiation at 6 – 9 Hz repetition rate

Thermal constraints

- $\Delta T \leq 100\ K \approx 20\% \cdot (T_{melt} - T_0)$
  → no meltdown
  → limitation of pulse rate needed

Delta-V scatter

- different target shapes
- altitude-dependent fluence
- altitude-dependent pulse number

Irradiation outcome

- $\Delta v \ll 100\ m/s$
  → no single-pass removal
  → multiple irradiations needed

$$\Delta v = (A/m) \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_m(\Phi_i) \cdot \Phi_i$$



S. Scharring, J. Kästel, Aerospace 2023, 10, 633.

Perigee lowering (single overpass)

Head-on irradiation

… outperforms outward irradiation despite…

- lower laser fluences in orbit,
- less Δv

… but due to orbital mechanics:

$$\Delta r_p = (1 - e_0)\Delta a - (a_0 + \Delta a)\Delta e$$

with

$$\Delta e = [2e_0 \boldsymbol{\Delta v_t} + r_0\, \boldsymbol{\Delta v_r}/a_0]/v_0,$$
$$\Delta \mathrm{a} = 2\, a_0^2 v_0 \boldsymbol{\Delta v_t}/GM$$

at $\varphi_0 = 270°$



Irradiation of satellites / rocket bodies: 1 – 6 Hz pulse rate

Thermal limitation → DE thresholds

- Missiles:
  - mechanical: $> 5\ kJ/cm^2$
  - thermal: $> 10\ kW/cm^2$
- Unhardened satellites:
  - thermal: $\ll 100\ W/cm^2$

Bloembergen, N. et al. Beam Material Interactions and Lethality. In *Report to The American Physical Society of the study group on science and technology of directed energy weapons*. **1987**, *59(3) Part II*, S119 – S143.

Altitude-dependent pulse repetition rate

- Selection of maximum intensity: $13.7\ W/cm^2 = 100\ I_{sun}$ at zenith
- Head-on irradiation: +50%
- Outward irradiation: 1.1 … 3.8 Hz
- Head-on irradiation: 1.6 … 5.7 Hz



S. Scharring, J. Kästel, Aerospace 2023, 10, 633.

De-orbiting

- Unrealistically high effort for massive targets (> 300 kg)
- Conceivable for light-weight objects (depends on Δr_p)

Conclusions and outlook

Laser-based removal works…

- … only considering thermal constraints
- … with head-on irradiation even from ground

- … possibly for satellites, rocket bodies < 300 kg
- … likely best for fragments

- … efficiently with a global station network
- … for removal of ~2000 fragments per year

Laser-based removal challenges

- Implementation of a global laser station network
- Acceptance of weapon-grade removal lasers
- Predictive avoidance with momentum uncertainty

Laser-based removal is …

- … not a hot topic in terms of sustainability
- … suited for “Kessler 2.0“ (CA overload) from
  - exponentially increasing awareness of increasingly small objects +
  - rise of megaconstellations
- … potentially an economically relevant painkiller

Thank you for your kind attention

Acknowledgments

- Wolfgang Riede, Jochen Speiser: Study supervision
- Jascha Wilken, Lukas Eisert, Erik Marten Klein: Code development
- Christoph Bamann: Compilation of debris data
- ESA: Provision of debris data from DISCOS and Master-8
- USSTRATCOM: TLE data

The things we see are the result of our past,

but the way we act is the result of our future.

Lasers and space